FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 05/10/2021 03:53 PM INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

EXHIBIT 8

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT 19 HON. STEPHANIE BOWICK, JUDGE AMBER LAURA HEARD, PETITIONER VS.) NO. 19STCP04763 THE MANDEL COMPANY, INC., D/B/A) THE MANAGEMENT GROUP, A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION, RESPONDENTS. JOHN C. DEPP, II, PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER, VS. AMBER LAURA HEARD, DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT. REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF REMOTE PROCEEDINGS HEREIN AT 10:28 A.M., ON THURSDAY, OCTOBER 29, 2020 LACOURTCONNECT APPEARANCES: FOR PLAINTIFF JOHN C. DEPP, II: BROWN RUDNICK LLP BY: CAMILLE M. VASQUEZ, ESQ. LEO J. PRESIADO, ESQ. SAMUEL A. MONIZ, ESQ. 2211 MICHELSON DRIVE, 7TH FLOOR, IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612 (949)752-7100CVASQUEZ@BROWNRUDNICK.COM (APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE.) REPORTED BY: ALICIA RENEE DESMOND, CSR NO. 13037 OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO TEMPORE



NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

2

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

1	LACOURTCONNECT APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):
2	FOR DEFENDANT AMBER LAURA HEARD:
3	GORDON REES SCULLY & MANSUKHANI BY: JOHN P. COGGER, ESQ.
4	KRISTIN BLOCHER, ESQ. 633 WEST FIFTH STREET,
5	52ND FLOOR, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071 (213)576-5000
6	(213)576-5000
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020



INDEX NO. 154545/2021 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

> Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

4

1	CASE NUMBER:	19STCP04763
2	CASE NAME:	AMBER LAURA HEARD VS. THE
3		MANDEL COMPANY, INC.
4	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	THURSDAY, OCTOBER 29, 2020
5	DEPARTMENT 19	HON. STEPHANIE BOWICK, JUDGE
6	REPORTER:	ALICIA RENEE DESMOND, CSR 13037
7	TIME:	MORNING SESSION
8	APPEARANCES:	(AS PREVIOUSLY NOTED.)
9		
10	(THE FOLLOWING PROCE	EDINGS HELD IN OPEN COURT.)
11		
12	THE COURT: GO	OD MORNING. THIS IS JUDGE
13	BOWICK CALLING THE HEARD	VERSUS MANDEL MATTER.
14	GOOD MORNING,	EVERYBODY, AND THANK YOU FOR
15	YOUR PATIENCE.	
16	I'M GOING TO A	SK FOR APPEARANCES, AND LET'S
17	ASK FOR APPEARANCES STAR	TING WITH COUNSEL FOR MR. DEPP.
18	MR. PRECIADO:	GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. LEO
19	PRECIADO FOR MR. DEPP.	
20	THE COURT: GO	OD MORNING.
21	MS. VASQUEZ:	GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
22	CAMILLE VASQUEZ FOR MR.	DEPP.
23	THE COURT: GO	OD MORNING.
24	MR. MONIZ: AN	D GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
25	SAMUEL MONIZ FOR MR. DEP	Ρ.
26	THE COURT: GO	OD MORNING, COUNSEL.
27	AND THEN WHO D	O I HAVE APPEARING ON BEHALF OF
28	MS. HEARD?	

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

5

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

1 MR. COGGER: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. THIS 2 IS JOHN COGGER ON BEHALF OF MS. HEARD. 3 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. 4 MS. BLOCHER: AND KRISTIN BLOCHER ON BEHALF OF 5 MS. HEARD, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, COUNSEL. 6 7 SO WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO FIRST IS TO START WITH THE MOTION TO QUASH THE CIVIL SUBPOENA TO 8 9 CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL. WHO ON BEHALF OF MS. HEARD IS GOING TO BE 10 11 ARGUING THAT MOTION? MR. COGGER: I WILL, YOUR HONOR, JOHN COGGER. 12 13 GREAT, THANK YOU. THE COURT: 14 AND WHO ON BEHALF OF MR. DEPP IS GOING TO BE 15 ARGUING THAT MOTION? 16 ME, YOUR HONOR, LEO PRECIADO. MR. PRECIADO: 17 THE COURT: GREAT, THANK YOU. 18 AND I WANT TO JUST CONFIRM WE HAVE A COURT 19 REPORTER THAT IS APPEARING ALSO TELEPHONICALLY. 20 MR. PRECIADO, FOR BOTH OF THESE MOTIONS THAT 21 ARE PENDING THIS MORNING, DOES YOUR CLIENT STIPULATE 22 THAT THE COURT REPORTER CAN TRANSCRIBE THE PROCEEDINGS 23 VIA TELEPHONIC APPEARANCE? 24 MR. PRECIADO: YES. THE COURT: AND MR. PRECIADO STIPULATED? 25 26

MR. PRECIADO: YES.

27

28

THE COURT: OKAY. AND MR. COGGER STIPULATED?

MR. COGGER: YES, SAME FOR ME, YOUR HONOR,



NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

28

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

6

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

1 YES. 2 THE COURT: THANK YOU. SO WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO ASK AND I'M TRYING TO, 3 YOU KNOW, FOLLOW OUR COURT'S, YOU KNOW, MOST RECENT 4 5 EFFORTS TO BE MORE ELECTRONIC THESE DAYS AS OPPOSED TO PAPER FILES AND PAPER FILING, SO I JUST NEED YOUR 6 7 ASSISTANCE, MR. COGGER. 8 AS PART OF YOUR FILING ON THIS MOTION TO QUASH 9 THE CIVIL SUBPOENA FOR THE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL, DID YOU ALSO SUBMIT A COPY OF THE SUBPOENA, AND, IF SO, WHAT 10 11 DOCUMENT CAN I SEE THE ACTUAL SUBPOENA THAT WAS PART OF 12 THIS MOTION? 13 IS IT IN YOUR DECLARATION DATED OCTOBER 22? 14 DID YOU ATTACH IT THERE? 15 I'M JUST TRYING TO FIND WHERE IT IS ATTACHED. 16 MR. COGGER: AND, YOUR HONOR, I'M TRYING TO LOCATE IT RIGHT NOW, AND, AGAIN, I'M DOING IT 17 18 ELECTRONICALLY AS WELL. 19 THE COURT: AND I'VE LOOKED AT IT, BUT I, JUST 20 AS PART OF THIS HEARING, I WANT TO BE ABLE TO REFER TO 21 IT, AND I JUST CAN'T REMEMBER WHICH DOCUMENT I'VE SEEN 22 IT ATTACHED OR PART OF. 23 MR. COGGER: I APOLOGIZE, YOUR HONOR. 24 SEARCHING RIGHT NOW JUST ELECTRONICALLY JUST TO SEE. THE COURT: AND IF MR. DEPP'S COUNSEL KNOWS 25 26 EXACTLY WHERE I CAN LOOK AT THAT SUBPOENA, THAT WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL. 27

MR. PRECIADO: I THINK IT'S EXHIBIT B TO THE

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

28

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

> Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

7

1	MOTION.
2	MR. COGGER: THAT'S CORRECT. THAT WAS FILED
3	IN JULY, I BELIEVE, OR AUGUST. I'M SORRY.
4	THE COURT: SO EXHIBIT B TO THE MOTION ITSELF
5	AND NOT A DECLARATION?
6	MR. COGGER: EXHIBIT B TO MY DECLARATION, I
7	BELIEVE.
8	THE COURT: SO YOU FILED A DECLARATION,
9	MR. COGGER, ON OCTOBER 22.
10	IS THAT THE DECLARATION YOU'RE REFERRING TO?
11	MR. COGGER: NO. I BELIEVE IT'S THE
12	DECLARATION OF MR. MARIAM. I BELIEVE THAT MIGHT BE
13	DATED JULY 29.
14	THE COURT: SO LET ME ASK YOU THIS,
15	MR. COGGER. THE MOTION FILED BACK IN JULY, WAS IT JUST
16	REFRESHED WHEN IT WAS FILED AGAIN IN OCTOBER? BECAUSE I
17	DIDN'T LOOK I DIDN'T LOOK AT THE DOCUMENTS FILED BACK
18	IN JULY. I LOOKED AT EVERYBODY'S DOCUMENTS FILED IN
19	OCTOBER.
20	WERE YOU INTENDING THAT I CONSIDER THE JULY
21	FILINGS ALSO?
22	MR. COGGER: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE JULY
23	FILINGS ARE THE ACTUAL PETITION, AND THEN AN OPPOSITION
24	WAS FILED BY MR. DEPP, AND THEN WE FILED OUR REPLY BRIEF
25	IN OCTOBER.
26	AND, YOUR HONOR, JUST AS A REMINDER, THE
27	MATTER WAS ORIGINALLY SCHEDULED FOR FEBRUARY OF 2021,

AND THEN THE COURT ADVANCED THE HEARING, AND THUS THE --

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

> Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

8

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

1 THE COURT: I REMEMBER -- I REMEMBER ALL OF 2 I REMEMBER THAT. I'M JUST TRYING TO -- BECAUSE 3 MR. DEPP'S COUNSEL HAS OBJECTED TO OVERSIGHT BRIEFING ON 4 BEHALF OF YOUR CLIENT, MR. COGGER, AND SO THERE'S A LOT GOING ON HERE, AND I'M JUST TRYING TO GET MY HANDS 5 AROUND HOW MANY DIFFERENT THINGS YOU'VE FILED. 6 7 SO IT LOOKS LIKE, MR. COGGER, YOU FILED A 8 DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF THE REPLY OCTOBER 22 --9 MR. COGGER: THAT'S CORRECT. THE COURT: -- AS WELL AS -- OKAY. JUST GIVE 10 11 ME ONE MOMENT, PLEASE. 12 WHAT EXHIBIT, MR. COGGER, IS THE MARIAM 13 DECLARATION? 14 MR. COGGER: IT'S EXHIBIT B, YOUR HONOR. 15 THE COURT: GREAT. THANK YOU. 16 OKAY. SO I HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS, MR. COGGER, 17 AND THEN I'M GOING TO HEAR ARGUMENT, BUT I JUST -- IF I 18 UNDERSTAND CORRECTLY, YOUR CLIENT IS ARGUING THAT THE 19 PROOF OF SERVICE THAT'S ATTACHED TO THIS DEPOSITION 20 NOTICE IS NOT ACCURATE, THAT MS. HEARD'S COUNSEL LISTED 21 ON THE SERVICE LIST DID NOT GET SERVED WITH THIS 22 SUBPOENA. 23 IS THAT YOUR CLIENT'S POSITION? 24 MR. COGGER: AND, YOUR HONOR, I ASSUME THAT 25 WE'RE REFERRING NOW TO THE JENNIFER HOWELL SUBPOENA? 26 THE COURT: NO. I'M TALKING ABOUT CHILDREN'S 27 HOSPITAL. I'M LOOKING AT THE DECLARATION OF CRAIG J. 28 MARIAM IN SUPPORT OF PETITION TO QUASH PLAINTIFF'S

28

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

9

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

1 SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM TO CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL LOS ANGELES. 2 SO ONE OF THE ARGUMENTS, IF I UNDERSTAND YOUR 3 ARGUMENT, MR. COGGER, ON BEHALF OF YOUR CLIENT IS THAT 4 THERE WAS NOT PROPER SERVICE OF THIS SUBPOENA ON 5 MS. HEARD; RIGHT? 6 MR. COGGER: YES, YOUR HONOR. 7 THE COURT: AND IS IT YOUR POSITION THAT 8 THERE'S A PROOF OF SERVICE ATTACHED TO THAT SUBPOENA; 9 RIGHT? YOU SAID EXHIBIT B. 10 ISN'T THERE A PROOF OF SERVICE ATTACHED TO IT? 11 MR. COGGER: I'M JUST SCROLLING TO IT, YOUR 12 HONOR. 13 THE COURT: AND ON THE PROOF OF SERVICE, I 14 SHOW THAT DEFENDANT HEARD LISTED: ROBERTA KAPLAN, FROM 15 KAPLAN HECKER & FINK, IN NEW YORK; DAVID HERMAN 16 (INAUDIBLE) ROGERS IN ROANOKE, VIRGINIA; DAVIDA BROOK, 17 SUSMAN GODFREY, IN L.A. 18 MR. COGGER: I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR. I'M 19 TRYING TO PULL THAT UP AS WELL. 20 THE COURT: WELL, LET ME ASK YOU ANOTHER WAY. 21 WHEN YOU ARGUED IN THIS MOTION THAT SERVICE 22 WAS NOT PROPER, WHAT DID YOU MEAN THEN WHEN IT COMES TO 23 MS. HEARD? 24 BECAUSE IF I UNDERSTAND CORRECTLY, MR. 25 PRECIADO, THAT'S ONE OF THE ARGUMENTS IN THIS MOTION TO 26 QUASH. 27 DO YOU AGREE WITH ME, MR. PRECIADO?

MR. PRECIADO: I DON'T, YOUR HONOR. THEY MAKE

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

10

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

- 1 THAT ARGUMENT IN CONNECTION WITH THE HOWELL SUBPOENA.
- 2 IN CONNECTION WITH THE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL SUBPOENA,
- THEY DON'T MAKE THAT ARGUMENT. AND THE DECLARATION 3
- YOU'RE REFERRING TO, THAT OF MR. MARIAM, IT DOESN'T MAKE 4
- 5 -- IT DOESN'T STATE THAT IN HIS DECLARATION. WHEN IT
- REFERS TO EXHIBIT B, IT JUST SIMPLY REFERS TO THE 6
- 7 SUBPOENA, AND IT SAYS IT WAS ACTUALLY SERVED. SO I
- 8 THINK THAT ARGUMENT IS ONLY MADE IN CONNECTION WITH THE
- 9 HOWELL MOTION. THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING.
- THE COURT: OKAY. MY APOLOGIES, MR. COGGER. 10
- 11 MR. PRECIADO IS RIGHT. THE ISSUE OF SERVICE IS ONLY AS
- 12 TO THE HOWELL.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

- 13 SO WE'LL GET TO THAT ONE IN A MOMENT, AND MY
- 14 APOLOGIES, EVERYBODY.
- 15 SO LET ME JUST GO BACK. I DO WANT TO GO BACK,
- 16 THOUGH, TO THE SUBPOENA ITSELF, AND THEN I HAVE A COUPLE
- OF QUESTIONS FOR YOU, MR. PRECIADO, BUT HOLD ON. 17 I NEED
- 18 TO PULL IT UP AGAIN, AND I JUST WANT SOME CLARIFICATION,
- 19 MR. PRECIADO.
- 20 I'M LOOKING AT PAGE 6 OF THE SUBPOENA.
- 21 WE GET TO THE ACTUAL DOCUMENTS REQUESTED, THE FIVE
- 22 DIFFERENT SETS OF DOCUMENTS REQUESTED, AND THE SUBPOENA
- 23 ITSELF GIVES A LOT OF SO-CALLED INSTRUCTIONS AND
- 24 DEFINITIONS TO CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL ABOUT WHAT A DOCUMENT
- 25 IS, DEFINE TERMS OF THE DOCUMENT, ET CETERA.
- 26 AND SO DO YOU INTEND IN THIS REQUEST NUMBER 1
- TO INCLUDE MORE THAN JUST -- SO, FOR EXAMPLE, LET'S SAY 27
- 28 MS. HEARD ACTUALLY DID MAKE A DONATION AND MAYBE SHE

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

11

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

1 SENT IT BY MAIL AND THERE WAS A LETTER THAT SAID, YOU 2 KNOW, IN OUOTES, PLEASE FIND MY DONATION OR MY CHECK OR 3 A COMMUNICATION BY E-MAIL REGARDING HER PROVIDING A 4 DONATION, AND MAYBE THERE WAS A RESPONSE BACK OR A 5 CONFIRMED LETTER BACK SAYING THANK YOU SO MUCH, WE'VE RECEIVED YOUR DONATION, AND MAYBE THERE MIGHT HAVE BEEN 6 7 SOME COMMUNICATION REGARDING THE USE OF THAT DONATION, AND I'M NOT SAYING THAT IT ACTUALLY HAPPENED. BUT I'M 8 9 JUST SAYING, FOR EXAMPLE, HYPOTHETICALLY, WHAT ELSE ARE YOU WANTING IN THAT SUBPOENA, MR. PRECIADO, MORE THAN 10 11 MAYBE, YOU KNOW, THE ACTUAL COMMUNICATION PROVIDING THE 12 DONATION ITSELF, A CONFIRMATION, MAYBE A CHECK, A COPY 13 OF A CHECK, SOME OTHER PERHAPS E-MAIL EXCHANGES THAT 14 MIGHT HAVE OCCURRED WITH RESPECT TO THAT DONATION? 15 WHAT OTHER DOCUMENTS ARE YOU LOOKING FOR OTHER 16 THAN COMMUNICATIONS OR -- BUT IT LOOKS LIKE ALSO NUMBER 17 5 MAYBE A PUBLIC STATEMENT OR PRESS RELEASE THAT MIGHT 18 HAVE SAID, YOU KNOW, CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL IS SO PLEASED 19 TO ANNOUNCE --20 ARE YOU ALSO LOOKING FOR FINANCIAL RECORDS AND 21 THINGS OF THAT NATURE? 22 MR. PRECIADO: WELL, WE'RE LOOKING FOR 23 EVERYTHING THE COURT JUST DESCRIBED. SO IT WOULD BE

COMMUNICATIONS, E-MAILS, LETTERS WITH RESPECT TO ANY DONATION, ANY CONFIRMING DOCUMENTS THAT THE HOSPITAL HAS WITH RESPECT TO RECEIPT OF DONATIONS FROM MS. HEARD, WHICH I'M SURE THE HOSPITAL KEEPS TRACK OF THAT, AND ANY PUBLICATIONS RESULTING FROM THAT DONATION. OF COURSE,

24

25

26

27

28

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

12

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

1	THE FIRST TWO CATEGORIES ARE MORE IMPORTANT THAN THE
2	LATTER, THE PUBLICATION OR NEWS RELEASES.
3	BUT, YES, I MEAN, THERE'S A CONTENTION MADE BY
4	MS. HEARD IN THE MEDIA THAT THE DONATIONS WERE MADE
5	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND ALL OF THAT. I
6	UNDERSTAND ALL OF THAT.
7	MR. PRECIADO: OKAY.
8	THE COURT: I'M JUST TRYING TO GET AN
9	UNDERSTANDING OF THE SCOPE OR BREADTH OF
10	MR. PRECIADO: YES, THAT'S THE SCOPE.
11	THE COURT: SO YOU'RE EXCLUDING THAT YOU WANT
12	THEM TO DIG UP FINANCIAL RECORDS. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, ONCE
13	THEY GOT A CHECK, IF THEY DID, AND ONCE THEY DEPOSITED
14	IT AND THEN THERE WAS A FINANCIAL RECORD THAT REFLECTED
15	CHARITABLE DONATIONS AND HIGHLIGHTED CHARITABLE
16	DONATIONS WITH THE NAME OF MS. HEARD, YOU'RE NOT ASKING
17	FOR ALL OF THAT; CORRECT?
18	MR. PRECIADO: NO. JUST DOCUMENTS SUFFICIENT
19	TO VERIFY IF, IN FACT, DONATIONS WERE MADE DURING THE
20	RELEVANT TIME PERIOD AND THE AMOUNT.
21	THE COURT: OKAY.
22	MR. PRECIADO: BY THE WAY, YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE
23	NOT RECEIVED ANY OBJECTIONS FROM THE HOSPITAL. THEY
24	HAVE NOT FILED A MOTION, AND THEY HAVE NOT OBJECTED.
25	THE COURT: I KNOW THAT.
26	MR. PRECIADO: BUT TO THE
27	THE COURT: I KNOW THAT TOO, BUT
28	MR. PRECIADO: OKAY.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

> Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

THE COURT: -- BUT AS PART OF THE MOTION TO OUASH, YOU AGREE THAT THE COURT HAS AUTHORITY TO MODIFY IT, IF IT THINKS IT NEEDS TO BE MODIFIED, AND THAT'S WHAT I'M CONSIDERING AS FAR AS MY DISCUSSIONS ON THESE QUESTIONS.

> I AGREE WITH THAT, YOUR HONOR. MR. PRECIADO:

13

THE COURT: OKAY. SO, BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, LOOKING AT THE DEFINITION OF THE DOCUMENTS AND ALL OF THE DIFFERENT INSTRUCTIONS ABOUT THE DOCUMENTS, ALL DOCUMENTS SOMEONE COULD CONCLUDE WOULD MEAN FINANCIAL RECORDS. SO I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT YOU'RE NOT NECESSARILY LOOKING FOR FINANCIAL RECORDS, BUT WHAT YOU'VE JUST DESCRIBED AND WHAT I'VE DESCRIBED IS KIND OF

> MR. PRECIADO: THAT'S CORRECT.

THE UNIVERSE OF WHAT YOU'RE SEEKING. SO --

THE COURT: OKAY. GREAT. THANK YOU.

SO I GUESS HERE'S MY THINKING, COUNSEL FOR MS. HEARD, MR. COGGER. I'M INCLINED TO GRANT -- I MEAN DENY THE MOTION TO QUASH OR TO GRANT IT IN PART. INCLINED TO MODIFY IT OR AT LEAST HAVE COUNSEL FOR MR. DEPP MODIFY IT SO THAT THE HOSPITAL IS NOT THINKING IT NEEDS TO PRODUCE FINANCIAL RECORDS OR ACCOUNTING RECORDS OR, YOU KNOW, ANYTHING TO THAT NATURE, BUT REALLY JUST E-MAILS, LETTERS, COMMUNICATIONS, CONFIRMATIONS REGARDING ANY DONATION FROM MS. HEARD DURING THAT TIME FRAME, PRESS RELEASES OR ANNOUNCEMENTS OF RECEIVING A

AND THE LAST QUESTION I HAVE FOR YOU, MR.



DONATION FROM MS. HEARD.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

> Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

14

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

1	PRECIADO, IS I'M NOT QUITE SURE WHAT YOU'RE LOOKING FOR
2	WITH RESPECT TO THE DIVORCE ACTION. HONESTLY, IT SEEMS
3	LIKE YOU'RE TRYING TO GET INFORMATION ABOUT DONATIONS
4	MS. HEARD MIGHT HAVE MADE. I UNDERSTAND, YOU KNOW, YOUR
5	POSITION IS THAT SHE MADE ANNOUNCEMENTS ABOUT DONATIONS,
6	YOU KNOW, IN CONJUNCTION WITH OR AS PART OF SETTLEMENT
7	PROCEEDS FROM HER DIVORCE.
8	I THINK THAT IF I WERE TO GRANT IN PART THIS
9	MOTION TO QUASH BUT ALLOW FOR COMMUNICATIONS ABOUT
10	DONATIONS, I DON'T KNOW IF THEY NECESSARILY NEED TO LOOK
11	FOR DOCUMENTS REGARDING THE DIVORCE
12	MR. PRECIADO: YEAH.
13	THE COURT: IF THE RESPONSE IS REALLY ONLY
14	RELATED BECAUSE DIVORCE PROCEEDS WERE USED FOR
15	DONATIONS.
16	MR. PRECIADO: AND THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT WE WERE
17	AIMING FOR FOR THAT PARTICULAR REQUEST, NUMBER 3. TO
18	THE EXTENT THERE'S ANY COMMUNICATIONS THAT INDICATES
19	THAT THE DONATION IS COMING FROM DIVORCE SETTLEMENT
20	FUNDS, AS SHE CLAIMED IN THE MEDIA, THAT WOULD BE
21	HELPFUL.
22	IT'S GRANTED, YOUR HONOR, THAT SORT OF
23	THE COURT: BUT LET ME STOP YOU.
24	IF THEY'RE GOING TO GIVE YOU COMMUNICATIONS
25	ABOUT A DONATION, THAT WOULD COVER IF THE COMMUNICATION
26	REFERENCED THE DIVORCE OR NOT; RIGHT?
27	MR. PRECIADO: YOU'RE RIGHT. THAT IS RIGHT.

28

THE COURT: SO I WAS INCLINED TO JUST NOT

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

15

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

INCLUDE REQUEST NUMBER 3, BUT JUST, WITH THE SCOPE THAT 1 2 WE'VE TALKED ABOUT, FIND THAT THE OTHER 1, 2, 3, AND

3 5 -- I'M NOT OUITE SURE REGARDING THE RELATIONSHIP

BETWEEN MR. DEPP AND MS. HEARD. 4

5 DO YOU WANT TO ADDRESS NUMBER 4, MR. PRECIADO, WHY THAT'S NEEDED -- COMMUNICATION REGARDING THEIR 6

7 RELATIONSHIP?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. PRECIADO: AGAIN, 3 AND 4 COULD BE SEEN AS SUBPARTS OF REQUEST 2. SO TO THE EXTENT THE COURT IS INCLINED TO STRIKE 3 AND 4, WE COULD LIVE WITH THAT BECAUSE THOSE WOULD BE INCLUDED IN REQUEST NUMBER 2.

THE COURT: OKAY. YEAH. SO I'M INCLINED TO QUASH IN PART AS TO REQUEST NUMBER 3 AND 4 BUT ALLOW REQUEST NUMBER 1, 2, AND 5 TO BASICALLY BE ALLOWED WITH PERHAPS THE NOTATION THAT THE REQUESTED DOCUMENTS DON'T INCLUDE FINANCIAL RECORDS OR ACCOUNTING RECORDS.

BUT, YOU KNOW, OTHERWISE, I WAS INCLINED TO CONSIDER THOSE NEWS ARTICLES, MR. COGGER. YOUR CLIENT, AT LEAST IN THE BRIEFINGS FROM THAT I'VE REVIEWED, DOESN'T SEEM TO DENY THAT THOSE STATEMENTS WERE MADE; CORRECT?

MR. COGGER: WHICH STATEMENTS, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: THE STATEMENTS THAT ARE REFLECTED IN THE NEWS ARTICLES SUBMITTED BY MR. DEPP'S COUNSEL.

I DON'T SEE IN ANY OF YOUR BRIEFING THAT SHE'S DENYING THAT AT SOME POINT, SHE MADE PUBLIC STATEMENTS THAT DIVORCE PROCEEDS WERE GOING TO BE USED FOR DONATIONS TO CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL; RIGHT?

> Advanced ONE (866) 715-7770 LEGAL

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

16

1 SHE'S NOT DENYING THAT, IS SHE? 2 MR. COGGER: YOUR HONOR, WE'RE NOT DENYING 3 THAT --4 THE COURT: I JUST WANT THE ANSWER. RIGHT? 5 THAT'S A NO; RIGHT? YOU'RE NOT DENYING IT; RIGHT? MR. COGGER: WE HAVE NOT DENIED IT IN THE 6 7 PAPERS; CORRECT. 8 THE COURT: OKAY. SO I DON'T KNOW WHY THE 9 COURT CAN'T CONSIDER THOSE NEWSPAPER ARTICLES AS, I BELIEVE, IN ONE OF THE DOCUMENTS FILED BY MR. DEPP'S 10 11 COUNSEL, THEY BELIEVE I CAN TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF 12 THOSE DOCUMENTS UNDER SUBSECTION H OF EVIDENCE CODE 13 SECTION 452 BECAUSE THE ACCURACY OF THOSE SOURCES COULD 14 BE REASONABLY DETERMINED AT SOME POINT, AND SHE'S NOT 15 DENYING SHE SAID IT. 16 MR. COGGER: WELL, YOUR HONOR, WE DON'T 17 BELIEVE THAT A NEWSPAPER ARTICLE IS NOT A SUBJECT OF 18 JUDICIAL NOTICE. 19 THE COURT: WELL, TELL ME WHY UNDER 452(H), 20 WHICH IS THE SUBSECTION THAT MR. DEPP'S COUNSEL IS 21 SEEKING JUDICIAL NOTICE -- IS THAT CORRECT, MR. 22 PRECIADO? 23 MR. PRECIADO: YES. 24 AND TO BE CLEAR, YOUR HONOR, WE'RE SEEKING 25 JUDICIAL NOTICE OF THOSE NOT FOR THE TRUTH OF THE 26 STATEMENTS MADE IN THEM BUT SIMPLY THAT THEY WERE --27 SIMPLY THAT THEY WERE MEDIA REPORTS AT THE TIME STATED, 28 WHICH IS CLEAR ON THEIR FACE. SO WE'RE NOT ASKING THE

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

17

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

1 COURT TO TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF ANY FACTS STATED IN THE 2 DOCUMENTS BUT RATHER THEIR EXISTENCE. 3 THE COURT: OKAY. SO DO YOU WANT TO RESPOND TO THAT, MR. COGGER, IN LIGHT OF WHAT MR. PRECIADO JUST 4 5 HIGHLIGHTED FOR THE COURT? 6 MR. COGGER: YES, YOUR HONOR. 7 THEY'RE EXACTLY BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH OF 8 THE MATTER. I MEAN, THERE'S NO WAY TO GET AROUND THAT. 9 THE COURT: WELL, LET ME JUST STOP FOR A I'M NOT GOING TO SAY -- I'M NOT LOOKING AT 10 MINUTE. 11 THOSE REPORTS TO SAY MS. HEARD HAS, IN FACT, REPORTED 12 WHAT SHE'S DONE, BUT YOUR CLIENT IS NOT DENYING THAT 13 SHE, IN THE NEWS MEDIA, SHE'S MADE STATEMENTS ABOUT 14 DONATIONS TO CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL FROM THE SETTLEMENT; 15 RIGHT? 16 AND MR. PRECIADO IS SAYING THESE NEWS REPORTS 17 ARE MEDIA REPORTS OF STATEMENTS THAT YOUR CLIENT MADE IN 18 THE PUBLIC MEDIA ABOUT HER DIVORCE, HER RELATIONSHIP WITH MR. DEPP, AND DONATIONS. 19 20 I'M NOT TAKING JUDICIAL NOTICE OF WHETHER OR 21 NOT SHE WAS ABUSED OR SHE DID MAKE A DONATION OR SHE WAS 22 IT'S JUST THEY'RE WANTING ME TO TAKE EVEN DIVORCED. 23 JUDICIAL NOTICE THAT THERE WERE MEDIA REPORTS ABOUT THIS 24 SUBJECT. 25 MR. COGGER: BUT, YOUR HONOR, EXACTLY WHAT YOU 26 SAID IS THAT YOU ARE TAKING JUDICIAL NOTICE OF THEM IN 27 ORDER TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT SHE MADE THESE 28 CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS, AND, YOUR HONOR --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

18

THE COURT: SO LET'S PUT IT ASIDE THEN. LET'S PUT IT ASIDE ABOUT THE JUDICIAL NOTICE.

BUT THE BOTTOM LINE IS SHE'S NOT DENYING THAT IN THE PUBLIC MEDIA, SHE HAS MADE STATEMENTS ABOUT -- IN CONJUNCTION AND TOGETHER, SHE'S MADE STATEMENTS ABOUT THE DIVORCE, ABUSE BY MR. DEPP, AND DONATIONS TO THE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL ALL IN THE SAME STATEMENT. CLIENT HAS NOT, IN THE MOTION HERE, STATED AND DENIED I NEVER MADE STATEMENTS ABOUT MR. DEPP, THE DIVORCE, AND CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS AS REPRESENTED BY MR. DEPP'S COUNSEL IN THIS OPPOSITION TO THE MOTION.

SO LET'S SAY I PUT ASIDE THE JUDICIAL NOTICE, PUT IT ASIDE THERE. SHE'S NOT DENYING THAT I SAID I WAS ABUSED, MR. DEPP AND I ARE DIVORCED, AND TO HELP OTHERS IN MY SITUATION, I'M GOING TO BE DONATING MAKING CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS.

AND SO ONE OF THE REASONS WHY I'M INCLINED TO DENY THE MOTION IS BECAUSE THAT IS WHAT I BELIEVE MR. DEPP'S COUNSEL HAS SUFFICIENTLY SHOWN AS A BASIS FOR ALLOWING THE SUBPOENA TO GO FORWARD.

IT WOULD BE ONE THING IF SHE, NUMBER ONE, NEVER MADE ANY STATEMENTS ABOUT CHARITABLE DONATIONS TO CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL. IF SHE NEVER MADE A PUBLIC STATEMENT, YOUR MOTION MAY HAVE BEEN GRANTED.

IT WOULD ALSO MAY HAVE BEEN GRANTED IF SHE HAD JUST MADE A STATEMENT ABOUT MAKING DONATIONS BUT DIDN'T MAKE IT IN CONJUNCTION WITH DISCUSSIONS ABOUT ABUSE BY MR. DEPP AND A DIVORCE FROM MR. DEPP.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

> Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

19

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

1 MR. COGGER: AND, YOUR HONOR, I --2 THE COURT: I THINK ONE OF MY CONCERNS IS AND WHAT MR. DEPP'S COUNSEL ARGUES IS THAT YOUR CLIENT HAS 3 4 IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN MADE STATEMENTS ABOUT MR. DEPP, NEGATIVE STATEMENTS ABOUT MR. DEPP, STATEMENTS ABOUT I'M 5 GETTING DIVORCED FROM MR. DEPP BECAUSE OF, YOU KNOW, 6 7 TREATMENT THAT I RECEIVED FROM HIM, AND AS A RESULT OF 8 ALL OF THAT, I'M GOING TO BE DONATING MONIES TO 9 CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL, I DON'T WANT TO KEEP THE MONEY, I'M GOING TO BE DONATING IT, AND I WANT TO HELP OTHER 10 11 PEOPLE. 12 I'M NOT SAYING THESE DOCUMENTS ARE GOING TO BE 13 ADMISSIBLE FOR TRIAL, BUT YOU ALSO HAVEN'T SHOWN ME THAT 14 THE COURT HAS GRANTED ANY MOTION OR MADE ANY FORMAL 15 RULING THAT ANY OF THAT EVIDENCE IS GOING TO BE EXCLUDED 16 AT TRIAL. YOU HAVEN'T GIVEN ME THAT SHOWING. 17 THE COURT MAY HAVE MADE SOME REFERENCES TO IT OR MAYBE 18 MADE SOME SUGGESTIONS ABOUT IT, AS OF RIGHT NOW, AGAIN, 19 AS ARGUED BY MR. DEPP, THERE HAS NOT BEEN A FORMAL ORDER 20 BY THE COURT THAT IT'S GOING TO BE EXCLUDED, AND AS PART 21 OF DISCOVERY, I THINK IT'S DISCOVERABLE. MAYBE THERE'S 22 NOTHING THERE; MAYBE THERE IS. I DON'T KNOW, BUT I 23 THINK IN LIGHT OF THE LIMITATIONS I'VE TALKED ABOUT, I 24 THINK IT'S REASONABLE --25 MR. COGGER: YOUR HONOR --26 THE COURT: -- AND THERE'S BEEN NO FORMAL 27 OBJECTION BY THE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL. 28 SO THIS IS WHAT I'M GOING TO DO. I'M GOING TO

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021 20

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

1 ALLOW MR. PRECIADO TO SAY ANY OTHER FINAL THOUGHTS YOU

2 WOULD LIKE TO MAKE AS TO WHY THE COURT SHOULD GRANT THE

MOTION, I MEAN, GRANT THE MOTION IN PART AS I'VE 3

4 INCLINED TO SAY I WAS GOING TO DO AND ALLOW THE SUBPOENA

5 TO GO FORWARD AS TO CATEGORIES 1, 2 AND 5.

IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE, MR. PRECIADO, YOU 6

7 WOULD LIKE TO HIGHLIGHT BEFORE I LET MR. COGGER HAVE ANY

8 FINAL STATEMENTS?

9 MR. PRECIADO: I DON'T THINK SO, YOUR HONOR.

YOU'VE TOUCHED ON EVERYTHING. 10

11 IT'S TRUE THAT THOSE STATEMENTS WERE MADE IN

12 THE MEDIA. AGAIN, THE TRUTH OF THOSE STATEMENTS IS NOT

13 AT ISSUE HERE TODAY. THE ONLY THING THAT IS AT ISSUE

14 HERE TODAY IS THAT IF IT MEETS THE DISCOVERY RELEVANCE

15 THRESHOLD, WHICH CLEARLY IT DOES, MS. HEARD HAS NOT

16 DENIED THAT STATEMENTS WERE MADE IN THE MEDIA, AND WE'RE

ENTITLED TO EXPLORE THEM. AND THE COURT IS EXACTLY

18 RIGHT.

17

25

19 THERE'S BEEN NO PRECLUSION OF THIS EVIDENCE IN

20 THE VIRGINIA COURT. AS A MATTER OF FACT, THERE WAS A

21 MOTION TO COMPEL FILED, WHICH THEY REFERENCE IN THEIR

22 PAPERS, IN CONNECTION WITH THE HOWELL MOTION THAT WAS

THIS IS LIVE TERRITORY. WE'RE ENTITLED TO 23

24 DISCOVER IT.

THE COURT: THANK YOU.

FINAL THOUGHT, MR. COGGER, BUT AS I'VE SAID, 26

27 MY TENTATIVE AND CONSIDERING WHAT MR. PRECIADO SAID, I'M

28 INCLINED TO GRANT IN PART, EXCLUDE REQUESTS NUMBER 3 AND



INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

21

1	4, ALLOW THE OTHER COMMUNICATIONS AND CONFIRMATIONS AND
2	ANY PRESS RELEASES, ET CETERA, TO BE EXPLORED.
3	SO FINAL THOUGHT, MR. COGGER.
4	MR. COGGER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
5	YOUR HONOR, MY SUGGESTION HERE IS THAT THE
6	FOCUS OF THE ARGUMENT SHOULD BE ON WHETHER OR NOT THESE
7	MATERIALS ARE CALCULATED TO LEAD TO RELEVANT, ADMISSIBLE
8	DISCOVERY, YOUR HONOR.
9	THE COURT: SO LET ME STOP YOU FOR A MINUTE ON
10	THAT.
11	WHY DO YOU FEEL IT'S NOT POSSIBLE TO LEAD TO
12	DISCOVERY OF ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE?
13	LET'S SAY, FOR EXAMPLE, IT TURNS OUT SHE
14	DIDN'T DONATE ANYTHING.
15	MR. COGGER: OKAY, YES.
16	THE COURT: WHY IS MR. DEPP'S COUNSEL NOT
17	ENTITLED TO EXPLORE IF SHE EVEN MADE DONATIONS?
18	MR. COGGER: BECAUSE IT'S NOT
19	THE COURT: AND IF SHE DIDN'T MAKE DONATIONS,
20	THAT'S FOR THE TRIAL COURT IN VIRGINIA TO DECIDE WHETHER
21	THAT'S RELEVANT TO THESE CLAIMS AT TRIAL.
22	MR. COGGER: AND, YOUR HONOR, THE CLAIMS AT
23	TRIAL ARE DEFAMATION IN REGARDS TO THREE SPECIFIC COUNTS
24	WHICH IS THE ONLINE PUBLICATION OF THE OP-ED PIECE OF
25	THE WASHINGTON POST, THE PRINT EDITION PUBLICATION BY
26	THE WASHINGTON POST, AND MS. HEARD TWEETING OUT A LINK
27	TO THAT WASHINGTON POST ARTICLE IN DECEMBER OF 2018.
28	THE COURT: SO LET ME STOP YOU THERE, AND I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

22

KNOW I KEEP STOPPING YOU, MR. COGGER, AND I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND YOUR POINT, BUT LET ME JUST HEAR OUICKLY FROM MR. PRECIADO.

LET'S SAY, FOR EXAMPLE, CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL SAYS WE NEVER RECEIVED ANY DONATIONS FROM MS. HEARD. WHY IS THAT RELEVANT OR POSSIBLY RELEVANT TO THE CLAIMS AT TRIAL?

MR. PRECIADO: WELL, IT'S RELEVANT FOR TWO SEPARATE REASONS, YOUR HONOR. AS THE COURT IS AWARE, THE UNDERLYING DEFAMATORY STATEMENTS ARISE FROM STATEMENTS MADE BY MS. AMBER IN THE MEDIA. TO THE EXTENT SHE'S MAKING OTHER RELATED STATEMENTS IN THE MEDIA THAT ARE FALSE TO SUPPORT HER NARRATIVE, AND WE CAN SHOW THAT SHE'S MAKING FALSE STATEMENTS TO THE MEDIA IN CONNECTION WITH THE SAME NARRATIVE THAT'S AT ISSUE IN THE CASE, I THINK THAT GOES TO HER CREDIBILITY, AND IT STRONGLY SUGGESTS THAT SHE'S CREATING FALSE NARRATIVES

SECOND --

IN THE MEDIA.

I'M GOING TO JUST STOP YOU THERE. THE COURT: I DON'T WANT TO ARGUE BEFORE THE PARTIES, BUT THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT I WAS THINKING, MR. COGGER, AND, AGAIN, THAT'S FOR THE TRIAL COURT IN VIRGINIA TO DECIDE, BUT I'M FINDING THAT IT MAY POSSIBLY LEAD TO THE DISCOVERY OF ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE AT TRIAL, AND WHAT MR. PRECIADO JUST SAID WAS SOMETHING THAT THIS COURT WAS THINKING.

SO I'LL LET YOU FINISH, MR. COGGER, BUT GO THAT'S WHY THIS COURT BELIEVES IT MAY LEAD TO AHEAD.

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

23

1 THE DISCOVERY OF ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE, WHAT MR. PRECIADO 2 JUST SAID. MR. COGGER: YOUR HONOR, AND THAT WOULD BE 3 ESSENTIALLY SHE'S ACTED IN A CERTAIN WAY ON A PARTICULAR 4 5 OCCASION; THEREFORE, IT'S MORE LIKELY THAT THIS STATEMENT THAT IS AT ISSUE IN THE TRIAL IS FALSE AS 6 7 WELL, IF THAT STATEMENT IS FALSE AS WELL, AS ANY 8 STATEMENT MADE IN THE PRESS IS FALSE AS WELL, AND THAT 9 WE WOULD ASSERT TO BE CHARACTER EVIDENCE. YOUR HONOR, THIS CASE HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH 10 11 CHARITABLE DONATIONS. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH IT. 12 THE COURT: BUT YOUR CLIENT MADE IT A POINT TO 13 DISCUSS CHARITABLE DONATIONS AS SHE WAS DISCUSSING WHAT 14 SHE BELIEVES WAS, YOU KNOW, NEGATIVE TREATMENT BY MR. 15 DEPP AS WELL AS THE NECESSITY TO DIVORCE HIM FOR THAT. 16 AND AS I SAID, IF SHE HAD MADE A PUBLIC STATEMENT ABOUT 17 I'M GOING TO DONATE \$7 MILLION TO CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL 18 AND DIDN'T MENTION MR. DEPP AND DIDN'T MENTION HER 19 DIVORCE, I WOULD BE GRANTING THIS MOTION TO QUASH. BUT 20 SHE DID IT IN CONJUNCTION WITH TALKING ABOUT MR. DEPP 21 AND TALKING ABOUT HER DIVORCE, AND I AGREE WITH 22 MR. COGGER THAT SHE'S BASICALLY PUT IT AT ISSUE HERE. 23 AGAIN, IT'S UP TO THE TRIAL COURT TO DECIDE 24 WHETHER OR NOT IT'S GOING TO BE -- CAN BE OR WILL BE IT'S UP TO MR. DEPP'S COUNSEL TO ARGUE 25 USED AT TRIAL. 26 WHATEVER THEY FIND WHAT SHOULD BE ADMITTED AND NOT ADMITTED AT TRIAL, BUT I'M HERE TO CONSIDER WHAT'S 27 DISCOVERABLE, AND I'M FINDING THAT, BASED ON THE 28

28

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

24

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

1 ARGUMENTS OF MR. DEPP, I'M FINDING IT SHOULD BE 2 DISCOVERABLE. 3 SO YOUR FINAL THOUGHT MR. COGGER, AND 4 UNFORTUNATELY WE'RE GOING TO HAVE MOVE ON BECAUSE I 5 STILL HAVE ANOTHER CASE TO HEAR THIS MORNING. SO FINAL THOUGHTS ON CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL, AND THEN WE'LL MOVE ON 6 7 TO THE HOWELL MOTION TO QUASH. 8 MR. COGGER: I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR. 9 TWO THINGS: ONE, AGAIN, WHILE THESE ARE NOT RELEVANT TO THE PROCEEDINGS, THE POINT OF WHAT THE COURT 10 11 HAS RAISED IS THAT, WELL, THERE'S BEEN NO MOTION TO 12 ADDRESS THESE ISSUES. THERE ARE LESS INTRUSIVE WAYS TO 13 OBTAIN THIS INFORMATION, AND AS COUNSEL INCLUDED IN 14 THEIR OPPOSITION, THEY HAVE REQUESTED SUCH VIA WRITTEN 15 DISCOVERY IN THIS MATTER. THAT WAS OBJECTED TO IN 16 VIRGINIA. THEY HAVE NOT -- PLAINTIFFS HAVE NOT SOUGHT 17 ANY RELIEF OF THE COURT IN VIRGINIA SPECIFICALLY 18 ATTEMPTING TO CIRCUMVENT THAT COURT BY --19 THE COURT: LET ME STOP YOU FOR A MOMENT. 20 YOU'RE SAYING THAT IN THE VIRGINIA COURT, MS. HEARD HAS 21 OBJECTED TO PROVIDING ANY EVIDENCE SIMILAR TO WHAT'S 22 BEING REQUESTED HERE? 23 IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING? 24 MR. COGGER: YES, YOUR HONOR. 25 THE COURT: SO WHAT -- I DON'T UNDERSTAND YOUR 26 POINT THAT THERE ARE LESS INTRUSIVE MEANS. YOUR CLIENT 27 IS OBJECTING TO THE LESS INTRUSIVE MEANS OF GIVING THE

INFORMATION HERSELF. IN FACT, THERE DOESN'T HAVE TO

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

> Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

25

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

1	EVEN BE A DOCUMENT REQUEST. OFTENTIMES I HAVE CASES
2	WHERE COUNSEL JUST SAY, HERE, LET US GIVE YOU THE
3	DOCUMENTS AS OPPOSED TO BOTHERING CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL.
4	MS. HEARD WILL GIVE YOU HER COMMUNICATIONS WITH
5	CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL ABOUT THE DONATION ITSELF, A COPY OF
6	THE CHECK, ANY E-MAILS ABOUT IT SHE HAD WITH CHILDREN'S
7	HOSPITAL. HERE YOU GO. LET'S NOT BOTHER CHILDREN'S
8	HOSPITAL. WE'RE GOING TO GIVE IT TO YOU INSTEAD. I
9	DON'T SEE ANYTHING IN THE PAPERS WHERE MS. HEARD HAS
10	AGREED TO DO THAT, EITHER BY WAY OF FORMAL DISCOVERY OR
11	NONFORMAL DISCOVERY.
12	SO WHAT IS THE LESS INTRUSIVE MEANS?
13	YOU'RE SAYING THEY HAVE TO MOVE TO COMPEL IN
14	VIRGINIA AND GO THAT ROUTE. IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING,
15	MR. COGGER?
16	MR. COGGER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
17	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, I DON'T AGREE.
18	SO FINAL THOUGHTS. ANYTHING ELSE?
19	MR. COGGER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
20	AND, AGAIN, I JUST WANT TO STRESS THAT BECAUSE
21	OF THE NATURE OF THE INFORMATION, THESE ARE CHARITABLE
22	CONTRIBUTIONS, THESE HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH THE FACTS
23	AND THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE LITIGATION AT ISSUE.
24	THE COURT: UNDERSTOOD. I UNDERSTAND, BUT I
25	DISAGREE.
26	SO I'M GOING TO GRANT THE MOTION IN PART AS
27	I'VE STATED.

28

WE'LL TALK ABOUT SANCTIONS IN A MOMENT AFTER I

28

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021 26

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

1 HEAR ARGUMENT ON THE HOWELL. 2 SO I WILL HAVE MR. PRECIADO, YOU'RE GOING TO GIVE NOTICE THAT THE COURT IS ONLY GRANTING THE MOTION 3 IN PART, THE CATEGORIES WHICH I INDICATED MUST BE 4 5 COMPLIED WITH. I WILL FILE A WRITTEN RULING ON THIS, AND PERHAPS -- I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU WANT TO GO ABOUT IT, 6 7 MR. COGGER. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU WANT TO GIVE ME A 8 SPECIFIC PROPOSED ORDER THAT INCLUDES THE SPECIFIC 9 CATEGORIES THAT I'M ORDERING GOING FORWARD. I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU PREFER I DO IT. 10 11 SO, MR. COGGER, I'M ASKING YOU WHAT DO YOU 12 PREFER I DO WITH RESPECT TO THE PROPOSED ORDER? YOU 13 WANT TO GIVE ME A SPECIFIC PROPOSED ORDER TO SIGN? 14 MR. COGGER: WE CAN DO THAT, YOUR HONOR. 15 THE COURT: OKAY. 16 AND, YOUR HONOR, JUST IF I --MR. PRECIADO: 17 THE COURT: AND I ASK MR. COGGER THAT YOU 18 SHARE IT WITH MR. PRECIADO FIRST BEFORE YOU SUBMIT IT. 19 MR. PRECIADO: YES. 20 MR. COGGER: OF COURSE. 21 MR. PRECIADO: THANK YOU. 22 THE COURT: I WILL BE FILING MY WRITTEN RULING 23 IF COUNSEL WANTS TO WAIT UNTIL THAT WRITTEN RULING IS FILED, THAT'S FINE, BUT I'M TELLING YOU NOW 24 25 THE PARAMETERS FOR WHICH I'M ORDERING. AND I THINK 26 MAYBE IF THE PARTIES WILL INCLUDE AT THE BOTTOM OF THAT 27 PROPOSED ORDER NO FINANCIAL RECORDS OR ACCOUNTING

RECORDS NEED BE PRODUCED, BUT CATEGORIES 1, 2, AND 5 ARE

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

27

1	ORDERED COMPLIED WITH, I THINK THAT SHOULD BE CLEAR
2	ENOUGH.
3	SO LET'S MOVE ON NOW TO THE HOWELL MOTION TO
4	QUASH. ESSENTIALLY I'M INCLINED MY TENTATIVE IS TO
5	DENY THAT.
6	AND I'M HAPPY TO HEAR YOUR THOUGHTS,
7	MR. COGGER. I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO HELP ME OUT THE
8	SAME WAY THAT WE DID WITH THE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL
9	DOCUMENTS. CAN YOU REMIND ME AGAIN OF WHERE I CAN FIND
10	THAT SPECIFIC SUBPOENA, WHAT DATE AND WHAT DECLARATION.
11	MR. COGGER: IT WOULD BE IN THE AUGUST FILING,
12	YOUR HONOR, AND I BELIEVE IT WAS EXHIBIT B.
13	THE COURT: WHAT DOCUMENT AM I LOOKING FOR?
14	MR. COGGER: THE PETITION. YES, THE
15	DECLARATION OF MR. MARIAM.
16	THE COURT: I APOLOGIZE BECAUSE SOMETIMES
17	WHOEVER INPUTS THE TITLE OF THE DOCUMENT, SOMETIMES THEY
18	COPY IT EXACTLY AS THE COVER SHEET SAYS, AND SOMETIMES
19	THEY DON'T.
20	SO IS IT THE DECLARATION OF MARIAM FILED ON
21	AUGUST 12?
22	MR. COGGER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
23	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. CAN YOU TELL ME
24	WHAT EXHIBIT IT IS, PLEASE?
25	MR. COGGER: EXHIBIT B, YOUR HONOR.
26	THE COURT: OKAY. AND I APOLOGIZE. I'VE GOT
27	THE TWO SUBPOENAS CONFUSED.
28	SO I'M GOING TO ASK YOU THE QUESTION AGAIN,

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

28

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

1	MR. COGGER. IS IT YOUR ARGUMENT THAT COUNSEL FOR
2	MS. HEARD ON THE PROOF OF SERVICE DID NOT RECEIVE THIS
3	NOTICE OF DEPOSITION?
4	MR. COGGER: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. IN
5	FACT, NOT ONLY THAT, BUT MY FIRM ISN'T EVEN LISTED
6	IDENTIFIED ON THERE.
7	THE COURT: I KNOW, BUT DO THEY HAVE TO BE?
8	BECAUSE YOU'RE
9	MR. COGGER: AS COUNSEL OF RECORD?
10	THE COURT: COUNSEL OF RECORD IN THE
11	VIRGINIA ACTION?
12	MR. COGGER: WE'RE COUNSEL WE'RE NOT
13	COUNSEL OF RECORD IN THE VIRGINIA RECORD, NO.
14	THE COURT: OKAY. SO WHY IS COUNSEL OF RECORD
15	IN THE VIRGINIA ACTION NOT SUFFICIENT FOR NOTICE WITH
16	RESPECT TO THIS DEPOSITION?
17	MR. COGGER: WELL, THEY WEREN'T SERVED WITH
18	IT.
19	THE COURT: WELL, WHY DO YOU HAVE TO BE ON THE
20	NOTICE OF PROOF OF SERVICE?
21	MR. COGGER: BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT WE'VE DONE
22	THROUGHOUT THIS CASE. THIS IS A CALIFORNIA SUBPOENA,
23	AND WE'RE ENTITLED TO BE ON IT, JUST AS WE'VE DONE WITH
24	THEM ON EVERY SUBPOENA WE'VE ISSUED IN THIS CASE, AND
25	THEY'VE GENERALLY SERVED US WITH DOCUMENTS, NOT LATELY
26	THEY HAVEN'T, BUT THEY ARE ENTITLED TO DO THAT.
27	THE POINT OF THE MATTER IS
28	THE COURT: BUT MR. DEPP'S COUNSEL IN

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 05/10/2021 03:53 PM

> Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

29

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

1 WASHINGTON, D.C. ISSUES THE SUBPOENA NOT MR. PRECIADO'S 2 FIRM; IS THAT CORRECT, MR. PRECIADO? 3 MR. PRECIADO: THAT'S CORRECT. THAT SUBPOENA WAS REQUIRED TO BE ISSUED FROM THE VIRGINIA CASE, AND IT 4 5 WAS, IN FACT, ISSUED BY JUDGE WHITE. 6 MR. COGGER: AND, YOUR HONOR, THIS IS JOHN 7 COGGER. 8 THE COURT: BUT, MR. COGGER, QUICK QUESTION: 9 DID YOU GIVE ME A DECLARATION FROM COUNSEL ON THE VIRGINIA MATTER THAT THEY DIDN'T GET NOTICE? 10 11 IS THERE A DECLARATION? 12 MR. COGGER: NO, YOUR HONOR. 13 THE COURT: OKAY. 14 MR. COGGER: IT'S PART OF MR. MARIAM'S 15 DECLARATION. 16 YOUR HONOR, THE DEPOSITION SUBPOENA INCLUDES A CALIFORNIA SUBPOENA WHICH IS ISSUED BY MR. PRECIADO'S 17 18 OFFICE. 19 THE COURT: SO WHY CAN'T THIS COURT FIND THAT 20 MS. HEARD'S COUNSEL OF RECORD IS ON THIS NOTICE? 21 SO IT WOULD BE ONE THING IF NOBODY ON BEHALF 22 OF MS. HEARD WAS ON THE PROOF OF SERVICE, BUT THERE IS COUNSEL LISTED HERE. THERE'S NOT ONLY COUNSEL --23 24 THERE'S TWO DIFFERENT COUNSEL HERE FOR MS. HEARD THAT 25 ARE ON THIS PROOF OF SERVICE FOR THIS -- AND ALSO, WELL, 26 THERE'S MORE THAN THAT. THERE'S WOOD AND ROGERS COUNSEL 27 (PHONETIC SPELLING). IT LOOKS LIKE THERE'S ANOTHER FIRM 28 HERE IN RESTON, VIRGINIA. THEN THERE'S ALSO CHARLSON,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021 30

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

1 BREDEHOFT, COHEN & BROWN IN RESTON, VIRGINIA ON THIS 2 PROOF OF SERVICE.

SO ARE YOU SAYING THAT THE COURT CANNOT FIND THAT IF COUNSEL OF RECORD IN VIRGINIA WAS SERVED, THAT THAT'S NOT SUFFICIENT? IS THAT YOUR ARGUMENT?

MR. COGGER: IN PART, YES, YOUR HONOR.

BUT AS NOTED IN THE DECLARATION OF MR. MARIAM, OUR COCOUNSEL IN THIS CASE FOUND OUT ABOUT THIS SUBPOENA BY CHECKING THE COURT DOCKET NOT VIA SERVICE, AND THEY'VE INDICATED THAT THEY'VE NEVER BEEN INCLUDED AND IDENTIFIED IN THE PROOF OF SERVICE. AND THAT'S PARAGRAPH 3 TO MR. MARIAM'S DECLARATION.

AND THEY HAVE NOT AFFIRMATIVELY STATED IN ANY OF THEIR PLEADINGS OR THEIR OPPOSITION THAT THEY HAVE SERVED EITHER THE VIRGINIA COUNSEL VIA PROOF OF SERVICE AS REQUIRED OR THE WITNESS.

17 MR. PRECIADO: I CAN ADDRESS THAT, YOUR HONOR. 18 THE COURT: GO AHEAD, MR. PRECIADO. THANK

19 YOU.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. PRECIADO: THAT'S JUST SIMPLY NOT THE THE COURT IS REFERRED TO A PROOF OF SERVICE. THEY'VE DENIED THAT -- WELL, THEY'VE DENIED THAT THEY'VE RECEIVED IT, BUT I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO LOOK AT THE PROOF THAT THEY PRESENTED IN THAT DENIAL. PRESENTED A DECLARATION OF MR. MARIAM, CALIFORNIA COUNSEL, ATTESTING TO VIRGINIA'S COUNSEL'S CONTENTION THAT THEY DID NOT RECEIVE THE DOCUMENTS. THAT IS, IN AND OF ITSELF, INADMISSIBLE BECAUSE IT'S HEARSAY. IT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

31

ALSO BEGS THE OUESTION WHY DIDN'T VIRGINIA COUNSEL PRESENT A DECLARATION SAYING THEY WEREN'T SERVED WITH SO THEY REALLY DON'T HAVE ANY PROOF IN FRONT OF THIS COURT THAT THEY DID NOT RECEIVE IT PER THE PROOF OF SERVICE.

SECOND POINT, OUR EXHIBIT 1 TO OUR OPPOSITION CLEARLY SHOWS THAT IT WAS E-MAILED TO VIRGINIA COUNSEL ALSO 15 DAYS BEFORE THE RETURN DATE ON THE SUBPOENA. ONLY TEN DAYS' NOTICE IS REQUIRED. SO THERE'S ACTUALLY TWO POINTS IN TIME WHERE THIS WAS SERVED ON OPPOSING COUNSEL. THE FIRST POINT IN TIME, THEY DENY THAT IT ACTUALLY HAPPENED, BUT THEY DON'T PRESENT ANY EVIDENCE ESTABLISHING THAT. THEY PRESENT HEARSAY EVIDENCE WHEN THEY COULD HAVE EASILY PRODUCED THE DECLARATION OF VIRGINIA COUNSEL SAYING THAT THEY DIDN'T RECEIVE IT.

SECOND, IT WAS, IN FACT, E-MAILED, OUR EXHIBIT 1 TO OUR OPPOSITION, 15 DAYS BEFORE THE RETURN DATE ON THE SUBPOENA TO THEM WHICH IS SUFFICIENT IN AND OF ITSELF. SO EITHER WAY IT'S BEEN SERVED.

AND THIS IDEA THAT THEY WERE NOT ON THE PROOF OF SERVICE, CALIFORNIA COUNSEL, I MEAN TALK ABOUT A HYPERTECHNICALITY. NOT ONLY THAT, IT WAS AROUND THE TIME WHEN CALIFORNIA COUNSEL WAS JUST SUBSTITUTING IN. IT'S NOT EVEN CLEAR TO ME THAT THEY WERE OFFICIALLY IN THE CASE AT THE TIME THE SUBPOENA WAS ISSUED OR THAT WE HAD RECEIVED NOTICE THAT THEY WERE, IN FACT, NEW COUNSEL FOR CALIFORNIA.

SO, I MEAN, ANY WAY YOU LOOK AT IT OR SLICE

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

23

25

26

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

32

1 IT, IT'S JUST A FRIVOLOUS ARGUMENT.

2 THE COURT: OKAY. SO, MR. COGGER, MY INCLINATION IS TO DENY THE MOTION ON THIS ONE, BUT I'LL 3

GIVE YOU ANY FINAL THOUGHTS. 4

LET ME SEE IF THERE'S SOMETHING I CAN HELP YOU OUT IN TERMS OF MY TENTATIVE, ANY FINDINGS I MAKE. I'LL BE RULING ON ALL OF THE OBJECTIONS AND EVERYTHING IN MY RULING, BUT I'M GOING TO FIND -- I'M GOING TO REJECT ANY ARGUMENTS WITH RESPECT TO SERVICE OR THERE

SO I'M INCLINED TO DENY THE MOTION. YOU KNOW, AGAIN, SIMILAR TO THE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL ARGUMENTS, YOU KNOW, THERE'S BEEN NO SHOWING THAT THE VIRGINIA COURT

14 HAS STATED THAT ANY EVIDENCE PRODUCED THROUGH MS. HOWELL

WAS SOME PREJUDICE TO MS. HEARD ON THIS ISSUE.

15 WOULD BE INADMISSIBLE. AGAIN, THE ISSUES OF

16 INADMISSIBILITY ARE NOT MATTERS FOR THIS COURT, BUT I'M

17 FINDING RELEVANCY. AND I THINK, AS I DISCUSSED AT THE

18 IDC, THE FACT THAT -- AND AS ARGUED BY MR. DEPP'S

19 COUNSEL, YOU KNOW, THERE'S BEEN AT SOME POINT A DESIRE

20 BY MS. HEARD TO DEPOSE MS. HOWELL. I'M INCLINED TO DENY

21 THE MOTION.

22 SO I'LL GIVE YOU A COUPLE OF MINUTES, MR.

COGGER, AND THEN I'M GOING TO HEAR ARGUMENT ON

24 SANCTIONS, BUT I'M GOING TO DENY THIS MOTION.

ANY FINAL THOUGHTS, MR. COGGER?

MR. COGGER: YES, YOUR HONOR.

27 WE CAN -- IF THE COURT WOULD LIKE, WE CAN

28 SUBMIT A DECLARATION FROM VIRGINIA COUNSEL.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

33

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

1	THE COURT: TOO LATE. LOOK, EVERYBODY, NO.
2	NO MORE BRIEFING. THIS WAS YOUR MOTION. I'M NOT HAVING
3	MORE BRIEFING ON THIS. THIS WAS YOUR MOTION, YOUR TIME
4	TO DO IT. WE HAD AN IDC ABOUT THIS. WE'VE TALKED ABOUT
5	THESE ISSUES AT LENGTH, BOTH FOR CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL AND
6	MS. HOWELL. WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THIS AND TALKED ABOUT
7	THIS. THERE'S BEEN ENDLESS MEET AND CONFER IN THESE
8	EXHIBITS YOU ALL HAVE GIVEN ME, AND I'M NOT HAVING MORE
9	BRIEFING, NO MORE BRIEFING. THIS IS IT. I'M MAKING A
10	RULING. DENIED.
11	MR. COGGER: YOUR HONOR, THE OTHER ISSUE IS
12	THAT THEY HAVE NEVER IDENTIFIED THAT THEY HAVE SERVED
13	THE WITNESS.
14	THE COURT: OKAY. SO, MR. PRECIADO, I'LL
15	ORDER YOU TO SERVE THE WITNESS.
16	MR. PRECIADO: YES, YOUR HONOR.
17	MR. COGGER: YOUR HONOR, THAT WOULD BE FOR THE
18	AUGUST 21 DEPOSITION?
19	THE COURT: YOU ALL CAN I'M SORRY. WHAT'S
20	THE QUESTION, MR. COGGER?
21	MR. COGGER: THE DEPOSITION SUBPOENA IS FOR A
22	DEPOSITION THAT WAS SCHEDULED TO TAKE PLACE ON
23	AUGUST 21.
24	THE COURT: SO, MR. PRECIADO, DO YOU WANT TO
25	JUST PICK A NEW DATE AND SERVE IT AND PUT IT IN THAT
26	SUBPOENA AGAIN?
27	HOW DO YOU PROPOSE WE DEAL WITH THIS, OR DOES
28	THE COURT ISSUE AN ORDER THAT JUST SAYS WE'LL PICK A

28

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

34

1	DATE. I DON'T KNOW.
2	WHAT DO YOU WANT ME TO DO?
3	MR. PRECIADO: WE'RE HAPPY TO PICK A NEW DATE,
4	YOUR HONOR.
5	THE COURT: AND THEN YOU'RE GOING TO PICK A
6	DATE AND ISSUE A NEW SUBPOENA, OR WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO
7	DO?
8	MR. PRECIADO: NO. WELL, IN FACT, IT HAS BEEN
9	SERVED, SO ALL WE WOULD HAVE TO I WOULD REQUEST THAT
10	THE COURT JUST DENY THE MOTION TO QUASH, AND THEN I CAN
11	CONFER WITH MS. HOWELL'S COUNSEL AS TO HER AVAILABILITY,
12	BUT THE SUBPOENA SHOULD STAND.
13	THE COURT: OKAY.
14	MR. COGGER: YOUR HONOR, I'M NOT SURE I
15	
	UNDERSTAND WHAT THE SUBPOENA SHOULD STAND WOULD MEAN.
16	THE SUBPOENA HAS ALREADY TAKEN PLACE, AND THEY HAVE NOT
17	FILED, AS PART OF THEIR OPPOSITION, ANY PROOF OF
18	SERVICE.
19	THE COURT: BUT, MR. COGGER, IF YOU FILE A
20	MOTION TO QUASH, THEN THE DEPOSITION CAN'T GO FORWARD ON
21	AUGUST 21; RIGHT, MR. PRECIADO?
22	AND THAT'S WHY WE'RE ALL HERE TODAY.
23	MR. PRECIADO: THAT'S RIGHT.
24	THE COURT: AND THE DEPOSITION DIDN'T GO
25	FORWARD BECAUSE THIS MOTION WAS FILED, AND I WOULD BE
26	EXTREMELY UPSET, AT LEAST FROM MY END, IF THE DEPOSITION
27	HAD BEEN ATTEMPTED TO GO FORWARD BEFORE THIS HEARING.
20	MD DDDGTADO: VDG VOID HONOD T DDATTY

MR. PRECIADO:

I REALLY

YES, YOUR HONOR.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

> Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

DON'T UNDERSTAND MR. COGGER'S POINT.

IN EVERY CASE OF A MOTION TO OUASH, IT'S LIKELY THAT THE HEARING ON THE MOTION IS GOING TO BE AFTER THE RETURN DATE ON THE SUBPOENA. THIS HAPPENS AT ALMOST EVERY MOTION. I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THE ISSUE THE MOTION TO QUASH SHOULD BE DENIED.

THE COURT: THE MOTION TO QUASH IS DENIED.

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

35

MR. PRECIADO WILL SELECT A NEW DATE AND GIVE NOTICE TO EVERYBODY AND NOTIFY THE WITNESS ABOUT IT.

SO I'M INCLINED TO GRANT SANCTIONS BECAUSE I'M NOT FINDING SUBSTANTIAL JUSTIFICATION FOR MOVING FORWARD WITH THESE MOTIONS. WE'VE TALKED ABOUT MANY OF THE ISSUES AT THE IDC. I DON'T SEE THAT MUCH CHANGED FROM THE POSITIONS OF MS. HEARD ON THIS, BUT I REALLY --ESPECIALLY WITH MS. HOWELL, YOU AT ONE POINT WANTING TO DEPOSE HERSELF, I FIND IT INTERESTING THAT NOW MR. DEPP'S COUNSEL SHOULDN'T, AND I JUST DON'T AGREE WITH THE ARGUMENT REGARDING SERVICE, AND, AS I SAID AT

SO, MR. COGGER, UNFORTUNATELY, AGAIN, I HAVE ANOTHER MATTER THAT'S BEEN WAITING NOW AN HOUR AND A SO JUST YOUR FINAL THOUGHTS ON SANCTIONS AS TO STARTING WITH CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL AND THEN THE NEXT ARGUMENT AS TO HOWELL.

MR. COGGER: YOUR HONOR, AS TO CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL, MS. HEARD IS ENTITLED TO SEEK PROTECTION OF BOTH HER PRIVATE RECORDS AND PRIVILEGE RECORDS, AND, YOUR HONOR --

THE IDC, I'M INCLINED TO GRANT SANCTIONS.

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

> Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

36

1	THE COUDT! ONLY				
_	THE COURT: OKAY.				
2	MR. COGGER: CAN I CONTINUE?				
3	THE COURT: BUT, OKAY. SO THAT'S FINE.				
4	BUT AS PART OF THE DENIAL OF THIS MOTION,				
5	CAN'T THE COURT STILL IMPOSE MONETARY SANCTIONS?				
6	MR. COGGER: I DON'T BELIEVE IT'S APPROPRIATE				
7	HERE, YOUR HONOR.				
8	THE COURT: OKAY. AND WHY?				
9	MR. COGGER: BECAUSE, YOUR HONOR, THE POINT OF				
10	THIS MOTION WAS THAT MS. HEARD HAS A GOOD FAITH BELIEF,				
11	AS HER COUNSEL DOES, IN THIS MATTER THAT THE MATERIALS				
12	SOUGHT IN REGARDS TO CHARITABLE DONATIONS ARE IRRELEVANT				
13	AND SEEK INFORMATION THAT'S PRIVATE INFORMATION, THAT'S				
14	NOT EVEN CALCULATED TO LEAD				
15	THE COURT: SHE DIDN'T THINK SHE DIDN'T				
16	THINK IT WAS THAT PRIVATE WHEN SHE ANNOUNCED IT TO THE				
17	WORLD IN THE MEDIA.				
18	MR. COGGER: YOUR HONOR.				
19	THE COURT: IT WOULD BE ONE THING IF SHE				
20	SECRETLY OR ANONYMOUSLY DONATED MONIES TO CHILDREN'S				
21	HOSPITAL, BUT SHE ANNOUNCED IT TO THE WORLD. SO I DON'T				
22	AGREE WITH THE PRIVACY ISSUES.				
23	SO I WILL CONSIDER YOUR THOUGHTS ABOUT SHE				
2.4					
24	STILL FELT THE NEED TO PROTECT HER PRIVACY RIGHTS WITH				
24 25	STILL FELT THE NEED TO PROTECT HER PRIVACY RIGHTS WITH RESPECT TO THESE DONATIONS WITH RESPECT TO SANCTIONS.				
25	RESPECT TO THESE DONATIONS WITH RESPECT TO SANCTIONS.				
25 26	RESPECT TO THESE DONATIONS WITH RESPECT TO SANCTIONS. WHAT ARE YOUR ARGUMENTS ON THE HOWELL MOTION				

6

7

8

9

10

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

37

1 ON TO THE HOWELL --

2 THE COURT: NO. LOOK, MR. COGGER, I HAVE TO 3 MOVE ON TO THIS NEXT MATTER. THESE PARTIES HAVE BEEN

WAITING AN HOUR AND A HALF TO BE HEARD ON THIS CASE I 4

5 HAVE BEHIND YOU, AND I HAVE TO GET TO IT.

SO I'VE READ YOUR PAPERS ON THE SANCTIONS, AND IT SHOULD BE DENIED. I'M JUST GIVING YOU A FEW MINUTES TO JUST HIGHLIGHT WHAT YOU WANT ME TO CONSIDER AS TO WHY I SHOULDN'T, AND THEN I HAVE TO GIVE A FEW MINUTES TO MR. PRECIADO, AND THEN I NEED TO WRAP THINGS UP HERE.

11 MR. COGGER: AND, YOUR HONOR, I'M TRYING TO DO 12 THAT, BUT I'M NOT BEING ALLOWED TO GIVE MY ARGUMENT 13 HERE.

14 THE COURT: WELL, I'VE BEEN ASKING YOU

15 OUESTIONS. SO, I MEAN, THAT'S WHAT ORAL ARGUMENT IS.

16 SOMETIMES THE COURT IS GOING TO INTERRUPT YOU BECAUSE I

17 HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. YOU JUST SAID

18 SHE HAS A PRIVACY INTEREST, BUT, YET, SHE DIDN'T MAKE IT

19 PRIVATE WHEN SHE ANNOUNCED TO VARIOUS MEDIA OUTLETS WHAT

20 SHE'S DOING WITH RESPECT TO HER ABUSE, ALLEGED ABUSE,

21 AND DIVORCE FROM MR. DEPP.

> MR. COGGER: BUT THOSE AREN'T AT ISSUE IN THIS CASE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY. I'LL GIVE YOU TWO MINUTES, AND YOU CAN DO WHATEVER YOU WANT, MR. COGGER, AND I'M NOT GOING TO ASK YOU ANYMORE QUESTIONS. GO AHEAD.

MR. COGGER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

IN REGARD TO THE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL MATTER,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

6

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

38

1 MS. HEARD WAS NOT TRYING TO DO ANYTHING TO OBSTRUCT THE 2 THE PARTIES HAVE BEEN GOING THROUGH THIS ISSUE IN THE VIRGINIA MATTER WHERE THE TRIAL IS VENUED, AND 3 4 THEY HAVE BEEN DISPUTING THE RELEVANCE OF THOSE ISSUES. 5 AND IF COUNSEL WANTED TO HAVE THOSE MATTERS ADMITTED TO TRIAL, WHICH JUDGE WHITE HAS ALREADY INDICATED THAT HE 7 FINDS TROUBLING, THEN THEY COULD HAVE DONE THAT. 8 INSTEAD WHAT THEY'VE DONE IS THEY'VE RAISED ISSUES ABOUT 9 DIVORCE, ABOUT SETTLEMENT, ALL OF WHICH OCCURRED BEFORE THE ALLEGED DEFAMATORY STATEMENTS THAT ARE AT ISSUE IN 10 THIS LITIGATION, AND NOW THEY'RE TRYING TO GET ALL OF 11 12 THAT INFORMATION. 13 SO ESSENTIALLY, AS I UNDERSTAND THE COURT, 14 EVERY PUBLIC STATEMENT THAT THE PARTIES HAVE MADE, THE 15 ADVERSE PARTY CAN NOW DO SOME DISCOVERY INTO TO 16 ASCERTAIN THE TRUTH OR ACCURACY OF THAT. AND BASED ON 17 THAT, I DON'T THINK THAT'S APPROPRIATE IN THIS MATTER. 18 THE COURT: AND THAT'S NOT WHAT I SAID, 19 MR. COGGER. I DIDN'T SAY -- I HAVEN'T MADE THAT 20 STATEMENT TO YOU. I DID NOT SAY THAT. WHAT I SAID --21 AND I'M NOT GOING TO REPEAT WHAT I SAID, BUT THAT IS NOT 22 WHAT THE COURT SAID. I CLARIFIED WHY THIS PARTICULAR 23 STATEMENT, I FELT, WAS RELEVANT FOR PURPOSES OF 24 DISCOVERY. LET'S NOT MISREPRESENT WHAT THE COURT SAID. I DIDN'T SAY THAT EVERY PUBLIC STATEMENT IS GOING TO BE 25 26 RELEVANT AND ADMISSIBLE. THAT'S NOT WHAT I SAID. MR. COGGER: WELL, YOUR HONOR, I GUESS THE 27 28 INQUIRY WOULD BE HOW IS THIS RELEVANT.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

39

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

1	THE COURT: I ALREADY TOLD YOU HOW IT WAS				
2	RELEVANT. SO I WOULD SAY JUST REVIEW THE COURT				
3	REPORTER'S RECORD ABOUT THAT. I'VE SAID IT NUMEROUS				
4	TIMES, AND I JUST SAID IT A MINUTE AGO.				
5	SO, MR. COGGER, FINAL THOUGHTS ON THE HOWELL				
6	SANCTIONS, AND I THEN I NEED TO MOVE THIS OVER TO MR.				
7	PRECIADO. THANK YOU.				
8	MR. COGGER: YES, YOUR HONOR.				
9	YOUR HONOR, COUNSEL FOR MS. HEARD WAS NOT				
10	SERVED WITH THE DEPOSITION SUBPOENA, AND WE HAVE BEEN				
11	PROVIDED NO INFORMATION, AND NEITHER HAS THE COURT, THAT				
12	THE WITNESS WAS SERVED IN THIS MATTER AS WELL.				
13	THE REASON THAT DISCOVERY WAS INITIATED FOR				
14	MS. HOWELL AFTER THIS OCCURRED WAS BECAUSE THIS WAS				
15	FOUND OUT BY VIRGINIA COUNSEL THAT THE SUBPOENA HAD BEEN				
16	ISSUED WITHOUT ANY NOTIFICATION OR SERVICE PROVIDED TO				
17	THE VIRGINIA COUNSEL, AND THEN				
18	THE COURT: BUT HOW CAN MR. MARIAM DECLARE				
19	WHAT SOMEBODY ELSE DID OR DIDN'T GET?				
20	I AGREE WITH MR. PRECIADO THAT MR. MARIAM				
21	CAN'T STATE WHAT HAPPENED WITH COUNSEL IN VIRGINIA.				
22	THAT'S HEARSAY.				
23	MR. COGGER: WE'RE COCOUNSEL, YOUR HONOR.				
24	THE COURT: YEAH. BUT WHY DIDN'T COCOUNSEL				
25	GIVE A DECLARATION AND SAY MY FIRM IS ON THE PROOF OF				
26	SERVICE, AND WE WEREN'T SERVED?				
27	MR. COGGER: BECAUSE WE'RE OFFICERS OF THE				
28	COURT, YOUR HONOR. WE DIDN'T THINK THAT WE WOULD HAVE				

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

> Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

40

1	TO DO MULTIPLE DECLARATIONS. IN ADDITION, WE ARE WE
2	ARE COUNSEL OF RECORD IN THIS MATTER, AND WE HAVE BEEN
3	COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR OVER 30 DAYS BEFORE THIS
4	DEPOSITION SUBPOENA WAS ISSUED, AND, AGAIN, THEY'VE
5	NEVER SERVED US.
6	THE COURT: WHAT ABOUT THE E-MAIL SERVICE?
7	MR. COGGER: IT WAS NEVER UPON US, AND THAT'S
8	NOT SUFFICIENT. TO JUST GIVE IT TO SOMEONE ELSE AND
9	SAY, HEY, I GAVE IT TO YOU IS NOT ADEQUATE SERVICE.
10	THE POINT OF THE DEPOSITION SUBPOENA IS TO
11	INDICATE THESE ARE THE PARTIES THAT ARE BEING SERVED,
12	AND THE CALIFORNIA SUBPOENA, WHICH IS PART OF BOTH THE
13	CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL AND THE MS. HOWELL SUBPOENA, BOTH
14	AFFIRMED THAT THOSE ENTITIES WERE SERVED, AND THEY WERE
15	NOT
16	THE COURT: OKAY.
17	MR. COGGER: AND THERE'S NO EVIDENCE TO THE
18	CONTRARY.
19	THE COURT: MR. PRECIADO, FINAL THOUGHTS ON
20	SANCTIONS, PLEASE.
21	MR. PRECIADO: SURE. I'LL MAKE IT QUICK.
22	MR. COGGER, DOESN'T HAVE THE BENEFIT OF HAVING
23	ATTENDED THE IDC IN THIS CASE. IF THE COURT RECALLS,
24	THAT WAS A VERY LONG IDC. BOTH SIDES WERE GIVEN AS MUCH
25	ARGUMENT AS THEY WANTED AND NEEDED. THE COURT
26	ACCOMMODATED THAT. IT WAS VERY CLEAR IN THE NOTICE OF
27	RULING NOT IN THE NOTICE OF RULING BUT THE NOTICE AS
28	

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

> Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

41

1	GRANT THESE MOTIONS BASED ON THE ARGUMENTS THAT WERE			
2	MADE. THE ARGUMENTS HAVE NOT CHANGED. NOTHING HAS			
3	CHANGED. THE ONLY THING THAT HAS CHANGED IS THAT WE HAD			
4	TO INCUR FEES UNNECESSARILY ON WHAT THE COURT HAS ON			
5	ARGUMENTS THE COURT HAS PREVIOUSLY REJECTED AND HAS			
6	REJECTED AGAIN.			
7	IF THE IDC PROCESS IS GOING TO HAVE ANY			
8	INTEGRITY, IT SEEMS TO ME THAT IF A MOTION IS FILED IN			
9	OPPOSITION TO THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE JUDGE AT THE			
10	IDC, THAT SANCTIONS SHOULD BE AWARDED WHERE THEY LOSE ON			
11	THE VERY SAME ARGUMENTS.			
12	IF NOT SANCTIONED IN THIS CIRCUMSTANCE, I			
13	DON'T SEE WHEN SANCTIONS WOULD EVER BE JUSTIFIED. THIS			
14	IS THE MOST JUSTIFIABLE SANCTIONS SCENARIO THAT I CAN			
15	THINK OF.			
16	AGAIN, THERE'S NOTHING NEW HERE EXCEPT			
17	REQUIRING US TO INCUR THESE EXTRA FEES AND MAKING THE			
18	SAME ARGUMENTS THAT WERE REJECTED BY THE COURT IN THE			
19	IDC.			
20	THE COURT: OKAY.			
21	MR. COGGER: YOUR HONOR, CAN I BE HEARD ON ONE			
22	LAST ISSUE?			
23	THE COURT: NO. I'M SORRY, EVERYBODY. THAT'S			
24	IT.			
25	SO THIS IS WHAT I'M GOING TO DO. I'M GOING TO			
26	CONSIDER MY FINAL THOUGHTS ON SANCTIONS, AND I WILL			
27	ISSUE MY FINAL RULING TODAY. BUT JUST AND REALLY			
0.0	THE C THEM BUT ANOTHER OF CANODITONS DID I AN COTTE TO BE			

IT'S JUST THE AMOUNT OF SANCTIONS, BUT I AM GOING TO BE

28

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

42 Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc.

Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

1 GRANTING MONETARY SANCTIONS, AND I WILL EXPLAIN FULLY 2 AND THOROUGHLY WHY, BUT I WILL ISSUE THE FINAL RULING ON 3 THE AMOUNT OF SANCTIONS IN MY WRITTEN RULING.

SO WE'LL HAVE AS PART OF THE NOTICE OF RULING -- WELL, MR. PRECIADO, LET ME ASK YOU THIS. HOW DO YOU THINK THE COURT SHOULD PROCEED WITH RESPECT TO THESE ORDERS AND RULINGS?

DO YOU WANT TO BE THE ONE WHO PREPARES THE PROPOSED ORDER?

MR. PRECIADO: YEAH. I THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE FOR US TO PREPARE THE PROPOSED ORDER SINCE ON BOTH MOTIONS, WE'RE THE PREVAILING PARTY; AND WITH RESPECT TO THE SANCTIONS, WE'RE GOING TO BE THE PREVAILING PARTY.

BUT I'M HAPPY TO SHARE IT, OF COURSE, AND FOLLOW THE MEET AND CONFER PROCESS WITH RESPECT TO ANY ORDER WE PROPOSE.

THE COURT: SO I'LL FILE MY RULINGS BY THIS AFTERNOON OR THIS EVENING. HOPEFULLY THEY'LL BE AVAILABLE TO YOU TOMORROW, AND YOU CAN FOLLOW THE POSITION OF THE COURT WITH RESPECT TO SANCTIONS AND INCLUDE THOSE IN THE PROPOSED ORDER, MR. PRECIADO.

MR. PRECIADO: THANK YOU.

SO, I'M SORRY, EVERYONE. WE'RE THE COURT: GOING TO HAVE TO CONCLUDE. YOU KNOW, WE'VE BEEN ON THE PHONE NOW FOR ALMOST AN HOUR AND 15 MINUTES. UNFORTUNATELY I HAVE TO GET TO THIS NEXT MATTER, BUT THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

AND I HAVE ONE LAST QUESTION. SO IT LOOKS



NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

1 LIKE SOMEONE HAS PUT A PITCHESS MOTION ON CALENDAR. 2 WHOSE MOTION IS THAT? 3 MR. COGGER: THAT'S OURS, YOUR HONOR, JOHN 4 COGGER. 5 THE COURT: WHAT IS THAT ALL ABOUT? MR. COGGER: YOUR HONOR, WE SERVED A SUBPOENA 6 7 ON THE LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND THEIR COUNSEL 8 ADVISED US THAT THEY COULD NOT PROVIDE THE MATERIALS 9 ABSENT A PITCHESS MOTION. THE COURT: CAN YOU JUST GIVE ME THE GIST OF 10 11 WHAT YOU'RE ASKING FOR AND HOW THIS IS RELATED TO THE 12 VIRGINIA ACTION. 13 MR. COGGER: WELL, YOUR HONOR, IT INVOLVES THE 14 BODY CAM FOOTAGE FROM THE OFFICERS WHO INVESTIGATED THE 15 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE POLICE CALLS WHILE THE PARTIES WERE 16 MARRIED. 17 THE COURT: SO IT'S NOT ASKING FOR PERSONNEL 18 RECORDS; IT'S ASKING FOR BODY CAM PHOTOS AND FOOTAGE? 19 MR. COGGER: IT IS ADDITIONALLY ASKING FOR 20 PERSONNEL RECORDS IN REGARDS TO ANY DISCIPLINE OR 21 COMMENTS BY SUPERVISORS RELATING TO THE USE OF BODY 22 CAMS. 23 THE COURT: SO, NUMBER ONE, YOU'RE ASKING ME 24 TO ISSUE AN ORDER ALLOWING THE POLICE DEPARTMENT TO 25 PROVIDE YOU WITH BODY CAM FOOTAGE, AND, NUMBER TWO, YOU 26 WANT DISCIPLINARY RECORDS OF OFFICERS REGARDING THE USE 2.7 OF BODY CAM FOOTAGE?

> Advanced ONE (866) 715-7770 LEGAL

MR. COGGER: YES, YOUR HONOR.

28

advancedONE.com

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

> Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

44

1	SO, FOR INSTANCE, IF THE OFFICERS AT ISSUE HAD				
2	BEEN DISCIPLINED OR IN ANY FASHION IN REGARDS TO THEIR				
3	USE OR LACK OF USE OF BODY CAM FOOTAGE OR RECORDING,				
4	WE'VE INQUIRED ABOUT THOSE ISSUES.				
5	THE COURT: OKAY. AND YOU'VE MET AND				
6	CONFERRED WITH COUNSEL FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT ON				
7	THESE REQUESTS OR NO?				
8	MR. COGGER: YES, YOUR HONOR.				
9	THEY SPECIFICALLY ADVISED US TO FILE THE				
10	MOTION, THAT THEY COULDN'T DO IT VOLUNTARILY.				
11	THE COURT: WELL, HAVE YOU FILED THIS TYPE OF				
12	PITCHESS MOTION BEFORE, MR. COGGER?				
13	MR. COGGER: NOT IN LOS ANGELES, NO, YOUR				
14	HONOR.				
15	THE COURT: YOU HAVE IN OTHER STATES?				
16	MR. COGGER: NOT IN OTHER STATES, YOUR HONOR,				
17	NO.				
18	THE COURT: SO YOU'VE NEVER FILED A PITCHESS				
19	MOTION FOR THIS TYPE OF INFORMATION FROM THE L.A. POLICE				
20	DEPARTMENT?				
21	MR. COGGER: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.				
22	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, YOU KNOW, I HAVEN'T				
23	LOOKED AT IT, BUT I SUGGEST YOU DO SOME RESEARCH ON				
24	THAT. YOU KNOW, I HAVE SOME QUESTION MARKS IN MY MIND				
25	ABOUT WHAT YOU'RE ASKING ME TO DO ESPECIALLY WITH				
26	RESPECT TO PERSONNEL RECORDS. THERE'S A HIGH STANDARD				
27	THERE. SO I SUGGEST YOU THOROUGHLY RESEARCH THAT ISSUE				
28	AND HAVE A GOOD BASIS FOR ASKING THE COURT TO DO THAT				

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

45

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

1	BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT GOING ON THERE.					
2	AND THEN					
3	MR. COGGER: WELL, YOUR HONOR, WOULD YOU LIKE					
4	ME TO					
5	THE COURT: I DON'T WANT					
6	MR. COGGER: LAPD ABOUT AN IDC?					
7	THE COURT: NO. BECAUSE THEY'RE RIGHT. I					
8	CAN'T I THINK YOU SHOULD TALK TO THEM ABOUT THE					
9	VALIDITY OF YOUR REQUEST, YOU KNOW, IN TERMS OF					
10	ESPECIALLY THE PERSONNEL RECORDS. YOU KNOW, IT'S NOT AS					
11	IF THERE'S AN ACTION INVOLVING ALLEGATIONS AGAINST THE					
12	POLICE DEPARTMENT. SO TO THEN DELVE INTO POLICE OFFICER					
13	PERSONNEL RECORDS, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO GIVE ME SOME					
14	CASE AUTHORITY FOR THAT.					
15	MR. COGGER: UNDERSTOOD.					
15 16	MR. COGGER: UNDERSTOOD. THE COURT: I WOULD SUGGEST SOME MEET AND					
_						
16	THE COURT: I WOULD SUGGEST SOME MEET AND					
16 17	THE COURT: I WOULD SUGGEST SOME MEET AND CONFER EFFORTS WITH LAPD COUNSEL ABOUT WHETHER THEY'RE					
16 17 18	THE COURT: I WOULD SUGGEST SOME MEET AND CONFER EFFORTS WITH LAPD COUNSEL ABOUT WHETHER THEY'RE GOING TO BE OPPOSING THE MOTION AND MAYBE GET THEIR					
16 17 18 19	THE COURT: I WOULD SUGGEST SOME MEET AND CONFER EFFORTS WITH LAPD COUNSEL ABOUT WHETHER THEY'RE GOING TO BE OPPOSING THE MOTION AND MAYBE GET THEIR THOUGHTS ON IT. I HAVE SOME BIG QUESTION MARKS ON THAT.					
16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: I WOULD SUGGEST SOME MEET AND CONFER EFFORTS WITH LAPD COUNSEL ABOUT WHETHER THEY'RE GOING TO BE OPPOSING THE MOTION AND MAYBE GET THEIR THOUGHTS ON IT. I HAVE SOME BIG QUESTION MARKS ON THAT. AND THEN WHO RESERVED A MOTION FOR					
16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: I WOULD SUGGEST SOME MEET AND CONFER EFFORTS WITH LAPD COUNSEL ABOUT WHETHER THEY'RE GOING TO BE OPPOSING THE MOTION AND MAYBE GET THEIR THOUGHTS ON IT. I HAVE SOME BIG QUESTION MARKS ON THAT. AND THEN WHO RESERVED A MOTION FOR FEBRUARY 11?					
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: I WOULD SUGGEST SOME MEET AND CONFER EFFORTS WITH LAPD COUNSEL ABOUT WHETHER THEY'RE GOING TO BE OPPOSING THE MOTION AND MAYBE GET THEIR THOUGHTS ON IT. I HAVE SOME BIG QUESTION MARKS ON THAT. AND THEN WHO RESERVED A MOTION FOR FEBRUARY 11? MR. COGGER: I'M NOT SURE, YOUR HONOR.					
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: I WOULD SUGGEST SOME MEET AND CONFER EFFORTS WITH LAPD COUNSEL ABOUT WHETHER THEY'RE GOING TO BE OPPOSING THE MOTION AND MAYBE GET THEIR THOUGHTS ON IT. I HAVE SOME BIG QUESTION MARKS ON THAT. AND THEN WHO RESERVED A MOTION FOR FEBRUARY 11? MR. COGGER: I'M NOT SURE, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: SO A HEARING ON MOTION TO COMPEL					
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: I WOULD SUGGEST SOME MEET AND CONFER EFFORTS WITH LAPD COUNSEL ABOUT WHETHER THEY'RE GOING TO BE OPPOSING THE MOTION AND MAYBE GET THEIR THOUGHTS ON IT. I HAVE SOME BIG QUESTION MARKS ON THAT. AND THEN WHO RESERVED A MOTION FOR FEBRUARY 11? MR. COGGER: I'M NOT SURE, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: SO A HEARING ON MOTION TO COMPEL SUBPOENA RESERVED ON OUR CALENDAR FOR FEBRUARY 11, WHO					
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	THE COURT: I WOULD SUGGEST SOME MEET AND CONFER EFFORTS WITH LAPD COUNSEL ABOUT WHETHER THEY'RE GOING TO BE OPPOSING THE MOTION AND MAYBE GET THEIR THOUGHTS ON IT. I HAVE SOME BIG QUESTION MARKS ON THAT. AND THEN WHO RESERVED A MOTION FOR FEBRUARY 11? MR. COGGER: I'M NOT SURE, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: SO A HEARING ON MOTION TO COMPEL SUBPOENA RESERVED ON OUR CALENDAR FOR FEBRUARY 11, WHO RAISED THAT?					

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

46

1	ALSO			
2	THE COURT: OKAY.			
3	MR. COGGER: AND, YOUR HONOR			
4	THE COURT: IF YOU DON'T NEED THAT MOTION, WE			
5	DO REQUEST THAT YOU WITHDRAW IT BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, WE			
6	HAVE A LOT OF OTHER PEOPLE WHO ARE SEEKING HEARING			
7	DATES.			
8	MR. COGGER: ABSOLUTELY, YOUR HONOR. AND IF			
9	WE RESOLVE THAT ISSUE, WE WILL ADVISE THE COURT CLERK			
10	IMMEDIATELY.			
11	THE COURT: I THINK YOU CAN CANCEL THE			
12	RESERVATION YOURSELF WITHOUT HAVING TO CALL.			
13	MR. COGGER: UNDERSTOOD, YOUR HONOR.			
14	AND THE LAST THING IS THAT I KNOW WE HAD MOVED			
15	OUR IDC ON THE TWITTER SUBPOENA TO TODAY AS WELL.			
16	THE COURT: SO IS TWITTER COUNSEL ON THE PHONE			
17	RIGHT NOW?			
18	MR. COGGER: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE IDC WAS JUST			
19	BETWEEN OURSELVES AND MR. DEPP'S COUNSEL.			
20	THE MAIN POINT OF CONTENTION WAS THE INQUIRY			
21	INTO MR. WALDMAN (PHONETIC SPELLING) WHO WAS COUNSEL OF			
22	RECORD FOR MR. DEPP, BUT HIS PRO HAC VICE STATUS WAS			
23	REVOKED LAST WEEK AFTER AN IDC.			
24	MR. PRECIADO: YOUR HONOR, I CAN CUT THIS			
25	SHORT. WE NO LONGER WILL BE CONTESTING THAT SUBPOENA			
26	BASED ON RECENT EVENTS IN VIRGINIA. SO WE'RE HAPPY TO			
27	TAKE THIS IDC OFF CALENDAR.			

THE COURT:

28

OKAY. THE MINUTE ORDER WILL SO

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

> Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

47

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

1	DEET ECH. MD. COCCED				
1	REFLECT, MR. COGGER.				
2	MR. COGGER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.				
3	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. HAVE A GOOD				
4	DAY, EVERYBODY.				
5	MR. PRECIADO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.				
6	MR. COGGER: THANK YOU.				
7	(WHEREUPON PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 11:35				
8	A.M.)				
9	***				
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

> Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

48

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES					
3	DEPARTMENT 19 HON. STEPHANIE BOWICK, JUDGE					
4	AMBER LAURA HEARD,					
5	PETITIONER)					
6	VS.) NO. 19STCP04763					
7 8) REPORTER'S THE MANDEL COMPANY, INC., D/B/A) CERTIFICATE THE MANAGEMENT GROUP, A) CALIFORNIA CORPORATION,)					
9) RESPONDENTS.)					
10	JOHN C. DEPP, II,					
11	PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER,)					
12	VS.)					
13	AMBER LAURA HEARD,)					
14	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT.))					
15						
16	I, ALICIA RENEE DESMOND, OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO					
17	TEMPORE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF					
18	CALIFORNIA, FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY					
19	CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES, 1 THROUGH 47, COMPRISE					
20	A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT TO THE BEST OF MY					
21	ABILITIES OF THE REMOTE PROCEEDINGS TAKEN IN THE ABOVE					
22	ENTITLED CAUSE ON OCTOBER 29, 2020.					
23	DATED THIS 29TH OF OCTOBER, 2020.					
24	DATED THIS 29TH OF OCTOBER, 2020.					
25	allia labore Vlamond					
26	ALICIA RENEE DESMOND, CSR 13037 OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO TEMPORE					
27	OLLICIAL VELOVIEV LVO LEMBOKE					
28						

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

49 Index: \$7..AN

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

_			•
\$7 23:17		ACTION 14:2	AGO 39:4
	5	28:11,15 43:12 45:11	AGREE 9:27 13:2,6
1	5 11:17 15:3,14		23:21 25:17 35:17
1 10:26 15:2,14	20:5 26:28	ACTUAL 6:11 7:23 10:21 11:11	36:22 39:20
20:5 26:28 31:6,17			AGREED 25:10
11 45:21,24	6	ACTUALLY 10:7,28 11:8 31:9,12	AHEAD 22:28
11:35 47:7	6 10:20	ADDITION 40:1	30:18 37:26
12 27:21	0 10.20		AIMING 14:17
	Α	ADDITIONALLY 43:19	ALICIA 4:6
13037 4:6			ALL 8:1 12:5,6,17
15 31:8,17 42:25	A.M. 47:8	ADDRESS 15:5 24:12 30:17	13:8,9 18:7 19:8
19 4:5	ABLE 6:20	ADEQUATE 40:9	32:7 33:8,19 34:9, 22 38:9,11 43:5
19STCP04763 4:1	ABOUT 8:26 10:24		
	13:9 14:3,5,9,25	ADMISSIBLE 19:13 21:7,12 22:25 23:1	ALLEGATIONS 25:23 45:11
2	15:2 17:13,18,23	38:26	
2 45.0 0 44 44 00.5	18:2,4,5,9,22,26,27 19:4,5,18,23 23:16,	ADMITTED 23:26,	ALLEGED 37:20 38:10
2 15:2,9,11,14 20:5 26:28	20,21 25:5,6,28	27 38:5	ALLOW 14:9 15:13
2018 21:27	26:6 30:8 31:21	ADVANCED 7:28	20:1,4 21:1
	33:4,6 35:9,12 36:23 37:17 38:8,9	ADVERSE 38:15	ALLOWED 15:14
2020 4:4	39:3 40:6 43:5	ADVISE 46:9	37:12
2021 7:27	44:4,25 45:6,8,17		ALLOWING 18:20
21 33:18,23 34:21	ABSENT 43:9	ADVISED 43:8 44:9	43:24
22 6:13 7:9 8:8	ABSOLUTELY 46:8	AFFIRMATIVELY 30:13	ALMOST 35:5
29 4:4 7:13	ABUSE 18:6,27		42:25
	37:20	AFFIRMED 40:14	ALREADY 34:16
3	ABUSED 17:21	AFTER 25:28 35:4	38:6 39:1 45:27
2 44.47 45.4 0 0 40	18:14	39:14 46:23	ALSO 5:19 6:10
3 14:17 15:1,2,8,10, 13 20:28 30:12	ACCOMMODATED	AFTERNOON 42:18	7:21 11:16,20
30 40:3	40:26		18:25 19:13 29:25, 28 31:1,8 46:1
30 40.3	ACCOUNTING	AGAIN 6:17 7:16 10:18 15:8 19:18	
4	13:22 15:16 26:27	20:12 22:22 23:23	AM 27:13 41:28
·	ACCURACY 16:13	24:9 25:20 27:9,28	AMBER 4:2 22:11
4 15:5,8,10,13 21:1	38:16	32:12,15 33:26	AMOUNT 12:20
452 16:13	ACCURATE 8:20	35:20 40:4 41:6,16	41:28 42:3
452(H) 16:19	ACTED 23:4	AGAINST 45:11	AN 7:23 12:8 33:4,
			28 35:21 37:4
	1	I	1

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. 50 Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020 Index: AND..ATTENDED

42:25 43:24 45:6, 11,27 46:23

AND 4:14,16,24,27 5:4,14,18,25,27 6:3,6,10,16,17,19, 21,25 7:5,23,24,26, 28 8:4,5,17,24 9:7, 13 10:3,7,13,16,18, 22,23,26,28 11:1,4, 6,8,20,27 12:13,14, 15,20,24 13:3,8,13, 28 14:16 15:2,4,8, 10,13,14 16:14,24 17:16,19,28 18:5,6, 8,9,14,17,28 19:1, 2,7,10,16,20,26 20:4,5,16,17,27,28 21:1,19,22,26,28 22:1,13,16,22,25 23:3,8,16,18,21,26, 28 24:3,6,13 25:14, 20,23 26:6,16,17, 25,28 27:6,10,12, 18,26 28:23,24 29:4,6,25,26 30:9, 10,11,13,28 31:18, 20 32:7,17,18,23 33:5,6,7,8,25 34:5, 6,10,16,22,24,25 35:8,9,17,18,21,23, 27 36:8,13 37:4,5, 6,9,10,11,21,25 38:3,5,11,16,18,21, 26 39:4,6,10,11,17, 25,26 40:2,4,7,8, 12,13,14,17,25 41:5,17,26,27 42:1, 2,7,12,14,15,19,20, 25,28 43:7,11,18, 25 44:5,28 45:2,16, 18,20,28 46:3,8,14,

ANGELES 4:4 9:1 43:7 44:13

19

ANNOUNCE 11:19

ANNOUNCED 36:16,21 37:19

ANNOUNCEMENTS 13:26 14:5

ANONYMOUSLY 36:20

ANOTHER 9:20 24:5 29:27 35:21

ANSWER 16:4

ANY 11:24,25,27 12:23 13:25 14:18 15:25 17:1 18:22 19:14,15 20:1,7 21:2 22:5 23:7 24:17,21 25:6 30:13 31:3,12,28 32:4,6,9,14,25 34:17 39:16 41:7 42:15 43:20 44:2

ANYMORE 37:26
ANYTHING 13:23

20:6 21:14 25:9,18 38:1

APOLOGIES 10:10, 14

APOLOGIZE 6:23 27:16,26

APPEARANCE 5:23

APPEARANCES 4:8,16,17

APPEARING 4:27 5:19

APPROPRIATE 36:6 38:17 42:10

ARE 5:21 7:23 11:9,15,20 12:1

15:23 17:17,26 18:14 19:12 21:7, 23 22:13 24:9,12, 26 25:21 26:28 28:26 29:25 30:3 32:16 34:6 36:12, 26 38:10 40:1,2,11 46:6

AREN'T 37:22

ARGUE 22:21 23:25

ARGUED 9:21 19:19 32:18

ARGUES 19:3

ARGUING 5:11,15 8:18

ARGUMENT 8:17 9:3 10:1,3,8 21:6 26:1 28:1 30:5 32:1,23 35:18,24 37:12,15 40:25

ARGUMENTS 9:2, 25 24:1 32:9,12 36:26 41:1,2,5,11, 18

ARISE 22:10

AROUND 8:6 17:8 31:22

ARTICLE 16:17 21:27

ARTICLES 15:18, 24 16:9

AS 4:8 6:5,8,18,20 7:26 8:10 9:19 10:11 13:1,4 14:6, 20 15:8,13 16:9 18:10,19 19:7,18, 19,20 20:2,3,5,20, 26 22:9 23:6,7,8,

13,15,16 24:13 25:3,26 27:18 28:9, 23 30:7,16 32:17, 18 34:11,17 35:18, 22,24,25 36:4,11 37:8 38:13 39:12 40:24,25,27 42:4 45:10 46:15

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

ASCERTAIN 38:16

ASIDE 18:1,2,12,13

ASK 4:16,17 6:3 7:14 9:20 26:17 27:7,28 37:26 42:5

ASKING 12:16 16:28 26:11 37:14 43:11,17,18,19,23 44:25,28

ASSERT 23:9

ASSISTANCE 6:7

ASSUME 8:24

AT 6:19,26 7:17,18 8:27 10:20 13:8,20 15:19,26 16:14,27 17:10 19:16 20:13 21:21,22 22:7,15, 25 23:6,22,25,27 25:23 26:26 30:23 31:25,28 32:17,19 33:5 34:26 35:4,13, 15,18 37:22 38:10 41:9 44:1,23 47:7

ATTACH 6:14

ATTACHED 6:15, 22 8:19 9:8,10

ATTEMPTED 34:27

ATTEMPTING

24:18

ATTENDED 40:23



RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

51 Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. 10/29/2020 Index: ATTESTING..CATEGORIES Transcript of Proceedings

Transcript of Pro	ceedings 10/29/	2020 Index: ATTE	STINGCATEGORIE
ATTESTING 30:26	25:20 27:16 28:8,	42:11	
AUGUST 7:3 27:11,	21 30:28 34:25 35:10 36:9 37:16	BOTHER 25:7	СС
21 33:18,23 34:21	39:14,27 45:1,7	BOTHERING 25:3	CALCULATED
AUTHORITY 13:2	46:5	BOTTOM 18:3	21:7 36:14
45:14	BEEN 11:6 18:24,	26:26	CALENDAR 43:1
AVAILABILITY	25 19:19,26 20:19	BOWICK 4:5,13	45:24 46:27
34:11	24:11 30:10 31:19	BREADTH 12:9	CALIFORNIA 4:4
AVAILABLE 42:19	32:13,19 33:7 34:8, 27 35:21 37:3,14	_	28:22 29:17 30:25
AWARDED 41:10	38:2,4 39:10,15	BREDEHOFT 30:1	31:21,23,27 40:12
AWARE 22:9	40:2 42:24 44:2	BRIEF 7:24	CALL 46:12
	BEFORE 20:7	BRIEFING 8:3	CALLING 4:13
В	22:21 26:18 31:8,	15:25 33:2,3,9	CALLS 43:15
	17 34:27 36:28	BRIEFINGS 15:19	CAM 43:14,18,25,
BACK 7:15,17 10:15 11:4,5	38:9 40:3 44:12	BROOK 9:16	27 44:3
,	BEGS 31:1	BROWN 30:1	CAMILLE 4:22
BASED 23:28 38:16 41:1 46:26	BEHALF 4:27 5:2,	BUT 6:19 8:17	CAMS 43:22
BASICALLY 15:14	4,10,14 8:4 9:3 29:21	10:17 11:8,16 12:3,	CAN 5:22 6:11,26
23:22	BEHIND 37:5	26,27 13:1,12,23	16:11 22:14 23:24
BASIS 18:19 44:28		14:9,23 15:1,13,17 16:26 17:2,12,25	26:14 27:9,23
	BEING 17:7 24:22 37:12 40:11	18:3,26 19:13,22	30:17 32:5,27
BE 5:10,14 6:5,20, 26 7:12 11:23 13:3		20:26 22:2,21,23,	33:19 34:10 36:2 37:25 38:15 39:18
14:20 15:8,11,14,	BELIEF 36:10	27 23:12,19,27	41:14,21 42:19
27 16:14,24 18:15,	BELIEVE 7:3,7,11,	25:24 26:24,28 28:5,7,26,28 29:8,	43:10 46:11,24
21 19:8,10,12,15,	12 16:10,11,17 18:18 27:12 36:6	22 30:7,23 31:12	CAN'T 6:21 16:9
20 21:2,6 23:3,9, 19,24,26 24:1 25:1		32:3,8,16,24 34:12,	29:19 34:20 36:5
26:4,22,28 27:1,11	BELIEVES 22:28 23:14	19 35:14 36:3,4,21	39:21 45:8
28:7,19,23 29:4,21		37:12,18,22 38:7, 21 39:18,24 40:27	CANCEL 46:11
32:7,15 33:17	BENEFIT 40:22	41:27,28 42:2,14,	CANNOT 30:3
34:25 35:3,6 36:19 37:4,7 38:25,28	BETWEEN 15:4 46:19	26 44:23 46:22	CASE 4:1,2 22:16
41:10,13,21,28		BY 7:24 11:1,3	23:10 24:5 28:22,
42:8,13,18 45:18,	BIG 45:19	12:3,22 15:24	24 29:4 30:8,21
26 46:25	BLOCHER 5:4	16:10 18:6,10,27	31:25 35:2 37:4,23
BECAUSE 7:16 8:2	BODY 43:14,18,21,	19:19,20,27 21:25 22:11 23:14 24:18	40:23 45:14
9:24 13:7 14:14	25,27 44:3	25:10 29:5,17 30:9	CASES 25:1
15:11 16:13 18:18 19:6 21:18 24:4	BOTH 5:20 33:5	32:18,20 39:15	CATEGORIES 12:1
13.0 21.10 24.4	35:27 40:12,13,24	41:18 42:17 43:21	20:5 26:4,9,28

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. 52 Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020 Index: CERTAIN..COUNSEL

	Transcript or Fro	cccarrigs 10/25/	Z0Z0 INCCX	CERTAINCOONDE
	CERTAIN 23:4	CLEARLY 20:15	COMES 9:22	CONNECTION
	CETERA 10:25	31:7	COMING 14:19	10:1,2,8 20:22 22:15
	21:2	CLERK 46:9	COMMENTS 43:21	_
	CHANGED 35:13	CLIENT 5:21 8:4,18	COMMUNICATION	CONSIDER 7:20 15:18 16:9 23:27
	41:2,3	9:3 15:18 17:12,17 18:8 19:3 23:12	11:3,7,11 14:25	36:23 37:8 41:26
	CHARACTER 23:9	24:26	15:6	CONSIDERING
	CHARITABLE	CLIENT'S 8:23	COMMUNICATION	13:4 20:27
	12:15 17:28 18:10,		S 11:16,24 13:24	CONTENTION 12:3
	16,22 23:11,13 25:21 36:12	COCOUNSEL 30:8 39:23,24	14:9,18,24 21:1 25:4	30:26 46:20
	CHARLSON 29:28	CODE 16:12	COMPANY 4:3	CONTESTING
				46:25
	CHECK 11:2,12,13 12:13 25:6	COGGER 5:1,2,12, 27,28 6:7,16,23	COMPEL 20:21 25:13 45:23	CONTINUE 36:2
	CHECKING 30:9	7:2,6,9,11,15,22	COMPLIED 26:5	CONTRARY 40:18
		8:4,7,9,12,14,16,24	27:1	CONTRIBUTIONS
	CHILDREN'S 5:9 6:9 8:26 9:1 10:2,	9:3,6,11,18 10:10 13:18 15:18,22	CONCERNS 19:2	17:28 18:10,16
	24 11:18 15:28	16:2,6,16 17:4,6,25	CONCLUDE 13:10	25:22
	17:14 18:7,23 19:9,	19:1,25 20:7,26	42:24	COPY 6:10 11:12
	27 22:4 23:17 24:6	21:3,4,15,18,22	CONCLUDED 47:7	25:5 27:18
	25:3,5,6,7 27:8 32:12 33:5 35:23,	22:1,22,27 23:3,22 24:3,8,24 25:15,16,		CORRECT 7:2 8:9
	25 36:20 37:28	19 26:7,11,14,17,	CONFER 33:7 34:11 42:15 45:17	12:17 13:15 15:21
	40:13	20 27:7,11,14,22,		16:7,21 28:4 29:2,3 44:21
	CIRCUMSTANCE	25 28:1,4,9,12,17,	CONFERRED 44:6	CORRECTLY 8:18
	41:12	21 29:6,7,8,12,14 30:6 32:2,23,25,26	CONFERRING	9:24
	CIRCUMVENT	33:11,17,20,21	45:28	COULD 13:10 15:8,
	24:18	34:14,19 35:20,25	CONFIRM 5:18	10 16:13 31:14
	CIVIL 5:8 6:9	36:2,6,9,18,28	CONFIRMATION	38:7 43:8
	CLAIMED 14:20	37:2,11,22,25,27 38:19,27 39:5,8,23,	11:12	COULDN'T 44:10
	CLAIMS 21:21,22	27 40:7,17,22	CONFIRMATIONS	COUNSEL 4:17,26
	22:6	41:21 43:3,4,6,13,	13:24 21:1	5:6 6:25 8:3,20
	CLARIFICATION	19,28 44:8,12,13,	CONFIRMED 11:5	13:17,20 15:24
	10:18	16,21 45:3,6,15,22, 26 46:3,8,13,18	CONFIRMING	16:11,20 18:11,19 19:3 21:16 23:25
	CLARIFIED 38:22	47:1,2,6	11:25	24:13 25:2 26:23
	CLARIFY 13:11	COGGER'S 35:1	CONFUSED 27:27	28:1,9,10,12,13,14,
	CLEAR 16:24,28	COHEN 30:1	CONJUNCTION	28 29:9,20,23,24,
	27:1 31:24 40:26	OTILIT OU.	14:6 18:5,27 23:20	26 30:4,15,26 31:1, 7,11,15,21,23,26
ı				.,,,,,

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. 53
Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020 Index: COUNSEL'S..DISCIPLINED

32:19,28 34:11 35:17 36:11 38:5 39:9,15,17,21 40:2, 3 43:7 44:6 45:17 46:16,19,21

COUNSEL'S 30:26

COUNTS 21:23

COUPLE 10:16 32:22

COURSE 11:28 26:20 42:14

COURT 4:10,12,20, 23,26 5:3,6,13,17, 18,22,25,27 6:2,19, 25 7:4,8,14,28 8:1, 10,15,26 9:7,13,20 10:10 11:23 12:5,8, 11,21,25,27 13:1,2, 7,16 14:13,23,28 15:9,12,23 16:4,8, 9,19 17:1,3,5,9 18:1 19:2,14,17,20, 26 20:2,17,20,25 21:9,16,19,20,28 22:9,20,23,26,28 23:12,23 24:10,17, 18,19,20,25 25:17, 24 26:3,15,17,22 27:13,16,23,26 28:7,10,14,19,28

29:8,13,19 30:3,9,

16,27 33:1,14,19,

24,28 34:5,10,13,

19,24 35:7 36:1,3,

5,8,15,19 37:2,14,

16,24 38:2,13,18,

24,28 40:6,16,19,

20,23 42:6,17,20,

23 43:5,10,17,23

22,24 39:1,2,11,18,

23,25,28 41:4,5,18,

18,21 31:4 32:2,13,

44:5,11,15,18,22, 28 45:5,7,16,23 46:2,4,9,11,16,28 47:3

COURT'S 6:4

COVER 14:25 27:18

CRAIG 8:27

CREATING 22:17

CREDIBILITY

22:16

CSR 4:6

CUT 46:24

D

D.C. 29:1

DATE 27:10 31:8, 17 33:25 34:1,3,6 35:4,8

DATED 6:13 7:13

DATES 46:7

DAVID 9:15

DAVIDA 9:16

DAY 47:4

DAYS 6:5 31:8,17 40:3

DAYS' 31:9

DEAL 33:27

DECEMBER 21:27

DECIDE 21:20 22:23 23:23

DECLARATION

6:13 7:5,6,8,10,12 8:8,13,27 10:3,5 27:10,15,20 29:9, 11,15 30:7,12,25 31:2,14 32:28 39:25

DECLARATIONS 40:1

DECLARE 39:18

DEFAMATION 21:23

DEFAMATORY 22:10 38:10

DEFENDANT 9:14

DEFINE 10:25

DEFINITION 13:8

DEFINITIONS 10:24

DELVE 45:12

DENIAL 30:24 36:4

DENIED 16:6 18:8 20:16,23 30:22 33:10 35:6,7 37:7

DENY 13:19 15:20 18:18 27:5 31:11 32:3,11,20,24 34:10

DENYING 15:26 16:1,2,5,15 17:12 18:3,13

DEPARTMENT 4:5 43:7,24 44:6,20 45:12

DEPOSE 32:20 35:16

DEPOSITED 12:13

DEPOSITION 8:19 28:3,16 29:16 33:18,21,22 34:20, 24,26 39:10 40:4,

10

DEPP 4:17,19,22, 25 5:14 7:24 13:21 15:4 17:19 18:6,9, 14,28 19:4,5,6,19 23:15,18,20 24:1 37:21 46:22

DEPP'S 6:25 8:3 15:24 16:10,20 18:10,19 19:3 21:16 23:25 28:28 32:18 35:17 46:19

DESCRIBED 11:23 13:13

DESIRE 32:19

DESMOND 4:6

DETERMINE 17:27

DETERMINED 16:14

DID 6:9,14 8:21 9:22 10:28 12:13 17:21 23:20 27:8 28:2 29:9 30:27 31:4 38:20 39:19

DIDN'T 7:17 18:26 21:14,19 23:18 29:10 31:1,15 34:24 36:15 37:18 38:19,25 39:19,24, 28

DIFFERENT 8:6 10:22 13:9 29:24

DIG 12:12

DISAGREE 25:25

DISCIPLINARY 43:26

DISCIPLINE 43:20

DISCIPLINED 44:2



NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. 54 ΙT

Amber Laura Heard			5	
Transcript of Pro	ceedings 10/29/	2020 Index:	DISCOVEREXHIBI	
DISCOVER 20:24 DISCOVERABLE	25 12:18 13:8,9,10 14:11 15:15 16:10,	DURING 12:19 13:25	25:1 28:5 31:24 36:14	
19:21 23:28 24:2	12 17:2 19:12 25:3 27:9 28:25 30:27		EVENING 42:18	
DISCOVERY 19:21	DOES 5:21 20:15	E	EVENTS 46:26	
20:14 21:8,12 22:24 23:1 24:15	33:27 36:11	E-MAIL 11:3,13	EVER 41:13	
25:10,11 38:15,24 39:13	DOESN'T 10:4,5 15:20 24:28 40:22	40:6 E-MAILED 31:7,16	EVERY 28:24 35:2, 5 38:14,25	
DISCUSS 23:13	DOING 6:17 37:20	E-MAILS 11:24	EVERYBODY 4:14	
DISCUSSED 32:17	DOMAIN 19:4	13:24 25:6	10:14 33:1 35:9 41:23 47:4	
DISCUSSING 23:13	DOMESTIC 43:15	EASILY 31:14	EVERYBODY'S	
DISCUSSIONS	DON'T 9:28 10:3	EDITION 21:25	7:18 EVERYONE 42:23 EVERYTHING 11:23 20:10 32:7 EVIDENCE 16:12 19:15 20:19 21:12 22:25 23:1,9 24:21	
13:4 18:27	14:10 15:15,25 16:8,16 19:9,22	EFFORTS 6:5 45:17		
DISNEY 45:27 DISPUTING 38:4	20:9 22:21 24:25 25:9,17 26:6,7,9	EITHER 25:10 30:15 31:19		
DIVORCE 14:2,7, 11,14,19,26 15:27 17:18 18:6,9,28	27:19 31:3,12 34:1	ELECTRONIC 6:5		
	35:1,5,13,17 36:6, 21 38:17 41:13	ELECTRONICALLY		
23:15,19,21 37:21	45:5 46:4	6:18,24	31:12,13 32:14	
38:9	DONATE 21:14 23:17	ELSE 11:9 20:6	40:17 EXACTLY 6:26 14:16 17:7,25	
DIVORCED 17:22 18:14 19:6	DONATED 36:20	25:18 39:19 40:8		
DO 4:27 5:7 9:27	DONATED 36.20	END 34:26	20:17 22:22 27:18	
10:15,26 15:5 17:3	19:8,10	ENDLESS 33:7	EXAMPLE 10:27	
19:28 20:4 21:11 23:10,11 25:10,22	DONATION 10:28	ENOUGH 27:2	11:9 12:12 21:13 22:4	
26:10,11,12,14	11:2,4,6,7,12,14, 25,28 13:25,27	ENTITIES 40:14	EXCEPT 41:16	
28:7,19,26 33:4,24, 27 34:2,7 37:11,25	14:19,25 17:21	ENTITLED 20:17, 23 21:17 28:23,26	EXCHANGES	
38:1,15 40:1 41:25	25:5	35:26	11:13	
42:5,8 44:10,23,25, 28 46:5	DONATIONS 11:26 12:4,15,16,19 14:3,	ESPECIALLY	EXCLUDE 20:28	
DOCKET 30:9	5,10,15 15:28	35:15 44:25 45:10	EXCLUDED 19:15, 20	
DOCUMENT 6:11,	17:14,19 18:6,22, 26 21:17,19 22:5	23:4 27:4 38:13		
21 10:24,25 25:1	23:11,13 36:12,25	ESTABLISHING	EXCLUDING 12:11	
27:13,17	DONE 17:12 28:21,	31:13	EXHIBIT 6:28 7:4,6 8:12.14 9:9 10:6	

23 38:7,8

DUCES 9:1

DOCUMENTS 7:17,

18 10:21,22 11:15,

ET 10:25 21:2

EVEN 17:22 21:17

8:12,14 9:9 10:6

16

27:12,24,25 31:6,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

. 55 Index: EXHIBITS..GOT

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

EXHIBITS 33:8	26:24 27:20 34:17,	FOR 4:14,16,17,19,	GENERALLY 28:25
EXISTENCE 17:2	25 41:8 44:11,18	22,25 5:20,28 6:9	GET 8:5,21 10:13,
EXPLAIN 42:1	FILES 6:6	7:27 10:17,27 11:9, 15,20,22 12:12,17	21 12:8 14:3 17:8
	FILING 6:6,8 26:22	13:12,17,20,28	29:10 37:5 38:11
EXPLORE 20:17	27:11	14:1,9,11,14,17	39:19 42:26 45:18
21:17	FILINGS 7:21,23	15:27 16:25 17:5,7,	GETTING 19:6
EXPLORED 21:2		9 18:19 19:13 21:9,	GIST 43:10
EXTENT 14:18 15:9	FINAL 20:1,8,26	13,20 22:4,8,23	
22:12	21:3 24:3,5 25:18	23:15 24:19 26:25	GIVE 8:10 14:24
EXTRA 41:17	32:4,25 35:22 39:5	27:13 28:1,15	25:2,4,8 26:3,7,13
	40:19 41:26,27 42:2	29:24,25 31:27	29:9 32:4,22 35:8 37:9,12,24 39:25
EXTREMELY 34:26		32:16 33:5,17,21	40:8 43:10 45:13
	FINANCIAL 11:20	35:11 36:27 38:23 39:9,13 40:3 42:11,	
F	12:12,14 13:10,12,	25 43:11,17,18,19	GIVEN 19:16 33:8
	22 15:16 26:27	44:1,6,19,28 45:14,	40:24
FACE 16:28	FIND 6:15 11:2 15:2	20,24 46:22	GIVES 10:23
FACT 12:19 17:11	23:26 27:9 29:19 30:3 32:8 35:16	FORMAL 19:14,19,	GIVING 24:27 37:7
20:20 24:28 28:5		26 25:10	GO 10:15 18:20
29:5 31:16,26 32:18 34:8	FINDING 22:24	FORWARD 18:20	20:5 22:27 25:7,14
	23:28 24:1 32:17	20:5 26:9 34:20,25,	26:6 30:18 34:20,
FACTS 17:1 25:22	35:11	27 35:11	24,27 37:26
FAITH 36:10	FINDINGS 32:6	FOUND 30:8 39:15	GODFREY 9:17
FALSE 22:13,14,17	FINDS 38:7		GOES 22:16
23:6,7,8	FINE 26:24 36:3	FRAME 13:26	
FAR 13:4	FINISH 22:27	FRIVOLOUS 32:1	GOING 4:16 5:10, 14 8:5,17 14:24
		FROM 9:14 11:26,	15:27 17:10 18:15
FASHION 44:2	FINK 9:15	28 12:23 13:25,27	19:8,10,12,15,20,
FEBRUARY 7:27	FIRM 28:5 29:2,27	14:7,19 15:19	28 20:4 22:20
45:21,24	39:25	17:14 18:28 19:6,7	23:17,24 24:4 25:8,
FEEL 21:11	FIRST 5:7 12:1	22:2,5,10 29:4,9	26 26:2,9 27:7,28
FEES 41:4,17	26:18 31:11	32:28 34:26 35:13 37:21 43:14 44:19	32:8,23,24 34:5,6
FELT 36:24 38:23	FIVE 10:21		35:3 37:16,26 38:2, 21,25 40:28 41:7,
		FRONT 31:3	25,28 42:13,24
FEW 8:16 37:7,9	FOCUS 21:6	FULLY 42:1	45:1,13,18
FILE 26:5 34:19	FOLLOW 6:4	FUNDS 14:20	GOOD 4:12,14,18,
42:17 44:9	42:15,19		20,21,23,24,26 5:1,
FILED 7:2,8,15,16,	FOLLOWING 4:10		3,6 36:10 44:28
17,18,24 8:6,7	FOOTAGE 43:14,		47:3
12:24 16:10 20:21	18,25,27 44:3	GAVE 40:9	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		GOT 12:13 27:26

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. 56 Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020 Index: GRANT..HYPOTHETICALLY

Transcript or fre	cccarings 10/25/	ZUZU IIIGCA GIGI	VIIIII OIIIBIICADD
GRANT 13:18,19	25 19:17 20:7 24:4,	HELP 18:14 19:10	5,8,22 22:9 23:3,10
14:8 20:2,3,28	5,14,16,28 25:1,13,	27:7 32:5	24:8,24 25:16,19
25:26 35:10,19	22 26:2 28:7,19	HELPFUL 6:27	26:14,16 27:12,22,
41:1	30:13,14 31:3,14	14:21	25 28:4 29:6,12,16
GRANTED 14:22	33:8,12 34:9,16		30:6,17 32:26
18:24,25 19:14	35:20 37:2,3,5,9,17	HER 11:3 14:7	33:11,16,17 34:4,
,	38:2,4,5,7,14	17:18 22:13,16	14,28 35:25,28
GRANTING 23:19	39:10,28 40:2,22	23:18,21 25:4	36:7,9,18,28 37:11,
26:3 42:1	41:2,7 42:4,24,26,	34:11 35:27 36:11,	23,27 38:27 39:8,9,
GREAT 5:13,17	28 44:11,15,24,28	24 37:20	23,28 41:21 43:3,6,
8:15 13:16	45:13,19 46:6 47:3	HERE 8:5 18:8	13,28 44:8,14,16,
	HAVEN'T 19:13,16	20:13,14 21:5	21 45:3,22,26 46:3,
GUESS 13:17	28:26 38:19 44:22	23:22,27 24:22	8,13,18,24 47:2,5
38:27		25:2,7 29:23,24,28	HOPEFULLY 42:18
	HAVING 33:2,8	34:22 36:7 37:10,	HOPEFOLLI 42.10
Н	40:22 46:12	13 41:16	HOSPITAL 5:9 6:9
	HE 38:6		8:27 9:1 10:2,24
HAC 46:22		HERE'S 13:17	11:18,25,27 12:23
HAD 18:25 23:16	HEAR 8:17 22:2	HERMAN 9:15	13:21 15:28 17:14
25:6 31:26 33:4	24:5 26:1 27:6	HEDOELE 04.00	18:7,23 19:9,27
34:27 39:15 41:3	32:23	HERSELF 24:28	22:4 23:17 24:6
	HEARD 4:2,13,28	35:16	25:3,5,7,8 27:8
44:1 45:27 46:14	5:2,5,10 9:5,14,23	HEY 40:9	32:12 33:5 35:23,
HALF 35:22 37:4	10:28 11:26 12:4,	HIGH 44:26	26 36:21 37:28
HANDS 8:5	16 13:18,25,27	HIGH 44.20	40:13
	14:4 15:4 17:11	HIGHLIGHT 20:7	HOUR 35:21 37:4
HAPPENED 11:8	20:15 21:26 22:5	37:8	42:25
31:12 39:21	24:20 25:4,9 28:2	HIGHLIGHTED	
HAPPENS 35:4	29:22,24 32:10,20	12:15 17:5	HOW 8:6 26:6,10
	35:14,26 36:10		33:27 38:28 39:1,
HAPPY 27:6 34:3	37:4 38:1 39:9	HIM 19:7 23:15	18 42:5 43:11
42:14 46:26	41:21	HIS 10:5 46:22	HOWELL 8:25
HAS 8:3 11:25 13:2	LIEADDIC 0.00		10:1,9,12 20:22
17:11 18:4,8,19	HEARD'S 8:20	HOLD 10:17	24:7 26:1 27:3
19:3,14,19 20:15	29:20	HON 4:5	32:14,20 33:6
23:10,11 24:11,20	HEARING 6:20	HONECTI V 44.0	35:15,24 36:26
25:9 32:14 34:8,16	7:28 34:27 35:3	HONESTLY 14:2	37:1 39:5,14 40:13
36:10 37:18 38:6	45:23 46:6	HONOR 4:18,21,24	,
39:11 41:2,3,4,5	HEARSAY 30:28	5:1,5,12,16,28	HOWELL'S 34:11
43:1		6:16,23 7:22,26	HYPERTECHNICAL
	31:13 39:22	8:14,24 9:6,12,18,	ITY 31:22
HAVE 4:27 5:18	HECKER 9:15	28 12:22 13:6	HYPOTHETICALLY
8:16 10:16 11:6,14,	HELD 4:10	14:22 15:22 16:2,	11:9
18 12:22,24 13:20,	7.10	16,24 17:6,25,28	11.8
28 14:4 16:6 18:24,		10.1 05 00.0 01.1	

28 14:4 16:6 18:24,

19:1,25 20:9 21:4,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

57
Index: I'LL..ISSUE

I

I'LL 22:27 32:3,7,22 33:14 37:24 40:21 42:17

I'M 4:16 6:3,15,16, 17,23 7:3 8:2,5,17, 26,27 9:11,18 10:20 11:8,27 12:8 13:4,18,19 14:1 15:3,12 17:10,20 18:15,17 19:5,8,9, 12,28 20:27 22:1, 20,24 23:17,27,28 24:1 25:26 26:9,11, 24,25 27:4,6,7,28 32:8,11,16,20,23, 24 33:2,8,9,19 34:14 35:10,19 37:7,11,12,25 38:21 41:23,25 42:14,23 45:22

I'VE 6:19,21 13:13 15:19 19:23 20:3, 26 25:27 27:26 37:6,14 39:3

IDC 32:18 33:4 35:13,19 40:23,24, 28 41:7,10,19 45:6, 28 46:15,18,23,27

IDEA 31:20

IDENTIFIED 28:6 30:11 33:12

IF 6:10,25 8:17 9:2, 24 12:13,19 13:3 14:8,10,13,24,25 18:21,23,25 20:14 21:17,19 23:7,16 26:7,16,23,26 29:21 30:4 32:5,27 34:19,26 36:19 38:5 40:23 41:7,8, 12 44:1 45:11 46:4, 8

IMMEDIATELY 46:10

IMPORTANT 12:1 30:23

IMPOSE 36:5

IN 4:10 6:13 7:3,15, 16,18,25 8:8,28 9:15,16,17,21,25 10:1,2,5,8,13,26 11:2,10 12:4,19 13:19 14:6,8,20 15:11,13,19,24,25 16:6,10,26 17:1,4, 11,13,17,26 18:4,7, 8,11,15,27 19:4,23 20:3,11,16,19,21, 22,28 21:20,23,27 22:11,12,15,18,23 23:4,6,8,20 24:13, 15,17,20,28 25:9, 13,23,26,28 26:4 27:11 28:4,10,13, 15,24,28 29:5,28 30:1,4,6,7,8,11,13, 24,27 31:3,10,11, 16,18,23,24,26 32:6,8 33:7,25 34:8 35:2 36:11,12,17 37:22,28 38:3,10, 17 39:12,21 40:1,2, 23,26,27 41:8,12, 18 42:3,21 43:20 44:2,13,15,16,24 45:9,26 46:26

INADMISSIBILITY 32:16

INADMISSIBLE 30:28 32:15

INAUDIBLE 9:16

INC 4:3

INCLINATION 32:3

INCLINED 13:18,20 14:28 15:10,12,17 18:17 20:4,28 27:4 32:11,20 35:10,19

INCLUDE 10:27 15:1,16 26:26 42:21

INCLUDED 15:11 24:13 30:10

INCLUDES 26:8 29:16

INCUR 41:4,17

INDICATE 40:11

INDICATED 26:4 30:10 38:6

INDICATES 14:18

INFORMATION

14:3 24:13,28 25:21 36:13 38:12 39:11 44:19

INITIATED 39:13

INPUTS 27:17

INQUIRED 44:4

INQUIRY 38:28 46:20

INSTANCE 44:1

INSTEAD 25:8 38:8

INSTRUCTIONS

10:23 13:9

INTEGRITY 41:8

INTEND 10:26

INTENDING 7:20

INTEREST 37:18

INTERESTING

35:16

INTERRUPT 37:16

INTO 38:15 45:12 46:21

INTRUSIVE 24:12, 26,27 25:12

INVESTIGATED 43:14

INVOLVES 43:13

INVOLVING 45:11

IRRELEVANT 36:12

IS 4:12 5:2,7,10,14, 19 6:13,15 7:10 8:12,18,20,23 9:3,7 10:8,11,25 11:18 13:13,21 14:1,5,13, 19,27 15:9 16:1,17, 20,21,28 17:12,16, 26 18:3,18 19:2,3, 15,22,28 20:6,12, 13,14,17,23 21:5, 16,24 22:6,9 23:6, 7,8 24:11,23,27 25:12,14 26:3,24 27:4,20,24 28:1,14, 22,27 29:2,6,11,17, 20,22 30:5,21,27 31:9,18 32:3 33:9, 11,21 35:3,6,7,26 37:15,16 38:3,8,21, 25,28 39:25 40:9, 10,12 41:3,7,8,14, 25 43:2,5,11,19 46:14,16

ISN'T 9:10 28:5

ISSUE 10:11 20:13 22:15 23:6,22



NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. 58 Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020 Index: ISSUED..LOOKING

25:23 32:10 33:11	,
28 34:6 35:5 37:22)
38:2,10 41:22,27	
42:2 43:24 44:1,27	7
46:9	

ISSUED 28:24 29:4, 5,17 31:25 39:16 40:4

ISSUES 24:12 29:1 32:15 33:5 35:13 36:22 38:4,8 44:4

IT 6:13,14,15,17,19, 21,22 7:15,16 8:7 9:7,10,11,22 10:4, 5,6,7,18 11:1,8,16, 23 12:14 13:3,19, 20,21 14:2 16:5,6, 15 18:1,2,13,21,25, 27 19:10,17,18 20:14,15,24 21:13 22:16,24,28 23:11, 12,20,22 24:1 25:6, 8 26:6,10,18 27:11, 12,18,20,24 28:1, 18,23 29:4,21,27 30:23,28 31:3,4,7, 11,15,16,22,28 32:1 33:4,9,25 34:8 35:9,16 36:16,19, 21 37:5,7,18 39:1, 3,4 40:7,8,9,21,26 41:8,24 42:14,28 43:13,19 44:10,23 45:19 46:5

IT'S 6:28 7:11 8:14 14:22 17:22 19:20, 21,24 20:11 21:11, 18 22:8 23:5,23,24, 25 29:14 30:23,28 31:19,24 32:1 35:2 36:6 41:28 42:10 43:17,18 45:10

ITSELF 7:4 10:16, 23 11:12 25:5 30:28 31:19

J

JENNIFER 8:25 **JOHN** 5:2,12 29:6 43:3

JUDGE 4:5,12 29:5 38:6 41:9

JUDICIAL 16:11, 18,21,25 17:1,20, 23,26 18:2,12

JULY 7:3,13,15,18, 20,22

JUST 5:18 6:6,15, 19,21,24 7:15,26 8:2,5,10,17 9:11 10:6,15,18,27 11:9, 23 12:8,18 13:11, 13,23 14:28 15:1 16:4 17:4,9,22 18:26 22:2,20,26 23:2 25:2,20 26:16 28:23 30:20 31:23 32:1 33:25,28 34:10 35:17,22 37:7,8,17 39:2,4 40:8 41:27,28

JUSTIFIABLE 41:14

43:10 46:18

JUSTIFICATION 35:11

JUSTIFIED 41:13

Κ

KAPLAN 9:14,15

KEEP 19:9 22:1

KEEPS 11:27

KIND 13:13

KNOW 6:4 11:2,11, 18 12:25,27 13:7, 23 14:4,6,10 15:17 16:8 19:6,22 22:1 23:14 26:6,7,10 28:7 32:11,13,19 34:1 42:24 44:22, 24 45:9,10 46:5,14

KNOWS 6:25

KRISTIN 5:4

L

L.A. 9:17 44:19

LACK 44:3

LAPD 45:6,17

LAST 13:28 41:22 42:28 46:14,23

LATE 33:1

LATELY 28:25

LATTER 12:2

LAURA 4:2

LEAD 21:7,11 22:24,28 36:14

LEAST 13:20 15:19 34:26

LENGTH 33:5

LEO 4:18 5:16

LESS 24:12,26,27 25:12

LET 7:14 9:20 10:15 14:23 17:9 20:7 21:9,28 22:2, 27 24:19 25:2 32:5 42:5

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

LET'S 4:16 10:27 18:1,12 21:13 22:4 25:7 27:3 38:24

LETTER 11:1,5

LETTERS 11:24 13:24

LIGHT 17:4 19:23

LIKE 5:7 6:3 8:7 11:16 14:3 20:2,7 29:27 32:27 43:1 45:3

LIKELY 23:5 35:3

LIMITATIONS 19:23

LINE 18:3

LINK 21:26

LIST 8:21

LISTED 8:20 9:14 28:5 29:23

LITIGATION 25:23 38:11

LIVE 15:10 20:23

LOCATE 6:17

LONG 40:24

LONGER 46:25

LOOK 6:26 7:17 14:10 30:23 31:28 33:1 37:2

LOOKED 6:19 7:18 44:23

LOOKING 8:27 10:20 11:15,20,22

13:8.12 14:1 17:10

27:13



NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

10/29/2020

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings

LOOKS 8:7 11:16 29:27 42:28

LOS 4:4 9:1 43:7 44:13

LOSE 41:10

LOT 8:4 10:23 45:1 46:6

М

MADE 10:8 12:3,4, 19 14:4,5 15:20,26 16:26 17:13,17,27 18:4,5,9,22,23,26 19:4,14,17,18 20:11,16 21:17 22:11 23:8,12,16 38:14,19 41:2

MAIL 11:1

MAIN 46:20

MAKE 9:28 10:3,4, 28 17:21 18:27 20:2 21:19 32:6 37:18 40:21

MAKING 18:15,26 22:12,14 33:9 41:17

MANDEL 4:3,13

MANY 8:6 35:12

MARIAM 7:12 8:12, 28 10:4 27:15,20 30:7,25 39:18,20

MARIAM'S 29:14 30:12

MARKS 44:24 45:19

MARRIED 43:16

MATERIALS 21:7

36:11 43:8

MATTER 4:13 7:27 17:8 20:20 24:15 28:27 29:10 35:21 36:11 37:3,28 38:3, 17 39:12 40:2 42:26

MATTERS 32:16 38:5

MAY 18:24,25 19:17 22:24,28

MAYBE 10:28 11:4, 6,11,12,17 19:17, 21,22 26:26 45:18

ME 5:16,28 7:14 8:11 9:20,27 10:15 14:23 16:19 17:9, 22 19:13,16 21:9, 28 22:2 24:19 26:7, 13 27:7,9,23 29:9 31:24 32:5 33:8 34:2 37:8 41:8 42:5 43:10,23 44:25 45:4,13

MEAN 9:22 12:3 13:10,18 17:8 20:3 31:21,28 34:15 37:15

MEANS 24:26,27 25:12

MEDIA 12:4 14:20 16:27 17:13,17,18, 23 18:4 20:12,16 22:11,13,14,18 36:17 37:19

MEET 33:7 42:15 45:16

MEETING 45:28

MEETS 20:14

MENTION 23:18

MET 44:5

MIGHT 7:12 11:6, 14,17 14:4 45:26

MILLION 23:17

MIND 44:24

MINUTE 17:10 21:9 39:4 46:28

MINUTES 32:22 37:7,9,24 42:25

MISREPRESENT 38:24

MODIFIED 13:3

MODIFY 13:2,20,21

MOMENT 8:11 10:13 24:19 25:28

MONETARY 36:5 42:1

MONEY 19:9

MONIES 19:8 36:20

MONIZ 4:24,25

MORE 6:5 10:27 11:10 12:1 23:5 29:26 33:2,3,8,9

MORNING 4:7,12, 14,18,20,21,23,24, 26 5:1,3,6,21 24:5

MOST 6:4 41:14

MOTION 5:8,11,15 6:8,12 7:1,4,15 9:21,25 10:9 12:24 13:1,19 14:9 18:8, 11,18,24 19:14 20:3,21,22 23:19 24:7,11 25:26 26:3 27:3 32:3,11,21,24 33:2,3 34:10,20,25 35:2,3,5,6,7 36:4, 10,26 41:8 43:1,2,9 44:10,12,19 45:18, 20,23 46:4

Index: LOOKS..MR

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

59

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

MOTIONS 5:20 35:12 41:1 42:12

MOVE 24:4,6 25:13 27:3 36:28 37:3 39:6

MOVED 46:14

MOVING 35:11

MR 4:17,18,19,22, 24,25 5:1,12,14,16, 20,24,25,26,27,28 6:7,16,23,25,28 7:2,6,9,11,12,15, 22,24 8:3,4,7,9,12, 14,16,24 9:3,6,11, 18,24,27,28 10:4, 10,11,17,19 11:10, 22 12:7,10,18,22, 26,28 13:6,15,18, 20,28 14:12,16,27 15:4,5,8,18,22,24 16:2,6,10,16,20,21, 23 17:4,6,16,19,25 18:6,9,10,14,18,28 19:1,3,4,5,6,19,25 20:1,6,7,9,26,27 21:3,4,15,16,18,22 22:1,3,8,22,25,27 23:1,3,14,18,20,22, 25 24:1,3,8,24 25:15,16,19 26:2,7, 11,14,16,17,18,19, 20,21 27:7,11,14, 15,22,25 28:1,4,9, 12,17,21,28 29:1,2, 3,6,8,12,14,17 30:6,7,12,17,18,20, 25 32:2,18,22,25, 26 33:11,14,16,17,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc.

Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

20,21,24 34:3,8,14, 19,21,23,28 35:1,8, 17,20,25 36:2,6,9, 18,28 37:2,10,11, 21,22,25,27 38:19, 27 39:5,6,8,18,20, 23,27 40:7,17,19, 21,22 41:21 42:5, 10,21,22 43:3,6,13, 19,28 44:8,12,13, 16,21 45:3,6,15,22, 26 46:3,8,13,18,19, 21,22,24 47:1,2,5,6

MS 4:21,28 5:2,4,5, 10 8:20 9:5,23 10:28 11:26 12:4, 16 13:18,25,27 14:4 15:4 17:11 20:15 21:26 22:5, 11 24:20 25:4,9 28:2 29:20,22,24 32:10,14,20 33:6 34:11 35:14,15,26 36:10 38:1 39:9,14 40:13

MUCH 11:5 35:13 40:24 42:27

MULTIPLE 40:1

MUST 26:4

MY 7:6 8:5 10:9,10, 13 11:2 13:4,17 18:15 19:2 20:27 21:5 26:22 27:4 28:5 32:2,6,8 34:26 37:12 39:25 41:26, 27 42:3,17 44:24

Ν

NAME 4:2 12:16 NARRATIVE 22:13, 15

NARRATIVES 22:17

NATURE 11:21 13:23 25:21

NECESSARILY 13:12 14:10

NECESSITY 23:15

NEED 6:6 10:17 14:10 26:28 36:24 37:10 39:6 46:4

NEEDED 15:6 40:25

NEEDS 13:3,22

NEGATIVE 19:5 23:14

NEITHER 39:11

NEVER 18:9,22,23 22:5 30:10 33:12 40:5,7 44:18

NEW 9:15 31:26 33:25 34:3,6 35:8 41:16

NEWS 12:2 15:18, 24 17:13,16

NEWSPAPER 16:9, 17

NEXT 35:23 37:3 42:26

NO 7:11 8:26 12:18 16:5 17:8 19:26 20:19 24:11 26:27 28:13 29:12 32:13 33:1,2,9 34:8 37:2 39:11 40:17 41:23 44:7,13,17 45:7 46:18,25

NOBODY 29:21

NONFORMAL 25:11

NOT 7:5 8:20,21 9:4,22 11:8 12:16, 23,24 13:11,21 14:1,26,28 15:3 16:1,2,5,6,14,17, 25,28 17:10,12,20, 21,27 18:3,8,13 19:12,19 20:12,15 21:6,11,16,18 23:24,26 24:9,16 25:7 28:2,5,12,15, 25 29:1,23 30:5,9, 13,20,27 31:4,20, 22,24 32:16 33:2,8 34:14,16 35:11 36:14 37:12,26 38:1,18,20,21,24, 26 39:9 40:8,9,15, 27,28 41:2,12 43:8, 17 44:13,16 45:10,

NOTATION 15:15

NOTED 4:8 30:7

22

NOTHING 19:22 23:10,11 25:22 41:2,16

NOTICE 8:20 16:11,18,21,25 17:1,20,23,26 18:2, 12 26:3 28:3,15,20 29:10,20 31:9,26 35:9 40:26,27 42:4

NOTIFICATION 39:16

NOTIFY 35:9

NOW 6:17,24 8:25 19:18 26:24 27:3 35:16,21 38:11,15 42:25 46:17

NUMBER 4:1 10:26 11:16 14:17 15:1,5, 11,13,14 18:21 20:28 43:23,25

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

60

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Index: MS..OF

NUMEROUS 39:3

0

OBJECTED 8:3 12:24 24:15,21

OBJECTING 24:27

OBJECTION 19:27

OBJECTIONS 12:23 32:7

OBSTRUCT 38:1

OBTAIN 24:13

OCCASION 23:5

OCCURRED 11:14 38:9 39:14

OCTOBER 4:4 6:13 7:9,16,19,25 8:8

OF 4:27 5:2,4,10, 14,20 6:8,10,11,20, 22 7:12,27 8:1,4,8, 19,27,28 9:2,3,4,8, 10,13,25 10:4,11, 17,20,22,23,25 11:7,13,21,26,27, 28 12:5,6,9,16,17 13:1,8,13,14,26 14:6,22 15:9,25 16:10,11,12,13,17, 25 17:1,4,7,17,20, 26 18:17 19:2,6,7, 8,15,18,21,23 20:12,19,20 21:6, 12,24,27 22:25 23:1 24:1,10,17,27 25:5,10,21 26:20, 26 27:9,15,17,20

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc.

Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

28:2,3,9,10,13,14, 20,27 29:14,20,22, 25 30:2,4,7,11,14, 15,21,25,28 31:3,4, 14,18,21 32:6,7,15, 22 34:17 35:2,12, 14,26 36:4,9 38:4, 9,11,16,23 39:25, 27 40:2,3,10,12,22, 26,27,28 41:9,15, 28 42:3,4,14,20 43:10,21,26,27 44:3,11,19 45:9 46:6,20,21

OFF 46:27

OFFERED 17:7

OFFICE 29:18

OFFICER 45:12

OFFICERS 39:27 43:14,26 44:1

OFFICIALLY 31:24

OFTENTIMES 25:1

OKAY 5:27 8:10,16 10:10 12:7,21,28 13:7,16 15:12 16:8 17:3 21:15 25:17 26:15 27:23,26 28:14 29:13 32:2 33:14 34:13 36:1,3, 8 37:24 40:16 41:20 44:5,22 46:2, 28 47:3

ON 4:27 5:2,4,10,14 6:8 7:9 8:3,5,21 9:3,4,13 10:17 13:4 16:28 20:10 21:6,9 23:4,28 24:4,6 26:1,5 27:3,20 28:2,6,19,23,24 29:9,20,21,22,25 30:1 31:8,10,17,20

32:3,7,10,23 33:3, 22 34:20 35:3,4,14, 22 36:26 37:1,3,4,6 38:16 39:5,25 40:19 41:1,4,10,21, 26 42:2,11,24 43:1, 7 44:6,23 45:1,19, 23,24,28 46:15,16, 26

ONCE 12:12,13

ONE 8:11 9:2,25 10:13 16:10 18:17, 21 19:2 24:9 29:21 32:3 35:15 36:19 41:21 42:8,28 43:23

ONLINE 21:24

ONLY 10:8,11 14:13 20:13 26:3 28:5 29:23 31:9,22 41:3

OP-ED 21:24

OPEN 4:10

OPPOSED 6:5 25:3

OPPOSING 31:10 45:18

OPPOSITION 7:23 18:11 24:14 30:14 31:6,17 34:17 41:9

OR 6:22 7:3 11:2,4, 16,17 12:2,9 13:19, 20,22,23,26 14:6, 26 15:16 17:20,21, 27 19:14,17 21:6 22:6 23:24 25:10 26:27 30:14,16 31:25,28 32:9 33:27 34:6 36:20 38:16 39:16,19 42:18 43:20 44:2,3,

7

ORAL 37:15

ORDER 17:27 19:19 26:8,12,13, 27 33:15,28 42:9, 11,16,21 43:24 46:28

ORDERED 27:1

ORDERING 26:9,25

ORDERS 42:7

ORIGINALLY 7:27

OTHER 11:13,15 15:2 19:10 20:1 21:1 22:12 33:11 44:15,16 46:6

OTHERS 18:14

OTHERWISE 15:17

OUR 6:4 7:24 30:8 31:6,16,17 45:24 46:15

OURS 43:3

OURSELVES 46:19

OUT 21:13,26 27:7 30:8 32:6 39:15

OUTLETS 37:19

OVER 39:6 40:3

OVERSIGHT 8:3

Ρ

PAGE 10:20

PAPER 6:6

PAPERS 16:7 20:22 25:9 37:6

PARAGRAPH 30:12

PARAMETERS

Index: OFF..PIECE

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

61

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

26:25

PART 6:8,11,20,22 13:1,19 14:6,8 15:13 19:20 20:3, 28 25:26 26:4 29:14 30:6 34:17 36:4 40:12 42:4

PARTICULAR

14:17 23:4 38:22

PARTIES 22:21 26:26 37:3 38:2,14 40:11 43:15

PARTY 38:15 42:12,13

PATIENCE 4:15

PENDING 5:21

PEOPLE 19:11 46:6

PER 31:4

PERHAPS 11:13 15:15 26:6

PERIOD 12:20

PERSONNEL 43:17,20 44:26

45:10,13

PETITION 7:23 8:28 27:14 45:27

PHONE 42:25 46:16

PHONETIC 29:27 46:21

PHOTOS 43:18

PICK 33:25,28 34:3,5

PIECE 21:24

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. 62 Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020 Index: PITCHESS..RECEIVED

PITCHESS 43:1,9 44:12,18	21,22 46:24 47:5 PRECIADO'S 29:1,	PRODUCED 26:28 31:14 32:14	14:9 15:13 23:19 24:7 27:4 34:10,20	
PLACE 33:22 34:16	17	PROOF 8:19 9:8,	35:2,6,7	
PLAINTIFF'S 8:28	PRECLUSION	10,13 28:2,20 29:22,25 30:2,11,	QUESTION 13:28 27:28 29:8 31:1	
PLAINTIFFS 24:16	20:19	15,21,24 31:3,4,20	33:20 42:28 44:24	
PLEADINGS 30:14	PREFER 26:10,12	34:17 39:25	45:19	
PLEASE 8:11 11:2	PREJUDICE 32:10	PROPER 9:4,22	QUESTIONS 8:16	
27:24 40:20	PREPARE 42:11	PROPOSE 33:27	10:17 13:5 37:15, 17,26	
PLEASED 11:18	PREPARES 42:8	42:16	QUICK 29:8 40:21	
POINT 15:26 16:14	PRESENT 31:2,12,	PROPOSED 26:8,		
22:2 23:12 24:10,	13	12,13,27 42:9,11, 21	QUICKLY 22:2	
26 28:27 31:6,11 32:19 35:1,15 36:9	PRESENTED	PROTECT 36:24	QUITE 14:1 15:3	
40:10 46:20	30:24,25		QUOTES 11:2	
POINTS 31:10	PRESS 11:17 13:26 21:2 23:8	PROTECTION 35:26		
POLICE 43:7,15,24		PROVIDE 43:8,25		
44:6,19 45:12	PREVAILING 42:12,13	PROVIDED 39:11,	RAISED 24:11 38:8	
POSITION 8:23 9:7	PREVIOUSLY 4:8	16	45:25	
14:5 42:20	41:5	PROVIDING 11:3,	RATHER 17:2	
POSITIONS 35:14	PRINT 21:25	11 24:21	READ 37:6	
POSSIBLE 21:11	PRIVACY 36:22,24	PUBLIC 11:17	REALLY 13:23	
POSSIBLY 22:6,24	37:18	15:26 17:18 18:4,	14:13 31:3 34:28	
POST 21:25,26,27	PRIVATE 35:27	23 19:4 23:16 38:14,25	35:14 41:27	
PRECIADO 4:18,19	36:13,16 37:19	PUBLICATION	REASON 39:13	
5:16,20,24,25,26	PRIVILEGE 35:27	12:2 21:24,25	REASONABLE 19:24	
6:28 9:25,27,28	PRO 46:22	PUBLICATIONS		
10:11,17,19 11:10, 22 12:7,10,18,22,	PROCEED 42:6	11:28	REASONABLY 16:14	
26,28 13:6,15 14:1,	PROCEEDINGS	PULL 9:19 10:18	REASONS 18:17	
12,16,27 15:5,8 16:22,23 17:4,16	4:10 5:22 24:10	PURPOSES 38:23	22:9	
20:1,6,9,27 22:3,8,	47:7	PUT 18:1,2,12,13	RECALLS 40:23	
25 23:1 26:2,16,18,	PROCEEDS 14:7,	23:22 33:25 43:1	RECEIPT 11:26	
19,21 29:2,3 30:17,	14 15:27		RECEIVE 28:2	
18,20 33:14,16,24 34:3,8,21,23,28	PROCESS 41:7 42:15	Q	30:27 31:4,15	
35:8 37:10 39:7,20		QUASH 5:8 6:8	RECEIVED 11:6	
40:19,21 42:5,10,	PRODUCE 13:22	8:28 9:26 13:2,19	12:23 19:7 22:5	

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. 63 10/29/2020 Index: RECEIVING..SAY

Transcript of Proceedings 30:23 31:26 **REJECT** 32:9 14:17 15:1,9,11,13, 9 10:11 14:26,27 14 25:1 34:9 36:27 **RECEIVING** 13:26 **REJECTED** 41:5.6. 45:9 46:5 18 **RECENT** 6:4 46:26 23 45:7 46:17 REQUESTED RELATED 14:14 **RECOMMENDATIO RIGHTS** 36:24 10:21,22 15:15 22:12 43:11 **NS** 41:9 24:14,22 **ROANOKE** 9:16 RELATING 43:21 **RECORD** 12:14 **REQUESTS** 20:28 ROBERTA 9:14 **RELATIONSHIP** 28:9,10,13,14 44:7 29:20 30:4 39:3 15:3,7 17:18 **ROGERS** 9:16 **REQUIRED** 29:4 40:2,3 46:22 29:26 RELEASE 11:17 30:16 31:9 **RECORDING** 44:3 **ROUTE** 25:14 **RELEASES** 12:2 **REQUIRING** 41:17 **RECORDS** 11:20 13:26 21:2 **RULING** 19:15 RESEARCH 44:23, 12:12 13:11,12,22 26:5,22,24 32:7,8 **RELEVANCE** 20:14 27 15:16 26:27,28 33:10 40:27 41:27 38:4 35:27 43:18,20,26 RESERVATION 42:2,3,4 **RELEVANCY** 32:17 44:26 45:10,13 46:12 **RULINGS** 42:7,17 **RELEVANT** 12:20 **REFER** 6:20 RESERVED 45:20. 21:7,21 22:6,8 24 S REFERENCE 20:21 24:10 38:23,26,28 **RESOLVE** 46:9 REFERENCED 39:2 **SAID** 9:9 11:1,18 14:26 **RESPECT** 11:14, **RELIEF** 24:17 16:15 17:26 18:13 24.26 14:2 26:12 REFERENCES 20:26,27 22:26 REMEMBER 6:21 28:16 32:9 36:25 19:17 23:2,16 35:18 8:1,2 37:20 42:6,12,15, 37:17 38:18,20,21, REFERRED 30:21 20 44:26 REMIND 27:9 22,24,26 39:3,4 **REFERRING** 7:10 RESPOND 17:3 **REMINDER** 7:26 **SAME** 5:28 18:7 8:25 10:4 **RESPONSE** 11:4 22:15 27:8 41:11, **RENEE** 4:6 **REFERS** 10:6 14:13 18 **REPEAT** 38:21 REFLECT 47:1 **RESTON** 29:28 **SAMUEL** 4:25 **REPLY** 7:24 8:8 30:1

REFLECTED 12:14 15:23

REFRESHED 7:16

REGARD 37:28

REGARDING 11:3. 7 13:25 14:11 15:3, 6 35:18 43:26

REGARDS 21:23 36:12 43:20 44:2 45:27

REPORTED 17:11

REPORTER 4:6 5:19,22

REPORTER'S 39:3

REPORTS 16:27 17:11,16,17,23

REPRESENTED

18:10

REQUEST 10:26

RESULT 19:7 40:28

RESULTING 11:28

RETURN 31:8,17 35:4

REVIEW 39:2

REVIEWED 15:19

REVOKED 46:23

RIGHT 6:17,24 9:5,

15:28 16:4.5 17:15 19:18 20:18 34:21,

SANCTIONED 41:12

SANCTIONS 25:28 32:24 35:10,19,22 36:5,25,27 37:6 39:6 40:20 41:10, 13,14,26,28 42:1,3, 13,20

SAY 10:27 17:10,11 18:12 20:1,4 21:13 22:4 25:2 38:19,20,

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. 64
Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020 Index: SAYING..STATEMENTS

Amber Laura Hear Transcript of Pr
25 39:2,25 40:9
SAYING 11:5,8,9 17:16 19:12 24:20, 23 25:13,14 30:3 31:2,15 37:17
SAYS 10:7 22:5 27:18 33:28
SCENARIO 41:14
SCHEDULED 7:27 33:22
SCOPE 12:9,10 15:1
SCROLLING 9:11
SEARCHING 6:24
SECOND 22:19 31:6,16
SECRETLY 36:20

31:6,16 SECRETLY 36:20 SECTION 16:13

SEE 6:11,24 15:25 25:9 32:5 35:13 41:13

SEEK 35:26 36:13

SEEKING 13:14 16:21,24 46:6

SEEM 15:20

SEEMS 14:2 41:8

SEEN 6:21 15:8

SELECT 35:8

SENT 11:1

SEPARATE 22:9

SERVE 33:15.25

SERVED 8:21 10:7 28:17,25 30:4,15 31:2,10,19 33:12 34:9 39:10,12,26

40:5,11,14 43:6

SERVICE 8:19,21 9:4,8,10,13,21 10:11 28:2,20 29:22,25 30:2,9,11, 15,21 31:5,21 32:9 34:18 35:18 39:16, 26 40:6,9

SESSION 4:7

SETS 10:22

SETTLEMENT 14:6,19 17:14 38:9

SHARE 26:18 42:14

SHE 10:28 14:5,20 15:26 16:1,15 17:13,21,27 18:4, 21,23,25 21:13,17, 19 23:13,14,16,20 25:6 36:15,16,19, 21,23 37:18,19

SHE'S 15:25 16:1, 14 17:12,13 18:3,5, 13 22:12,14,17 23:4,22 37:20

SHEET 27:18

SHORT 46:25

SHOULD 20:2 21:6 23:26 24:1 27:1 34:12,15 35:6 37:7 41:10 42:6 45:8

SHOULDN'T 35:17 37:9

SHOW 9:14 22:14

SHOWING 19:16 32:13

SHOWN 18:19 19:13

SHOWS 31:7

SIDES 40:24

SIGN 26:13

SIMILAR 24:21 32:12

SIMPLY 10:6 16:26, 27 30:20

SINCE 42:11

SITUATION 18:15

SLICE 31:28

SO 5:7 6:3,6,10 7:4, 8,14 8:4,7,16 9:2 10:7,13,15,26,27 11:5,18,23 12:11, 12 13:7,11,14,17, 21 14:28 15:9,12 16:8,28 17:3 18:1, 12,17 19:28 20:9 21:3,9,28 22:27 24:3,5,19,25 25:12, 18,26 26:2,11 27:3, 20,28 28:14 29:19, 21 30:3 31:3,9,19, 28 32:2,6,11,22 33:14,24 34:9 35:10,20,22 36:3, 21,23 37:6,15 38:13 39:2,5 41:25 42:4,17,23,25,28 43:17,23 44:1,18, 27 45:12,23 46:16, 26,28

SO-CALLED 10:23

SOME 10:18 11:7, 13 15:26 16:14 19:17,18 32:10,19 38:15 44:23,24 45:13,16,19

SOMEBODY 39:19

SOMEONE 13:10 40:8 43:1

SOMETHING 22:26 32:5

SOMETIMES 27:16,17,18 37:16

SORRY 7:3 9:18 33:19 41:23 42:23

SORT 14:22

SOUGHT 24:16 36:12

SOURCES 16:13

SPECIFIC 21:23 26:8,13 27:10

SPECIFICALLY 24:17 44:9

SPELLING 29:27 46:21

STAND 34:12,15

STANDARD 44:26

START 5:7

STARTING 4:17 35:23

STATE 10:5 39:21

STATED 16:27 17:1 18:8 25:27 30:13 32:14

STATEMENT 11:17 18:7,24,26 23:6,7, 8,16 38:14,20,23, 25

STATEMENTS

15:20,22,23,26 16:26 17:13,17 18:4,5,9,22 19:4,5 20:8,11,12,16 22:10,11,12,14

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

65 Index: STATES..THE

> 23:3,5,6,7,8,15,22, 28 24:11,15,18,20,

23,26 25:10,14,20

26:3,8,9,14,17,23,

26 27:1,5,8,10

28:1,5,26 29:2,3,

5,10,14,17,22,24,

20,22,24,25,26

10,19,24,26 30:3,4,

27 31:4,7,11,13,15,

32:13,14,18 33:12,

11,16 37:12 38:6,7,

10,12,14,16,17,19,

20,21,25 39:3,11,

13,15,20,28 40:11,

14,24,26,28 41:1,3,

8,10,14,18 43:2,5,8

44:10,24,27,28

45:14,19,25,26

46:4,5,9,14,25

THAT'S 7:2 8:9,19

9:25 10:9 12:10

16:5 21:20,21

22:15,21,23,28

13:3,15 14:16 15:6

26:24 28:4,21 29:3

30:5,11,20 34:22,

23 35:21 36:3,13

39:22 40:7 41:23

THE 4:2,10,12,13,

20,23,26 5:3,6,8,

9,10,11,19,25,28

7:4,8,10,11,14,15,

17,20,22,23,26,28

8:1,8,10,12,15,18,

21,25,26,27 9:2,7,

13,20,25 10:1,2,3,

21,22,25 11:7,11,

6,8,10,11,12,16,20,

13,17,22,25,27 6:2,

43:3 44:21

37:15 38:17,18,26

17,22,25,28 34:9 35:3,13,16 36:10,

38:10

STATES 44:15.16

STATUS 46:22

STEPHANIE 4:5

STILL 24:5 36:5,24

STIPULATE 5:21

STIPULATED 5:25,

27

STOP 14:23 17:9 21:9,28 22:20

24:19

STOPPING 22:1

STRESS 25:20

STRIKE 15:10

STRONGLY 22:17

SUBJECT 16:17

17:24

SUBMIT 6:10 26:18 32:28

SUBMITTED 15:24

SUBPARTS 15:9

SUBPOENA 5:8

6:9,10,11,26 8:22,

25 9:1,4,8 10:1,2,7,

16,20,22 11:10

18:20 20:4 27:10

28:22,24 29:1,3,16,

17 30:8 31:8,18,25

33:21,26 34:6,12, 15,16 35:4 39:10,

15 40:4,10,12,13

43:6 45:24 46:15,

25

SUBPOENAS

27:27

SUBSECTION

16:12,20

SUBSTANTIAL

35:11

SUBSTITUTING

31:23

SUCH 24:14

SUFFICIENT 12:18

28:15 30:5 31:18

40:8

SUFFICIENTLY

18:19

SUGGEST 44:23,

27 45:16

SUGGESTION 21:5

SUGGESTIONS

19:18

SUGGESTS 22:17

SUPERVISORS

43:21

SUPPORT 8:8,28

22:13

SURE 11:27 14:1

15:3 34:14 40:21 45:22

SUSMAN 9:17

Т

TAKE 16:11 17:1,

22 33:22 46:27

TAKEN 34:16

TAKING 17:20,26

TALK 25:28 31:21

45:8

TALKED 15:2

19:23 33:4,6 35:12

TALKING 8:26

23:20,21

TECUM 9:1

TELEPHONIC 5:23

TELL 16:19 27:23

TELLING 26:24

TEN 31:9

27:4 32:6

THAN 10:27 11:10,

16 12:1 29:26

27:23 30:18 37:27

39:7 42:22,27 47:2,

3,5,6

23,24 9:3,7,8,14,

12:4,5,6,11,14,17,

15:1,2,10,15,19,20,

21:5,10,27 22:6,13,

14,16,17,24,26

TELEPHONICALLY

5:19

TENTATIVE 20:27

TERMS 10:25 32:6

45:9

TERRITORY 20:23

THANK 4:14 5:13,

17 6:2 8:15 11:5

13:16 20:25 26:21

THAT 5:11,15,19,

20,22 6:11,26 7:2,

10,12,20 8:2,18,20,

19,21 10:1,3,4,5,8,

13 11:1,7,8,10,13,

14,17,21,25,27,28

25,27 13:2,6,11,21,

23,25 14:5,8,17,18,

19,20,22,25,27

23,25,26,27 16:1,3,

17,20,21,26,27

17:4,8,12,17,23,26

18:3,13,18 19:3,7,

8,13,15,16,20

20:11,13,14,16,22

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. 66 Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020 Index: THEIR..THOUGHTS

ranscript'	ΟĬ	Pr
23,25,27 12	.1 2	1
5,8,9,10,11,		
20,21,22,23	,25,2	26,
27 13:1,2,7,	8,9,1	14,
16,19,21,28	14:2	2.
11,13,18,19		,
25,26,28 15		
9,12,15,19,2		
16:4,6,8,10,	13,1	9,
20,25,27,28	17:1	1,3,
5,7,8,9,13,1		
18:1,2,3,4,6		
		Э,
11,12,17,18		
19:2,4,9,14,	17,2	0,
23,26,27 20	:2,3,	4,
12,13,14,16		
22,25 21:1,5		
		-
16,19,20,22		
26,28 22:6,9	9,10,	11,
12,14,15,16	,18,2	20,
21,23,24 23	:1.6.	8.
12,15,23,28		
10,17,19,20		
25:2,5,6,9,1		,
21,22,23,24	,26	
26:1,3,4,8,1	2,15	,
17,22,25,26	27:3	3.7.
8,11,13,14,1		
18,20,23,26		
28:2,7,10,13	3,14,	15,
19,27,28 29	:1,4,	8,
9,13,16,19,2	22 30):3,
7,9,11,15,16		
21,23,27 31		
11,14,17,18		
25 32:2,3,7,		
13,15,17,18	,21,2	27
33:1,11,13,1	4.15	5.
17,19,20,21		
34:5,10,12,1		
		ν,
16,19,20,24		
35:3,4,5,6,7	,9,12	2,
13,14,18,19	,23	
36:1,3,4,5,8	•	1.
15,16,17,19	,∠۱,∠	۲۷,

24,26 37:1,2,6,14,
16,24,28 38:1,2,3,
4,10,13,14,16,18,
22,24,27 39:1,2,5,
10,11,12,13,15,17,
18,24,25,27 40:6,
10,11,12,13,16,17,
19,22,23,25,26,27,
28 41:1,2,3,4,5,7,9,
11,14,17,18,20,23,
28 42:2,3,4,6,8,11,
12,13,15,17,19,20,
21,23,24 43:5,7,8,
10,11,13,14,15,17,
21,23,24,26 44:1,5,
6,9,11,15,18,19,22,
28 45:5,7,8,10,11,
16,18,23,27 46:2,4,
9,11,14,15,16,18,
20,28 47:3

THEIR 15:6 16:28 17:2 20:21 24:14 30:14 34:17 43:7 44:2 45:18

THEM 12:12 16:26 17:26 20:17 28:24 31:18 45:8,28

THEN 4:27 7:23,24, 28 8:17 9:22 10:16 12:14 18:1 24:6 29:28 32:23 34:5, 10,20 35:23 37:9, 10 38:7 39:6,17 45:2,12,20

THERE 6:14 9:4,10 11:1,4,6 12:14 17:23 18:13 19:19, 22 20:6,20 21:28 22:20 24:12,26,28 28:6 29:11,22 32:9 44:27 45:1

THERE'S 8:4 9:8

12:3 14:18 17:8 19:21,26 20:19 24:11 29:23,24,26, 27,28 31:9 32:5,13, 19 33:7 40:17 41:16 44:26 45:1,

THEREFORE 23:5

THESE 5:20 6:5 13:4 17:16,27 19:12 21:6,21 24:9, 12 25:21,22 33:5,7 35:12 36:25 37:3 40:11 41:1,17 42:6 44:7

THEY 9:28 10:3 12:13,23,24 14:10 16:11,26,27 20:21 23:26 24:14,16 25:13 27:17,19 28:7,17,26 29:10 30:13,14,24,27 31:2,3,4,11,12,13, 14,15,20,24,26 33:12 34:16 38:4,7 40:14,25 41:10 43:8 44:9,10

THEY'LL 42:18

THEY'RE 14:24 17:7,22 38:11 45:7, 17

THEY'VE 28:25 30:10,22 38:8 40:4

THING 18:21 20:13 29:21 36:19 41:3 46:14

THINGS 8:6 11:21 24:9 37:10

THINK 6:28 10:8 14:8 19:2,21,23,24 20:9 22:16 26:25

27:1 30:23 32:17 36:15,16 38:17 39:28 41:15 42:6, 10 45:8 46:11

THINKING 13:17,21 22:22,26

THINKS 13:3

THIS 4:12 5:1,21 6:8,12,20 7:14 8:19,21 9:4,21,25 10:26 14:8 17:23 18:11 19:28 20:19, 23 22:26,28 23:5, 10,19 24:5,13,15 26:5 28:2,16,22,24 29:6,19,20,25 30:1, 8 31:4,10,20 32:3, 10,16,24 33:2,3,4, 6,7,9,27 34:25,27 35:4,14 36:4,10,11 37:3,4,22 38:2,11, 17,22,28 39:6,12, 14 40:2,3,23 41:12, 13,25 42:5,17,18, 26 43:11 44:11,19 46:24,27

THOROUGHLY

42:2 44:27

THOSE 15:11,18,20 16:9,12,13,25 17:11 20:11,12 37:22 38:4,5 40:14 42:21 44:4

THOUGH 10:16

THOUGHT 20:26 21:3 24:3

THOUGHTS 20:1 24:6 25:18 27:6 32:4,25 35:22 36:23 39:5 40:19 41:26 45:19



NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. 67 Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020 Index: THREE..VIRGINIA'S

THREE 21:23

THRESHOLD 20:15

THROUGH 32:14 38:2

THROUGHOUT 28:22

THURSDAY 4:4

THUS 7:28

TIME 4:7 12:20 13:25 16:27 31:10, 11,23,25 33:3

TIMES 39:4

TITLE 27:17

TO 4:16 5:7,8,10, 14,18 6:3,5,8,15, 16,20,24,28 7:4,6, 10 8:2,3,5,17,19, 25,28 9:1,8,10,11, 19,22,25 10:4,6,12, 13,15,16,18,21,24, 27 11:14,19,24,26 12:8,12,19,26 13:1, 2,3,11,18,19,20,22, 23 14:2,3,8,9,10, 17,24,28 15:5,9,10, 12,13,14,17,20,27, 28 16:24 17:1,3,4, 8,10,11,14,22,27 18:6,11,14,15,17, 20,22 19:8,9,10,12, 15,17,20,28 20:1,2, 4,5,7,17,21,23,28 21:2,7,11,17,20,21, 23,27 22:1,6,11,13, 14,16,20,21,23,24, 28 23:9,10,11,12, 15,17,19,23,24,25, 27 24:4,5,7,10,11, 12,15,18,21,27,28 25:3,8,10,13,20,22, 26 26:2,6,7,12,13,

23 27:3,4,6,7,28 28:7,16,19,23,26 29:4 30:12,21,23, 26 31:6,7,17,18,24 32:3,8,9,10,11,12, 20,23,24 33:4,15, 22,24 34:2,3,5,6,9, 10,11,20,27 35:2,3, 6,7,9,10,15,19,22, 24,25,26 36:12,14, 16,20,21,24,25 37:1,2,3,4,5,8,9,10, 11,12,16,19,20,26,

16,20,21,24,25 37:1,2,3,4,5,8,9,10, 11,12,16,19,20,26, 28 38:1,5,11,15,20, 21,25 39:6,16 40:1, 8,9,10,17,28 41:4, 7,8,9,17,25,28

42:6,8,11,12,13,14, 15,19,20,24,26 43:11,20,21,24 44:2,9,25,26,28 45:4,8,12,13,18,23,

TODAY 20:13,14 26:23 34:22 41:27 46:15

27 46:12,15,26

TOGETHER 18:5

TOLD 39:1

TOMORROW 42:19

TOO 12:27 33:1

TOUCHED 20:10

TRACK 11:27

TRANSCRIBE 5:22

TREATMENT 19:7 23:14

TRIAL 19:13,16 21:20,21,23 22:7, 23,25 23:6,23,25, 27 38:3,6 TROUBLING 38:7

TRUE 20:11

TRUTH 16:25 17:7 20:12 38:16

TRYING 6:3,15,16 8:2,5 9:19 12:8 14:3 22:1 37:11 38:1,11

TURNS 21:13

TWEETING 21:26

TWITTER 46:15,16

TWO 12:1 22:8 24:9 27:27 29:24 31:10 37:24 43:25

TYPE 44:11,19

U

UNDER 16:12,19

UNDERLYING 22:10

UNDERSTAND

8:18 9:2,24 12:5,6 14:4 22:2 24:8,25 25:24 34:15 35:1,5 38:13

UNDERSTANDING

10:9 12:9

UNDERSTOOD 25:24 45:15 46:13

UNFORTUNATELY

24:4 35:20 42:26

UNIVERSE 13:14

UNNECESSARILY

41:4

UNTIL 26:23

UP 9:19 10:18 12:12 23:23,25 37:10

UPON 40:7

UPSET 34:26

US 25:2 28:25 40:5, 7 41:17 42:11 43:8 44:9 45:26

USE 11:7 43:21,26 44:3

USED 14:14 15:27 23:25

٧

VALIDITY 45:9

VARIOUS 37:19

VASQUEZ 4:21,22

VENUED 38:3

VERIFY 12:19

VERSUS 4:13

VERY 6:27 40:24, 26 41:11 42:27

VIA 5:23 24:14 30:9,15

VICE 46:22

VIOLENCE 43:15

VIRGINIA 9:16 20:20 21:20 22:23 24:16,17,20 25:14 28:11,13,15 29:4, 10,28 30:1,4,15 31:1,7,15 32:13,28 38:3 39:15,17,21 43:12 46:26

VIRGINIA'S 30:26

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

NEGET VED NIGHT VOY 10 / 202

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. 68 Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020 Index: VOLUNTARILY..WORLD

۷	0	L	U	IN	T	Α	R	IL	Υ
				_					

44:10

VS 4:2

W

WAIT 26:23

WAITING 35:21 37:4

WALDMAN 46:21

WANT 5:18 6:20 10:15,18 12:11 15:5 16:4 17:3 19:9,10 22:21 25:20 26:6,7,13 33:24 34:2 37:8,25 42:8 43:26 45:5

WANTED 13:11 38:5 40:25

WANTING 11:10 17:22 35:15

WANTS 26:23

WAS 6:11 7:2,15, 16,24,27 9:4,22 10:7 11:1,4 12:14 14:28 15:17 17:21 18:13 20:4,20,22 22:22,26 23:13,14 24:15 27:12 29:4,5, 22 30:4 31:7,10,16, 22,23,25 32:10 33:2,3,22 34:25 36:10,16 38:1,23 39:1,9,12,13,14 40:4,7,24,26,28 46:18,20,21,22

WASHINGTON

21:25,26,27 29:1

WAY 9:20 12:22 17:8 23:4 25:10

27:8 31:19,28

WAYS 24:12

WE 5:18 7:24 10:21 12:22 14:16 15:10 16:6,16 22:5,13 23:9 26:14 27:8 31:25 32:27 33:4, 27 34:9 36:28 39:10,26,28 40:1,2 41:3 42:16 43:6 45:27 46:4,5,9,14, 25

WE'LL 10:13 24:6 25:28 33:28 42:4

WE'RE 8:25 11:22 16:2,24,28 20:16, 23 24:4 25:8 28:12, 23 34:3,22 39:23, 27 42:12,13,23 45:28 46:26

WE'VE 11:5 15:2 28:21,23,24 33:4,6 35:12 42:24 44:4

WEEK 46:23

WELL 6:18 8:10 9:19,20 11:22 16:16,19 17:9 22:8 23:7,8,15 24:11 25:17 28:17,19 29:25 30:22 34:8 36:28 37:14 38:27 39:12 42:5 43:13 44:11,22 45:3 46:15

WERE 7:20 12:4,19 14:8,14,16 15:20, 27 16:26,27 17:23 20:11,16 31:20,24, 26 40:14,24 41:1, 18 43:15

WEREN'T 28:17 31:2 39:26

WHAT 5:7 6:3,10 8:12 9:22 10:24 11:9,15 13:4,12,13, 14 14:1,16 17:4,12, 25 18:18 19:3,28 20:27 22:22,25 23:1,13,26 24:10, 23,25 25:12,14 26:11 27:10,13,24 28:21 34:2,6,15 35:5 36:26 37:8,15, 17,19 38:8,18,20, 21,22,24,26 39:19, 21 40:6 41:4,25 43:5,11 44:25

WHAT'S 23:27 24:21 33:19

WHATEVER 23:26 37:25

WHEN 7:16 9:21,22 10:5,20 31:13,23 36:16 37:19 41:13

WHERE 6:15,26 25:2,9 27:9 31:10 38:3 41:10

WHEREUPON 47:7

WHETHER 17:20, 27 21:6,20 23:24 45:17

WHICH 6:21 11:27 15:22 16:20,28 20:15,21 21:24 26:4,25 29:17 31:18 38:6,9 40:12 45:27

WHILE 19:16 24:9 43:15

WHITE 29:5 38:6

WHO 4:27 5:10,14 42:8 43:14 45:20, 24 46:6,21

WHOEVER 27:17

WHOSE 43:2

WHY 15:6 16:8,19 18:17 20:2 21:11, 16 22:6,28 28:14, 19 29:19 31:1 34:22 36:8 37:8 38:22 39:24 42:2

WILL 5:12 23:24 25:4 26:2,5,22,26 35:8 36:23 41:26 42:1,2 46:9,25,28

WITH 4:17 5:8 8:21 9:27 10:1,2,8 11:14,24,26 12:16 13:6 14:2,6 15:1, 10,14 17:19 18:27 20:22 22:15 23:10, 11,20,21 25:4,6,22 26:5,12,18 27:1,8 28:15,17,23,25 31:2 32:9 33:27 34:11 35:12,15,18, 23 36:22,24,25 37:20 39:10,20,21 42:6,12,15,20 43:25 44:6,25 45:17,28

WITHDRAW 46:5

WITHOUT 39:16 46:12

WITNESS 30:16 33:13,15 35:9 39:12

WOOD 29:26

WORLD 36:17,21



NYSCEF DOC. NO. 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/10/2021

Amber Laura Heard vs The Mandel Company, Inc. 69 Transcript of Proceedings 10/29/2020 Index: WOULD..YOURSELF

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

Transcript of Pro	ceedings	10/29/	2020	Index:	WOULD.	.YOURSELF
WOULD 5:7 6:3,26	31:28 32:4,5	,11,12,	44:8,13,16,2	I 45:3,		
11:23 13:10 14:20,	19,22 33:8,1	5,19,	9,22,26 46:3,	8,13,		
25 15:11 18:21,25	24,27 34:2,6	,19	18,24 47:2,5			
20:2,7 23:3,9,19	35:15 37:5,7	,8,14,	YOURSELF 4	6:12		
27:11 29:21 32:15,	16,17,24,25,	26,27	TOURSELF 4	0.12		
27 33:17 34:9,15,	38:20 39:1,7	40:9				
25 36:19 38:28	42:5,8,19,22	,24,27				
39:2,28 41:13 45:3,	43:10,25 44:	11,15,				
16	22,23,24,27	45:3,8,				
WRAP 37:10	9,10 46:4,5,1	1				
WKAP 37.10	47:2,3,5,6					
WRITTEN 24:14 26:5,22,23 42:3	YOU'RE 7:10 12:11,16 13:	11,14				
Υ	14:1,3,27 16: 24:20,23 25:	13,14				
YEAH 14:12 15:12 39:24 42:10	26:2 28:8 34 37:17 43:11, 44:25 45:13					
YES 5:24,26,28 6:1 7:22 9:6 12:3,10	YOU'VE 8:6 1 20:10 44:5,1					
16:23 17:6 21:4,15 24:24 25:16,19 26:19 27:14,22 30:6 32:26 33:16 34:28 39:8 43:28 44:8	YOUR 4:15,1 24 5:1,5,12,1 28 6:6,8,13,1 7:22,26 8:4,1 23,24 9:2,3,6 18,28 11:6 1	16,21, 16,23 14,18, 5,7,11,				
YET 37:18	13:6 14:4.22					

YET 37:18

YORK 9:15

YOU 4:14 5:13,17 6:2,4,9,14 7:8,14, 20 8:7,15 9:9,20, 21,22,27 10:17,26 11:1,5,10,11,15,18, 20 12:11 13:2,7,16, 23,28 14:4,6,23,24 15:5,17 17:3,25,26 19:6,13,16 20:1,6, 25 21:9,11,28 22:1, 20,27 23:14 24:19 25:2,4,7,8 26:6,7, 10,11,12,17,18,21, 24 27:7,9,23,28 28:19 29:9 30:3,19

13:6 14:4,22 15:18, 22,25 16:2,16,24 17:6,12,17,25,28 18:7,24 19:1,3,25 20:9 21:4,5,8,22 22:2,9 23:3,10,12 24:3,8,24,25,26 25:16,19 26:14,16 27:6,12,22,25 28:1, 4 29:6,12,16 30:5, 6,17 32:26 33:2,3, 11,16,17 34:4,14, 28 35:22,25,28 36:7,9,18,23,26,28 37:6,11,23,27 38:27 39:8,9,23,28

41:21 43:3,6,13,28