

Transcript of Amber Laura Heard - Day 1

Date: January 12, 2022 Case: Depp, II -v- Heard

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

1	VIRGINIA		
2	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY		
3			
4	x		
5	JOHN C. DEPP, II, :		
6	Plaintiff and :		
7	Counter-Defendant, : Civil Action No.		
8	v. : CL-2019-0002911		
9	AMBER LAURA HEARD, : Volume 1		
10	Defendant and :		
11	Counter-Plaintiff. :		
12	x		
13			
14	CONFIDENTIAL		
15	Videotaped Deposition of AMBER LAURA HEARD		
16	Irvine, California		
17	Wednesday, January 12, 2022		
18	9:42 a.m. PST		
19			
20			
21			
22	Job No.: 421206		
23	Pages: 1 - 341		
24	Reported By: Rhonda Norberg, RPR		
25	CSR No. 9265, CCRR No. 185		

Transcript of Amber Laura Heard - Day 1 Conducted on January 12, 2022

1	CONFIDENTIAL Videotaped Deposition of		
2	AMBER LAURA HEARD, held at 2211 Michelson Drive, Seventh		
3	Floor, Irvine, California 92612.		
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10	Pursuant to notice, before Rhonda Norberg,		
11	Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 9265, CCRR No. 185		
12	in and for the State of California.		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Transcript of Amber Laura Heard - Day 1 Conducted on January 12, 2022

1	APPEARANCES		
2			
3	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT:		
4	BENJAMIN G. CHEW, ESQUIRE		
5	LEO PRESIADO, ESQUIRE		
6	CAMILLE VASQUEZ, ESQUIRE		
7	JESSICA MEYERS, ESQUIRE		
8	SAMUEL MONIZ, ESQUIRE		
9	STEPHANIE CALNAN, ESQUIRE		
10	YARELYN MENA, ESQUIRE		
11	BROWN RUDNICK, LLP		
12	601 13th Street, NW		
13	Suite 600		
14	Washington, D.C. 20005		
15	202.536.1700		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Transcript of Amber Laura Heard - Day 1 Conducted on January 12, 2022

	-	
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED	
2	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT AND COUNTER-PLAINTIFF:	
3		
4	ELAINE CHARLSON BREDEHOFT, ESQUIRE	
5	BEN ROTTENBORN, ESQUIRE	
6	CHARLSON BREDEHOFT COHEN & BROWN, PC	
7	11260 Roger Bacon Drive	
8	Suite 201	
9	Reston, Virginia 20190	
10	703.318.6800	
11		
12	ALSO PRESENT:	
13	JOHN C. DEPP II	
14	MICHELLE BREDEHOFT	
15	CATHERINE GONZALEZ - Videoconference Tech	
16	TALLAL DAHAR - Videographer	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Transcript of Amber Laura Heard - Day 1 Conducted on January 12, 2022

ı		Conducted on January 12, 2022 20	0 1
1	Α	That's true, my name is on it.	02:35:24
2	Q	Could they publish something without your	02:35:25
3	explicit	permission?	02:35:27
4		MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection to the form of	02:35:29
5	the ques	tion.	02:35:30
6		Go ahead.	02:35:30
7		THE WITNESS: A lot of people publish	02:35:30
8	things a	bout me all the time without my permission.	02:35:32
9	I partic	ipated in writing this op ed but that has	02:35:34
10	nothing	to do with me controlling when or how it is	02:35:38
11	released		02:35:41
12	BY MR. C	HEW:	02:35:42
13	Q	On how many occasions have people published	02:35:42
14	things i	n your name without your permission?	02:35:44
15		MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection to the form of	02:35:47
16	the ques	tion; miss mischaracterizes her	02:35:49
17	testimon	y.	02:35:52
18		Go ahead.	02:35:53
19		THE WITNESS: I think you I disagree	02:35:53
20	with wha	t you just said in in terms of it being a	02:35:56
21	reflecti	on on what I have said. I said people write	02:36:00
22	about th	ings using my name all the time without my	02:36:04
23	permissi	on. However, I put my name to this and	02:36:08
24	they, of	course, got my permission to do so.	02:36:14
25		MR. CHEW: Right. So the	02:36:18

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

Transcript of Amber Laura Heard - Day 1 Conducted on January 12, 2022

		1
1	THE WITNESS: Those are different things.	02:36:20
2	BY MR. CHEW:	02:36:22
3	Q So the answer to my question have there	02:36:22
4	been any occasions on which people have published	02:36:25
5	things in your name without your permission	
6	A Not that I	02:36:31
7	MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection to the form of	02:36:33
8	the question.	02:36:34
9	Go ahead.	02:36:34
10	THE WITNESS: In my name, no, not to my	02:36:35
11	knowledge.	02:36:38
12	MR. CHEW: There we go. We've got an	02:36:38
13	answer. Okay. Let's show you what's been marked as	02:36:40
14	Exhibit 7.	02:36:43
15	(Exhibit No. 7 was marked for	02:37:01
16	identification by the Stenographer;	02:37:01
17	attached hereto.)	02:37:28
18	BY MR. CHEW:	02:37:28
19	Q Ms. Heard, do you recognize Exhibit 7 as	02:37:28
20	the final version of the op ed that you wrote?	02:37:31
21	A I don't know.	02:37:42
22	Q Do you have any reason sitting here today	02:37:42
23	to believe that this is not a true and correct copy	02:37:44
24	of the op ed that appeared in the Washington Post	02:37:46
25	and that's attached to the complaint that Mr. Depp	02:37:49
		1

Transcript of Amber Laura Heard - Day 1 Conducted on January 12, 2022

		ĺ
1	filed against you on March 1, 2019?	02:37:52
2	MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection to the form of	02:37:55
3	the question. And take your time to look at it.	02:37:56
4	BY MR. CHEW:	02:39:27
5	Q Is this your op ed, Ms. Heard?	02:39:28
6	A If you're representing to me that this is	02:39:31
7	the op ed in full, it looks like what I wrote, but	02:39:35
8	I'd have to see I can't say one way or another	02:39:40
9	that this is word for word the exact copy without	02:39:43
10	seeing the	02:39:49
11	Q Okay. Well, I will represent to you that	02:39:50
12	it is and I think your attorney would be squawking	02:39:53
13	if it weren't. On the first page of the op ed,	02:39:55
14	Exhibit 7, do you see where it says "by Amber	02:39:57
15	Heard"?	02:40:00
16	A Yes, I do.	02:40:00
17	Q That's accurate, correct? I mean, you did	02:40:06
18	write the op ed, true?	02:40:09
19	MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection to the form of	02:40:11
20	the question.	02:40:12
21	Go ahead.	02:40:12
22	THE WITNESS: I with the help of with	02:40:13
23	assistance, I did it and and wrote it. These are	02:40:18
24	my words, I signed my name to it.	02:40:22
25	///	

Transcript of Amber Laura Heard - Day 1 Conducted on January 12, 2022

Conducted on January 12, 2022

		1
1	BY MR. CHEW:	02:40:25
2	Q And you approved the the publication of	02:40:25
3	this version of the op ed, correct?	02:40:27
4	MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection to the form of	02:40:30
5	the question.	02:40:31
6	Go ahead.	02:40:31
7	THE WITNESS: Can I'm sorry. Please	02:40:32
8	repeat that.	02:40:33
9	BY MR. CHEW:	02:40:34
10	Q And you approved this version to be	02:40:34
11	published in the Washington Post on or about	02:40:37
12	December 18th, 2018, correct?	02:40:40
13	A I don't know what you mean by "this	02:40:43
14	version."	02:40:45
15	Q You approved this publication on December	02:40:46
16	18th, 2018, in the Washington Post, true?	02:40:49
17	MS. BREDEHOFT: Same objection. Objection	02:40:52
18	to the form of the question.	02:40:54
19	THE WITNESS: While I had no control or	02:40:58
20	approval control over certain aspects of where it	02:41:02
21	was published, when, or how, the substantive	02:41:05
22	information within the op ed is something that I	02:41:15
23	stand by.	02:41:16
24	BY MR. CHEW:	02:41:17
25	Q So you approved that this version of the op	02:41:17

Transcript of Amber Laura Heard - Day 1 Conducted on January 12, 2022

Conducted on January 12, 2022

		1
1	ed be published, true or false?	02:41:21
2	MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection to the form of	02:41:23
3	the question.	02:41:24
4	THE WITNESS: The the information within	02:41:27
5	the op ed that I penned with the with the ACLU	02:41:27
6	is is what I stand by.	02:41:33
7	BY MR. CHEW:	02:41:34
8	Q And, in fact, it was published in the	02:41:34
9	Washington Post on December 18th, 2018, correct?	02:41:37
10	A That's what I understand.	02:41:42
11	Q And when did the first Aquaman movie	02:41:43
12	premiere?	02:41:46
13	A I'm I'm not sure. I believe it was in	02:41:47.
14	December I think it was a Christmas release.	02:41:51
15	Q So it was the same month that your op ed	02:41:53
16	appeared, correct?	02:41:56
17	A I believe that.	02:41:57
18	Q Now, let's go through this. The version	02:41:57
19	this percent of the op ed is entitled "Amber Heard:	02:42:00
20	I spoke up against sexual violence and faced our	02:42:03
21	culture's wrath. That has to change." What are you	02:42:07
22	referring to there?	02:42:10
23	MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection to the form of	02:42:10
24	the question; assumes facts not in evidence.	02:42:12
25	Go ahead.	02:42:14
		i

Transcript of Amber Laura Heard - Day 1 Conducted on January 12, 2022

		1
1	THE WITNESS: Well, I I didn't write	02:42:15
2	this title.	02:42:16
3	BY MR. CHEW:	02:42:19
4	Q Who wrote the title?	02:42:20
5	A I I'm not sure.	02:42:21
6	O But you approved the title, correct?	02:42:23
7	A 1 never saw the title before it was -	02:42:25
8	before it was printed or or went to press as far	02:42:30
9	as I can recall.	02:42:35
10	Q Did you ever complain to the Washington	02:42:35
11	Post, the ACLU, or anybody else about the title?	02:42:38
12	A No, I did not.	02:42:41
13	Q Why not?	02:42:42
14	MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection to the form of	02:42:44
15	the question; calls for speculation, hearsay.	02:42:45
16	Go ahead.	02:42:47
17	THE WITNESS: I didn't see any reason why I	02:42:47
18	should complain.	02:42:50
19	BY MR. CHEW:	02:42:52
20	Q When did you speak up against sexual	02:42:53
21	violence?	02:42:55
22	A I've given many speeches. I have given a	02:42:56
23	lot of commentary. I have given a lot of support to	02:43:06
24	people who've also gone through similar things,	02:43:11
25	albeit less public, many times.	02:43:16
	.	

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

Transcript of Amber Laura Heard - Day 1 55 (217 to 220) Conducted on January 12, 2022

Conducted on January 12, 2022				
217	219			
1 BY MR. CHEW:	1 after no.			
2 Q That's not the question. I'm not asking	2 Q Are you aware of any claim made by any			
3 about that.	3 woman that Mr. Depp ever physically harmed her?			
4 A — and later asked a lawyer to help me make	4 MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection to the form of			
5 sure that my the record reflected that and I got	5 the question; calls for hearsay, speculation,			
6 it expunged.	6 hypothetical.			
7 Q You need to you need to answer the	7 Go ahead.			
8 question. You have to answer the question. Did the	8 THE WITNESS: I had I had heard rumors.			
9 police officer make a mistake in your opinion?	9 I've been told by people about his past only around			
10 MS. BREDEHOFT: I'm going to object to your	10 the latter part of our relationship.			
11 raising your voice and and speaking to my client	11 So I I had heard rumors about this,			
12 in that manner. Asked and answered.	12 about his conduct with former girlfriends, former			
13 But go ahead and same objections I had	13 partners. I heard that he had an incident with			
14 last time. Go ahead.	14 Kate Moss on the stairs. There was you know, I			
15 THE WITNESS: All I can do is tell you the	15 was struck by hearing that Winona Ryder used the			
16 facts that I do know. I can't tell you whether a	16 same language in talking about Johnny. She			
17 mistake was made or not. I just know that I have	17 mentioned a monster when she confided in someone in			
18 never been violent with any of my partners and	18 a bathroom when she was dating him.			
19 certainly not Tasya.	19 I had heard rumors to this effect, you			
20 You know, there are many times I had to	20 know, I had I read in part of Ms. Barkin's			
21 defend myself against Johnny, but Tasya and I had a	21 testimony that he threw a bottle in her direction			
22 very peaceful, loving relationship and this was an	22 and, you know, displayed the same sort of possessive			
23 incident that at best was misinterpreted and and	23 emotional psychological violence that I saw in			
24 was dropped because of how how the evidence or	24 Johnny, you know, throughout our relationship. But			
25 lack thereof showed how misinterpreted it was.	25 I do not know firsthand how he was because I I			
218	220			
1 BY MR. CHEW:	1 wasn't there, and I still have yet to to ever			
2 Q Were the police correct in handcuffing you?	2 claim to have been there in his prior relationships.			
3 A I can't	3 BY MR. CHEW:			
4 MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection to the form of	4 Q Did Ms. Moss ever make any kind of public			
5 the question; calls for speculation, hypothetical,	5 claim that Mr. Depp at any time physically harmed			
6 legal conclusions.	6 her?			
7 Go ahead.	7 MS. BREDEHOFT: Objection to the form of			
8 THE WITNESS: I'm not an officer of the	8 the question; calls for hearsay, speculation.			
9 law. I'm not law enforcement, I cannot tell you	9 Go ahead.			
10 what they should or should not do. I can just tell	10 THE WITNESS: I do not know of any claims			
11 you the truth of what happened because I was there	11 public publicly that were made.			
12 and I'm trying to answer you fully.	12 BY MR. CHEW:			
13 BY MR. CHEW:	13 Q Right. And that the same goes for			
14 Q In in the course of your relationship	14 Ms. Ryder, correct?			
15 with Mr. Depp, was he ever handcuffed for allegedly	MS. BREDEHOFT: Same objections.			
16 assaulting you?	16 THE WITNESS: I I do not know. I have			
17 A No.	17 been told that they signed confidentiality			
18 I did my best to keep this from the police.	18 agreements or something to that effect, but I don't			
19 Q And in the course of your relationship with	19 know			
20 Mr. Depp, did he ever spend the night in jail for	20 BY MR. CHEW:			
21 touching you inappropriately or grabbing your wrist?	21 Q Who who told you that?			
22 A No.	22 A I believe setting aside from what I've			
23 I wanted nothing but to protect Johnny from	23 heard from counsel, I have been told that by at			
24 this.	24 least one or two people. I can't recall, maybe			
25 MR. CHEW: Move to striking everything	25 one Amanda de Cadenet told me a few of these			

1 (342 to 345)

CONFIDENTIAL

Transcript of Amber Laura Heard - Day 2

Conducted on January 13, 2022

344 APPEARANCES IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT: BENJAMIN G. CHEW, ESQUIRE JOHN C. DEPP, II, LEO PRESIADO, ESQUIRE Plaintiff and CAMILLE VASQUEZ, ESQUIRE Counter-Defendant, : Civil Action No. JESSICA HEYERS, ESQUIRE : CL-2019-000291t SAMUEL MONIZ, ESQUIRE AMBER LAURA HEARD. : Volume 2 STEPHANIE CALNAN, ESQUIRE 10 Defendant and YARELYN MENA, ESQUIRE 11 Counter-Plaintiff. HONIEH UDENKA, ESQUIRE ------- 12 BROWN RUDNICK, LLP 13 13 601 13th Street, NW CONFIDENTIAL 15 Videotaped Deposition of AMBER LAURA HEARD 15 Washington, D.C. 20005 16 Irvine, California 202.536.1700 Thursday, January 13, 2022 9:41 a.m. PST 19 20 21 22 Job No.; 421208 23 Pages: 342 - 673 Reported By: Rhonda Norberg, RPR 25 CSR No. 9265, CCRR No. 185 345 CONFIDENTIAL Videotaped Deposition of APPEARANCES CONTINUED AMBER LAURA HEARD, held at 2211 Michelson Drive, Seventh ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT AND COUNTER-PLAINTIFF: Floor, Irvine, California 92612. ELAINE CHARLSON BREDEHOFT, ESQUIRE BEN ROTTENBORN, 'ESQUIRE ADAM NADELHAFT, ESQUIRE CLARISSA PINTADO, ESQUIRE DAVID NURPHY, ESQUIRE CHARLSON BREDEHOFT COHEN & BROWN, PC 10 Pursuant to notice, before Rhonda Norberg, 11260 Roger Bacon Drive Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 9265, CCRR No. 185 Suite 201 12 in and for the State of California. Reston, Virginia 20190 13 703.318.6809 15 15 ALSO PRESENT: JOHN C. DEPP II 16 17 MICHELLE BREDEHOFT 18 LUCIEN NEWELL - Videoconference Tech 19 TALLAL DAHAR - Videographer 20 22 23 24 25

Transcript of Amber Laura Heard - Day 2

58 (570 to 573)

Conducted on January 13, 2022

572 disrespectful; I'm just saying she is your acting A Dr. Carolyn Alexander. coach, or has been --2 Q And you told Dr. Carolyn Alexander? A Was. A I don't know if I told her. I just Q Ms. Sexton was your acting coach and also remember I started making it a habit to start by your testimony, a dear friend, so she is one of telling doctors that I was in that situation with. the people you told; is that fair? I told another doctor in the UK that I saw as a A Yes. gynecologist but I can't remember their name. I Q When did you tell Ms. Sexton that you were told -- oh -- I've seen a few, but I'm sure we can raped in Australia, allegedly by Mr. Depp? get those names to you. A I don't recall. Q Yeah, I think we're going to need every Q Didn't you tell her the day that Ms. Sexton 11 11 name of every doctor you've ever told about this 12 was appointed to sit for a deposition in this case? 12 sexual assault in Australia. A I have no idea when she was subpoenaed. 13 A Okav. Of course. 14 Q But isn't that when you told her? 14 Q So the Australia incident, you testified A I don't know -- I had no idea of the date 15 that this all started because you and Mr. Depp were 16 she was subpoenaed so I don't know if that's the 16 having a disagreement about whether you should sign 17 same day I told her. 17 a postnuptial agreement? Q Didn't you tell her at your home when your A I disagree. It wasn't --18 19 lawyers came to your house to prep her for a Q How? 19 20 deposition in this case? 20 A Pardon? A I don't recall that that's why she was 21 Q How do you disagree? 22 there. I recall that she was there because she 22 A What started the disagreement is he held up 23 lived abroad and it was on rare occasions that she 23 a bag of MDMA right in front of me and he took a big 24 was in LA, and we very rarely get to spend one on 24 handful of pills and that kind of started it. 25 one time together in person. And there a was a Q Do you have your first witness statement 571 573 1 time - a separate time in which the UK Sun 1 from the United Kingdom in front of you, Ms. Heard? 2 attorneys were conducting an interview of me, but I It was probably given to you yesterday. If not, we don't know - I don't -- I don't know if they were have another copy. there. I don't know how that timeline matches with A The first one? 5 her. Q Yeah. First -- this says "witness Q Did you tell anyone else about the alleged statement Amber Heard"? 6 A Yeah. sexual assault in Australia other than your R attorneys and Ms. Sexton? Q Okay. If I could turn your attention, A I -- I -- I told doctors. Ms. Heard, to Page 23. Q What doctors? 10 MS. VASQUEZ: If not, we have an extra 10 A I've told, obviously, Bonnie Jacobs. I 11 copy. 12 don't recall if I told -- I don't recall if I -- I 12 MR. CHEW: I've got one if you need one, 13 told Amy Banks. I really just don't remember Dr. --13 Elaine. I've got a unmarked one. 14 if I told Dr. Banks at some point after. I told MS. BREDEHOFT: Thank you. Thank you very 14 15 every gynecologist I've visited. 15 much. 16 Q Who is your gynecologist now? MS. VASQUEZ: Page 23, Elaine. 16 Q Ms. Heard, if I could just have you read to A I - I just started going to a new one. I 18 don't remember her name but I'm sure we could find 18 yourself Paragraphs 99, 100, that would be helpful. 19 it. I don't know it off the top of my head. A Okay. 19 20 Q Who was your gynecologist before this 20 Q You would agree with me, Ms. Heard, that 21 you did argue in Australia about a prenuptial 21 gynecologist? A There -- -- I've had a couple. I was 22 agreement, correct?

PLANET DEPOS

A It was part of -- it was part of one part

24 of one of the arguments, but it wasn't -- as I said

25 before, wasn't what started it. It was the drugs

23 actually just using my fertility doctor effectively

Q Who is your fertility doctor?

24 as my gynecologist.