

1	MS. RICE: Relevance, vague	13:26:38
2	as to time, overbroad.	13:26:40
3	MR. PRESIADO: Same	13:26:42
4	objections plus calls for hearsay.	13:26:42
5	A. I don't recall our specific	13:26:47
6	communications regarding her requests.	13:26:49
7	Q. Do you recall making any	13:26:52
8	kind of counter to any of these items?	13:26:56
9	MS. RICE: Vague as to time.	13:26:59
10	Irrelevant.	13:27:02
11	MR. PRESIADO: Objection,	13:27:05
12	relevance.	13:27:06
13	MS. RICE: Also to the	13:27:10
14	extent you're asking for	13:27:11
15	settlement communications, it	13:27:12
16	violates evidence code	13:27:14
17	Section 1152 in the mediation	13:27:16
18	privilege. The witness is	13:27:17
19	instructed not to answer any	13:27:18
20	questions that would require her	13:27:20
21	to divulge communications that	13:27:23
22	were done in the course and scope	13:27:25

1	of the mediations in the divorce	13:27:27
2	case, as well as settlement offers	13:27:29
3	that were exchanged between	13:27:32
4	counsel.	13:27:34
5	A. All I recall is that without	13:27:45
6	any notice to us, at 8:30 in the morning	13:27:47
7	on the 27th, Samantha Spector and her	13:27:49
8	client went into court and obtained a no	13:27:54
9	notice ex parte restraining order.	13:27:56
10	Q. Had you had any	13:28:00
11	communications with Samantha Spector	13:28:05
12	prior to her going into court on that	13:28:09
13	Friday, May 27?	13:28:13
14	MR. PRESIADO: Objection.	13:28:17
15	To the extent it calls for hearsay	13:28:17
16	what Ms. Spector may or may have	13:28:18
17	not said.	13:28:22
18	MS. RICE: Relevance.	13:28:24
19	MR. PRESIADO: Relevant as	13:28:25
20	well.	13:28:26
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. How many communications had	13:28:31

1	you had with Ms. Spector prior --	13:28:33
2	following getting this letter and prior	13:28:36
3	to her going into court at 8:30 on May	13:28:38
4	27, 2016?	13:28:43
5	MS. RICE: Relevance.	13:28:43
6	MR. PRESIADO: Same	13:28:46
7	objection.	13:28:47
8	A. I do not recall.	13:28:48
9	Q. Do you have a recollection	13:28:49
10	of whether any of the communications that	13:28:54
11	you had with Ms. Spector between the	13:28:56
12	receipt of this letter that's dated May	13:29:00
13	24, 2016 and going -- and Ms. Spector	13:29:04
14	going into court on Friday, May 27, 2016,	13:29:06
15	related in any way to request on your	13:29:12
16	behalf -- on behalf of your client that	13:29:15
17	you made?	13:29:18
18	MS. RICE: Relevance.	13:29:19
19	MR. PRESIADO: Same	13:29:21
20	objection. Compound.	13:29:22
21	A. No.	13:29:25
22	Q. I just -- so that I close	13:29:25

1	the book before we go onto something	13:29:32
2	else.	13:29:34
3	You recall having	13:29:34
4	communications with Samantha Spector	13:29:35
5	between May 24 and May 27. You can't	13:29:38
6	recall how many, and you can't recall the	13:29:43
7	specifics of those communications; is	13:29:46
8	that accurate?	13:29:52
9	MR. PRESIADO: Objection,	13:29:52
10	compound.	13:29:54
11	MS. RICE: Misstates the	13:29:54
12	witness' prior testimony.	13:29:55
13	A. It's not accurate.	13:29:58
14	Q. And in what way? Please	13:30:02
15	tell me.	13:30:05
16	MS. RICE: Relevance.	13:30:06
17	MR. PRESIADO: Same	13:30:08
18	objection.	13:30:09
19	A. I recall that we had	13:30:11
20	communications between the 24th and	13:30:12
21	probably the 26th. I doubt we spoke on	13:30:16
22	the morning of the 27th before she went	13:30:18

1 into court. I do not know the content of 13:30:20
2 those communications, and I do not know 13:30:23
3 how many communications were had. 13:30:25

4 Q. Now, on the next paragraph, 13:30:28
5 it has a proposal for private retired 13:30:37
6 judicial officers. 13:30:42

7 Ultimately, did you and 13:30:44
8 Ms. Spector talk about using private 13:30:47
9 retired judicial officers, whether it was 13:30:50
10 the list she provided or any others? 13:30:55

11 A. I believe so, yes. 13:30:56

12 Q. And what do you recall? 13:31:00

13 MS. RICE: Relevance. 13:31:03

14 MR. PRESIADO: Same 13:31:06
15 objection plus hearsay. 13:31:06

16 A. My recollection is that is 13:31:14
17 in almost all of our cases, certainly 13:31:17
18 those with high profile clients, we would 13:31:19
19 have liked to take it out of the system. 13:31:23
20 Ms. Spector was not willing to do that 13:31:27
21 with this case. 13:31:29

22 Q. What do you recall 13:31:30