

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF VIRGINIA

CAV Record No. 1062-22-4

AMBER LAURA HEARD,

Defendant-Appellant,

v.

JOHN C. DEPP, II,

Plaintiff-Appellee.

PRAECIPE

Amicus Curiae in Support of Appellant Amber Heard and Reversal of the Judgment hereby file this Supplement to its Corrected Brief. Although Amici do not believe that “Assignments of Error” are required for an Amicus Brief, Amici provide information as to which of its arguments apply to specific Assignments of Error identified by Appellant Amber Heard to assist the Court in its review of the case. Exhibit A provides a revised Table of Contents for the Argument section of the brief that reflects the Assignment of Error relevant to each section of the Amicus Brief. Exhibit B identifies each Assignment of Error identified by Appellant, the section of the Amicus Brief that discusses that assignment, the pages on which that discussion can be found, and the Record cites for the preservation of error for each Assignment of Error addressed by Amici.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Thomas F. Urban II

Thomas F. Urban II, VSB No. 40540

Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, PLC

1300 17th Street North, Suite 1100

Arlington, Virginia 22209

(703) 812-0462; (703) 812-0486

urban@fhhlaw.com

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was sent via email and/or U.S. First Class Mail to:

J. Benjamin Rottenborn (VSB No. 84796)
Joshua R. Treece (VSB No. 79149)
Elaine D. McCafferty (VSB No. 92395)
Karen M. Stemland (VSB #47167)
WOODS ROGERS VANDEVENTER
BLACK PLC
10 S. Jefferson Street, Suite 1400
P.O. Box 14125
Roanoke, Virginia 24011
Tel.: (540) 983-7540
brottenborn@woodsrogers.com

Jay Ward Brown (VSB No. 34355)
BALLARD SPAHR LLP
1909 K Street NW, 12th Floor
Washington, DC 20006-1157
brownjay@ballardspahr.com
Counsel for Appellee, Amber Laura Heard

Benjamin G. Chew (VSB #29113)
Andrew C. Crawford (VSB
#89093)
BROWN RUDNICK LLP
601 Thirteenth Street NW, Suite
600
Washington, DC 20005
Tel.: (202) 536-1785
Fax: (617) 289-0717
bchew@brownrudnick.com
acrawford@brownrudnick.com
*Counsel for Appellant, John C.
Depp, II*

on this the 6th day of December, 2022.

/s/ Thomas F. Urban II

Thomas F. Urban II

ARGUMENT TABLE OF CONTENTS WITH ASSIGNMENTS

ARGUMENT	26
A. The Trial Verdict is Inconsistent with First Amendment Principles and Precedent.....	26
1. The Trial Court Should Have Ruled That Ms. Heard’s Op/Ed was Protected Speech (Assignment 3)	29
a. Ms. Heard’s Op/Ed was Protected Opinion.....	29
b. “Abuse” in The Op/Ed Was a Subjective Perspective.....	32
c. The Alleged “Abuse” Defamation Was Not Based Upon “Facts” that Were Verifiable.....	37
2. Statements in the Op/Ed Cannot be the Basis for a Finding of “Actual Malice” (Assignment 14)	39
3. No Defamatory Facts Could Reasonably be Implied in the Op/Ed Written by Ms. Heard (Assignment 3, 13).....	41
B. Republication of the Headline Written by a Washington Post Staffer Cannot be the Basis for Liability (Assignment 12).....	43
C. Mr. Depp Provided No Causal Connection Between the Op/Ed and Any Alleged Injury (Assignment 9, 10, 16).....	45
D. The Trial Court Should Have Dismissed This Action Based Upon Comity (Assignment 2)	47

ASSIGNMENTS OF ERROR

Assignment of Error	Argument Section	Page Number(s)	Preserved in Record
Assignment 2	D	47-49	R. 10709-41 R. 11121-33 R. 23645-64 R. 23671-77
Assignment 3	A1, A3	29-39, 41-43	R. 1233-42 R. 3902-06 R. 23042-48
Assignment 9	C	45-47	R. 15887 R. 21868-79.
Assignment 10	C	45-47	R. 21897-98
Assignment 12	B	43-45	R. 21885-89 R. 22135-36 R. 26508-10 R. 26516
Assignment 13	A3	41-43	R. 21880-85 R. 21893-98
Assignment 14	A2	39-41	R. 21889-93 R. 22136-37 R. 26506-10 R. 26516
Assignment 16	C	45-47	R. 21862-78